

RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited

Dogger Bank South Offshore Wind Farms

Environmental Statement Volume 7 Chapter 12 – Offshore Ornithology (Revision 2)

November 2024

Application Reference: 7.12 APFP Regulation: 5(2)(a) Revision: 02



Company:		RWE Bank RWE Bank	Renewables UK Dogger South (West) Limited and Renewables UK Dogger South (East) Limited	Asset:	Dev	elopment
Project:		Dogg Wind	er Bank South Offshore Farms	Sub Project/Packo	ge:	sents
Document T Description:	itle or	Environmental Statement- Chapter 12 - Offshore Ornithology (Revision 2)				
Document N	lumber:	0043	300153-02	Contractor Reference Nur	mber: ZZ-F	340-RHD-OF- RP-Z-0095
COPYRIGHT © RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited, 2024. All rights reserved.						
This document is supplied on and subject to the terms and conditions of the Contractual Agreement relating to this work, under which this document has been supplied, in particular:						
LIABILITY						
In preparation of this document RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited has made reasonable efforts to ensure that the content is accurate, up to date and complete for the purpose for which it was contracted. RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited makes no warranty as to the accuracy or completeness of material supplied by the client or their agent.						
Other than any liability on RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited detailed in the contracts between the parties for this work RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited shall have no liability for any loss, damage, injury, claim, expense, cost or other consequence arising as a result of use or reliance upon any information contained in or omitted from this document.						
Any persons intending to use this document should satisfy themselves as to its applicability for their intended purpose.						
The user of this document has the obligation to employ safe working practices for any activities re- ferred to and to adopt specific practices appropriate to local conditions.						
Rev No.	Date		Status/Reason for Issue	Author	Checked b	Approved by
01	June 20)24	Final for DCO Application	MacArthur Green	RWE	RWE
02	Novem 2024	ber 1	Revision for Updated NE Guidance and Relevant Representations	MacArthur Green	RWE	RWE



Revision Change Log				
Rev No.	Page	Section	Description	
01	N/A	N/A	Submitted for DCO Application	
02	23	12.2.1	Section added describing post-submission consultation and how Relevant Representations have informed revisions to the chapter.	
02	58	12.4.3.2	Clarification added regarding sums of DBS East and DBS West in isolation numbers not being equal to the Projects together values.	
02	61	12.5.2	Additional section 'Baseline Environment and Representa- tiveness of Survey Data' added in response to Natural Eng- land's Relevant Representation [RR-039].	
02	71	12.5.3	Table 12-15 updated with chick rearing and moult periods for guillemot as advised by Natural England [RR-039].	
02	74	12.5.3	Table 12-17 added detailing the average Mortality Across All Age Classes as advised by Natural England.	
02	76	12.5.3	Table 12-18 updated with postbreeding peak abundance estimates for guillemot.	
02	82-174	12.7	Potential effects during construction section updated to reflect latest Natural England guidance and Relevant Representations.	
02	174 - 265	12.8	Potential effects during operation section updated to re- flect latest Natural England guidance and Relevant Repre- sentations.	
02	268 - 309	12.10	Cumulative Effects Assessment updated to reflect latest Natural England guidance and Relevant Representations.	
02	273 - 274	12.10.3	Section 12.10.3, Impact 9 Cumulative Assessment of Con- struction Effects on Red-throated Diver added.	
02	315	12.15	Table 12-106 added comparing annual displacement mortalities and increase in background mortality stated in the original ES compared and this revision.	
02	316	12.15	Table 12-107 added comparing annual upper 95% confi- dence interval collision mortalities and increase in back- ground mortality stated in the original ES and this revision.	



Contents

Glossary	15
Acronyms	19
12 Offshore Ornithology	21
12.1 Introduction	21
12.2 Consultation	23
12.2.1 Post-Submission Consultation	23
12.3 Scope	42
12.3.1 Study Area	42
12.3.2 Realistic Worst Case Scenario	42
12.3.3 Embedded Mitigation	47
12.4 Assessment Methodology	49
12.4.1 Policy, Legislation and Guidance	49
12.4.2 Data and Information Sources	54
12.4.3 Impact Assessment Methodology	55
12.4.4 Cumulative Effects Assessment Methodology	58
12.4.5 Transboundary Effect Assessment Methodology	59
12.4.6 Assumptions and Limitations	59
12.5 Existing Environment	60
12.5.1 Designated sites	60
12.5.2 Baseline Environment and Representativeness of Survey Data	61
12.5.3 Assessment of Nature Conservation Value for Each Bird Species	68
12.5.4 Future Trends	78
12.6 Assessment of Significance	82
12.7 Potential Effects During Construction	82
12.7.1 Impact 1 Direct Disturbance and Displacement from Increased Ves Activity	sel 82
12.7.2 Impact 2 Indirect Impacts Through Effects on Habitats and Prey Species During Construction	.173
12.8 Potential Effects During Operation	.174
12.8.1 Impact 3 Disturbance and Displacement from Offshore Infrastructu	ıre 174



12.8.2 Impact 4 Indirect Impacts Through Effects on Habitats and Prey	
Species During Operation24	45
12.8.3 Impact 5 Collision Risk24	46
12.8.4 Impact 6 Combined Operational Collision Risk and Displacement 20	65
12.9 Potential Effects During Decommissioning	66
12.9.1 Impact 7 Direct Disturbance and Displacement	66
12.9.2 Impact 8 Indirect Impacts Through Effects on Habitats and Prey Species	67
12.10 Cumulative Effects Assessment	68
12.10.1 Screening for Cumulative Effects	68
12.10.2 Schemes Considered for Cumulative Impacts	70
12.10.3 Impact 9 Cumulative Assessment of Construction Effects on Red- throated Diver	73
12.10.4 Impact 10 Cumulative Assessment of Operational Displacement	74
12.10.5 Impact 11 Cumulative Assessment of Operational Collision Risk. 29	91
12.10.6 Impact 12 Cumulative Assessment of Operational Collision Risk ar Displacement	nd 08
12.11 Potential Monitoring Requirements	09
12.12 Transboundary Effects	09
12.13 Interactions	10
12.14 Inter-relationships	13
12.15 Summary	14
References	21

Tables

Table 12-1 Natural England Relevant Representation comments of relevance to the EIA and the Applicants' response	.24
Table 12-2 Realistic Worst Case Design Parameters for Offshore Ornithology	.43
Table 12-3 Development Scenarios and Construction Durations	.44
Table 12-4 Embedded Mitigation Measures	.47
Table 12-5 NPS Assessment Requirements	.50



Table 12-6 Definition of Sensitivity for Ornithological Receptors
Table 12-7 Definition of Magnitude of Impacts
Table 12-8 Offshore Ornithology Significance of Effect Matrix
Table 12-9 Definition of Effect Significance
Table 12-10 Designated Sites for Birds with Potential Connectivity to the Proposed Projects
Table 12-11 Wind farms used in density comparisons with Dogger Bank South 62
Table 12-12 Mean and peak seasonal densities (birds/km²) reported from other North Sea sites in proximity to the Projects. Blanks (-) indicate non-applicable seasons
Table 12-13 Mean and peak seasonal densities (birds/km²) on DBS East, DBS West and the range reported from other North Sea sites in proximity to the Projects. See Table 12-12 for the densities reported on each wind farm and dataset used. Blanks (-) indicate non-applicable seasons
Table 12-14 Summary of Nature Conservation Value of Species Considered at Risk of Impacts
Table 12-15 Species Specific Seasonal Definitions and Biologically Defined Minimum Population Sizes (In Brackets) Have Been Taken from Furness (2015) or Natural England 2024 [RR-039] where updated. Chick rearing and moult periods for guillemot have been added as advised by Natural England [RR-039]). Shaded Cells Indicate the Appropriate Nonbreeding Season Periods Used in the Assessment for Each Species
Table 12-16 Biogeographic Population Sizes Taken from Furness (2015)
Table 12-17 Average Mortality Across All Age Classes as advised by Natural England (2024)
Table 12-18 Peak abundance estimates (and 95% Confidence Intervals) by Biological Season for Bird Species within the East and West Array Areas Recorded during Baseline Surveys. A post-breeding season has been added for guillemot as requested by Natural England [RR-039]
Table 12-19 Construction Disturbance and Displacement Screening
Table 12-20 Summary of Gannet Displacement During Construction Assessment for DBS East, DBS West and Combined (Projects)
Table 12-21 Summary of Guillemot Displacement During Construction Assessment For DBS East, DBS West and Combined (Projects)
Table 12-22 Summary of Razorbill Displacement During Construction Assessment for DBS East, DBS West and Combined (Projects)



Table 12-23 Summary of Puffin Displacement During Construction Assessment for DBS East, DBS West and Combined (Projects)
Table 12-24 Operational Disturbance and Displacement Screening
Table 12-25 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Gannets in the DBS East Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Breeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-26 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Gannets in the DBS East Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Autumn Migration Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-27 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Gannets in the DBS East Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Spring Migration Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-28 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Gannets in the DBS East Array Area (and 2km Buffer) Combined Across the Breeding and Nonbreeding Seasons That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-29 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Gannets in the DBS West Array Area (And 2km Buffer) During the Breeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-30 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Gannets in the DBS West Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Autumn Migration Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-31 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Gannets in the DBS West Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Spring Migration Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-32 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Gannets in the DBS West Array Area (and 2km Buffer) Combined Across the Breeding and Nonbreeding Seasons That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-33 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Gannets in the Array Areas (and 2km Buffer) During the Breeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-34 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Gannets in the Array Areas (and 2km Buffer) During the Autumn Migration Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-35 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Gannets in the Array Areas (and 2km Buffer) During the Spring Migration Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)



Table 12-36 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Gannets in the Array Areas (and 2km Buffer) Combined Across the Breeding and Nonbreeding Seasons That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)194
Table 12-37 Summary of Gannet Operational Displacement Assessment for DBS East, DBS West and Combined (Projects)
Table 12-38 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Guillemots in the DBS East Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Breeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-39 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Guillemots in the DBS East Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Chick Rearing and Moult Period That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-40 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Guillemots in the DBS East Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Nonbreeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-41 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Guillemots in the DBS East Array Area (and 2km Buffer) Combined Across the Breeding and Nonbreeding Seasons That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-42 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Guillemots in the DBS West Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Breeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-43 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Guillemots in the DBS West Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Chick Rearing and Moult Period That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-44 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Guillemots in the DBS West Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Nonbreeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-45 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Guillemots in the DBS West Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Breeding Season, Chick Rearing and Moult Period and Nonbreeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-46 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Guillemots in the Array Areas (and 2km Buffer) During the Breeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-47 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Guillemots in the Array Areas (and 2km Buffer) During the Chick Rearing and Moult Period That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)



Table 12-48 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Guillemots in the Array Areas (and 2km Buffer) During the Nonbreeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-49 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Guillemot in the Array Areas (and 2km Buffer) Combined Across the Breeding and Nonbreeding Seasons That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-50 Summary of Guillemot Operational Displacement Assessment for DBS East, DBS West and Combined (Projects)
Table 12-51 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Razorbills in the DBS East Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Breeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-52 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Razorbills in the DBS East Array Area (and 2km buffer) During Autumn Migration That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-53 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Razorbills in the DBS East Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Winter Period That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-54 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Razorbills in the DBS East Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During Spring Migration That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-55 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Razorbills in the DBS East Array Area (and 2km Buffer) Combined Across the Breeding, Autumn Migration, Winter and Spring Migration Periods That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-56 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Razorbills in the DBS West Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Breeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-57 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Razorbills in the DBS West Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During Autumn Migration That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-58 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Razorbills in the DBS West Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Winter Period That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-59 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Razorbill in the DBS West Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During Spring Migration That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-60 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Razorbill in the DBS West Array Area (and 2km Buffer) Combined Across the Breeding, Autumn



Migration, Winter and Spring Migration Periods That May Be Subject to Mortality (highlighted)
Table 12-61 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Razorbills in the Array Areas (and 2km Buffer) During the Breeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-62 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Razorbill in the Array Areas (and 2km Buffer) During Autumn Migration That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-63 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Razorbill in the Array Areas (and 2km Buffer) During the Winter Period That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-64 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Razorbill in the Array Areas (and 2km Buffer) During Spring Migration That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-65 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Razorbill in the Array Areas (and 2km buffer) Combined Across the Breeding, Autumn Migration, Winter and Spring Migration Periods That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted) 230
Table 12-66 Summary of Razorbill Operational Displacement Assessment for DBS East, DBS West and Combined (Projects)
Table 12-67 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Puffins in the DBS East Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Breeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-68 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Puffins in the DBS East Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Nonbreeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-69 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Puffins in the DBS East Array Area (and 2km Buffer) Combined Across the Breeding and Nonbreeding Seasons That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-70 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Puffins in the DBS West Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Breeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-71 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Puffins in the DBS West Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Nonbreeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-72 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Puffins in the DBS West Array Area (and 2km Buffer) Across the Breeding and Nonbreeding Season That May Be Subject To Mortality (Highlighted)



Table 12-73 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Puffins in the Array Areas (and 2km Buffer) During the Breeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-74 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Puffins in the Array Areas (and 2km Buffer) During the Nonbreeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-75 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Puffins in the Array Areas (and 2km Buffer) Combined Across the Breeding and Nonbreeding Seasons That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)
Table 12-76 Summary of Puffin Operational Displacement Assessment for DBS East, DBS West and Combined (Projects)
Table 12-77 Collision Risk Screening. Species Were Screened in on the Basis of Columns Two and Three
Table 12-78 Parameters Used in CRM
Table 12-79 Annual Collision Risk Estimates for the Array Areas Combined (Deterministic Band Model Option 2, Avoidance Rates as per Table 12-78). Values are the Mean Number of Birds and 95% Confidence Intervals. For Species Screened in for Assessment, the 200 Small Turbine Scenario Gave the Highest Collision Risks. 251
Table 12-80 Seasonal Collision Risk Estimates for the Worst Case Scenario Wind Turbine (1). Values are the Mean Number of Predicted Collisions and 95% Confidence Intervals Derived From 5,000 stochastic simulations
Table 12-81Predicted Gannet Collisions at 70% macro-avoidance at DBS East and DBS West combined and Percentage Increases in the Background Mortality Rate of Seasonal and Annual Populations for the Worst Case Wind Turbine Scenario (1). Note that the Annual Mortalities Have Been Assessed Against Both the Biogeographic Populations and the Largest BDMPS in Order to Indicate the Range of Likely Effects
Table 12-82 Predicted Gannet Collisions at 65% macro-avoidance at DBS East and DBS West combined and Percentage Increases in the Background Mortality Rate of Seasonal and Annual Populations for the Worst Case Wind Turbine Scenario (1). Note that the Annual Mortalities Have Been Assessed Against Both the Biogeographic Populations and the Largest BDMPS in Order to Indicate the Range of Likely Effects
Table 12-83 Predicted Gannet Collisions at 85% macro-avoidance at DBS East and DBS West combined and Percentage Increases in the Background Mortality Rate of Seasonal and Annual Populations for the Worst Case Wind Turbine Scenario (1). Note that the Annual Mortalities Have Been Assessed Against Both the



Biogeographic Populations and the Largest BDMPS in Order to Indicate the Rar of Likely Effects	nge .260
Table 12-84 Predicted Kittiwake Collisions at DBS East and DBS West combine and Percentage Increases in the Background Mortality Rate of Seasonal and Annual Populations for the Worst Case Wind Turbine Scenario (1). Note that the Annual Mortalities Have Been Assessed Against Both the Biogeographic Populations and the Largest BDMPS in Order to Indicate the Range of Likely Eff	d e ects .261
Table 12-85 Predicted Lesser Black-Backed Gull Collisions at DBS East and DB West combined and Percentage Increases in the Background Mortality Rate of Seasonal and Annual Populations for the Worst Case Wind Turbine Scenario (1) Note that the Annual Mortalities Have Been Assessed Against Both the Biogeographic Populations and the Largest BDMPS in Order to Indicate the Rai of Likely Effects	95 nge .262
Table 12-86 Predicted Herring Gull Collisions at DBS East and DBS West combi and Percentage Increases in the Background Mortality Rate of Seasonal and Annual Populations for the Worst Case Wind Turbine Scenario (1). Note that the Annual Mortalities Have Been Assessed Against Both the Biogeographic Populations and the Largest BDMPS in Order to Indicate the Range of Likely Eff	ined e ects .263
Table 12-87 Predicted Great Black-Backed Gull Collisions at DBS East and DBS West combined and Percentage Increases in the Background Mortality Rate of Seasonal and Annual Populations for the Worst Case Wind Turbine Scenario (1) Note that the Annual Mortalities Have Been Assessed Against Both the Biogeographic Populations and the Largest BDMPS in Order to Indicate the Rai of Likely Effects	S nge .264
Table 12-88 Screening for Potential Cumulative Effects	.269
Table 12-89 Schemes Considered Within the Offshore Ornithology Cumulative Effect Assessment.	.272
Table 12-90 Cumulative Numbers of Gannets at Risk of Displacement from Offshore Wind farms in the North Sea	.275
Table 12-91 Cumulative Annual Displacement Matrix for Gannet	.277
Table 12-92 Cumulative Numbers of Guillemots at Risk of Displacement from Offshore Wind farms in the North Sea	.278
Table 12-93 Cumulative Annual Displacement Matrix for Guillemot	.280
Table 12-94 Cumulative Numbers of Razorbills at Risk of Displacement from Offshore Wind farms in the North Sea	.283
Table 12-95 Cumulative Annual Displacement Matrix for Razorbill	.286



Table 12-96 Cumulative Numbers of Puffins at Risk of Displacement from Offshore Wind farms in the North Sea	9 9
Table 12-97 Cumulative Annual Displacement Matrix for Puffin	С
Table 12-98 Cumulative Collision Risk Assessment for Gannet unless otherwise indicated an avoidance rate of 98.9% was used	2
Table 12-99 Cumulative Collision Risk Assessment for Kittiwake unless otherwise indicated an avoidance rate of 98.9% was used	6
Table 12-100 Cumulative Collision Risk Assessment for Lesser black-backed gull unless otherwise indicated an avoidance rate of 99.5% was used	Э
Table 12-101 Cumulative Collision Risk Assessment for Herring gull unless otherwise indicated an avoidance rate of 99.5% was used	2
Table 12-102 Cumulative Collision Risk Assessment for Great black-backed gull unless otherwise indicated an avoidance rate of 99.5% was used	5
Table 12-103 Summary of Potential interactions	1
Table 12-104 Potential Interactions Between Impacts on Offshore Ornithology 312	2
Table 12-105 Summary of Potential inter-relationships	3
Table 12-106 Comparison of annual displacement mortalities and increase in background mortality from the Original ES and this update	5
Table 12-107 Comparison of annual upper 95% confidence interval collision mortalities and increase in background mortality from the original ES and this update	6
Table 12-108 Summary of Potential Likely Significant Effects on Offshore Ornithology	Э



Figures

Figure 12-1 - Existing Shipping Traffic Through the Greater Wash SPA

Appendices

- Appendix 12-1 Offshore Ornithology Consultation Responses
- Appendix 12-2 Technical Appendix
- Appendix 12-3a Monthly Abundance (All)
- Appendix 12-3b Monthly Abundance (Sitting)
- Appendix 12-3c Monthly Abundance (Flying)
- Appendix 12-4a Monthly Densities (All)
- Appendix 12-4b Monthly Densities (Sitting)
- Appendix 12-4c Monthly Densities (Flying)
- Appendix 12-5a Seasonal Peak Abundance (All)
- Appendix 12-5b Seasonal Peak Abundance (Sitting)
- Appendix 12-5c Seasonal Peak Abundance (Flying)
- Appendix 12-6a Seasonal Peak Density (All)
- Appendix 12-6b Seasonal Peak Density (Sitting)
- Appendix 12-6c Seasonal Peak Density (Flying)
- Appendix 12-7a Survey Abundances (All)
- Appendix 12-7b Survey Abundances (Sitting)
- Appendix 12-7c Survey Abundances (Flying)
- Appendix 12-8a Survey Densities (All)
- Appendix 12-8b Survey Densities (Sitting)
- Appendix 12-8c Survey Densities (Flying)
- Appendix 12-9 Collision Risk Modelling Inputs and Outputs
- Appendix 12-10 Seabird Distribution Figures
- Appendix 12-11 Review of Turbines Lighting Furness 2018
- Appendix 12-12 Seasonal Displacement Matrices Upper Lower C.I. Abundance
- Appendix 12-13 Population Viability Analyses



Glossary

Term	Definition
Array Areas	The DBS East and DBS West offshore Array Areas, where the wind turbines, offshore platforms and array cables will be located. The Array Areas do not include the Offshore Export Cable Corridor or the Inter-Platform Cable Corridor within which no wind turbines are proposed. Each area is referred to separately as an Array Area.
Array cables	Offshore cables which link the wind turbines to the Offshore Converter Platform(s).
Collision	The act or process of colliding (crashing) between two moving objects.
Collision Risk Model (CRM)	Quantitative means to estimate the number of predicted collisions between seabirds recorded in the Array Areas and rotating wind turbines.
Concurrent Scenario	A potential construction scenario for the Projects where DBS East and DBS West are both constructed at the same time.
Cumulative effects	The combined effect of the Projects in combination with the effects of a number of different (defined cumulative) schemes, on the same single receptor / resource.
Cumulative Effects Assessment (CEA)	The assessment of the combined effect of the Projects in combination with the effects of a number of different (defined cumulative) schemes, on the same single receptor/resource.
Cumulative impact	The combined impact of the Projects in combination with the effects of a number of different (defined cumulative) schemes, on the same single receptor / resource.
Development Consent Order (DCO)	An order made under the Planning Act 2008 granting development consent for one or more Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP).
Development Scenario	Description of how the DBS East and/or DBS West Projects would be constructed either in-isolation, sequentially or concurrently.



Term	Definition
Dogger Bank South (DBS) Offshore Wind Farms	The collective name for the two Projects, DBS East and DBS West.
Effect	Term used to express the consequence of an impact. The significance of an effect is determined by correlating the magnitude of the impact with the value, or sensitivity, of the receptor or resource in accordance with defined significance criteria.
Electrical Switching Platform (ESP)	The Electrical Switching Platform (ESP), if required would be located either within one of the Array Areas (alongside an Offshore Converter Platform (OCP)) or the Export Cable Platform Search Area.
Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)	A statutory process by which certain planned projects must be assessed before a formal decision to proceed can be made. It involves the collection and consideration of environmental information, which fulfils the assessment requirements of the EIA Directive and EIA Regulations, including the publication of an Environmental Statement (ES).
Evidence Plan Process (EPP)	A voluntary consultation process with specialist stakeholders to agree the approach, and information to support, the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) for certain topics.
Expert Topic Group (ETG)	A forum for targeted engagement with regulators and interested stakeholders through the EPP.
Export Cable Platform Search Area	The Export Cable Platform Search Area is located mid-way along the Offshore Export Cable Corridor and is the area of search for the Electrical Switching Platform (ESP).
Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA)	The process that determines whether or not a plan or project many have an adverse effect on the integrity of a European Site or European Offshore Marine Site.
Impact	Used to describe a change resulting from an activity via the Projects, i.e. increased suspended sediments / increased noise.



Term	Definition
In Isolation Scenario	A potential construction scenario for one Project which includes either the DBS East or DBS West array, associated offshore and onshore cabling and only the eastern Onshore Converter Station within the Onshore Substation Zone and only the northern route of the onward cable route to the proposed Birkhill Wood National Grid Substation.
Inter-Platform Cable Corridor	The area where Inter-Platform Cables would route between platforms within the DBS East and DBS West Array Areas, should both Projects be constructed.
Mean Sea Level	The average level of the sea surface over a defined period (usually a year or longer), taking account of all tidal effects and surge events.
Offshore Development Area	The Offshore Development Area for ES encompasses both the DBS East and West Array Areas, the Inter-Platform Cable Corridor, the Offshore Export Cable Corridor, plus the associated Construction Buffer Zones.
Offshore Export Cable Corridor	This is the area which will contain the Offshore Export Cables (and potentially the ESP) between the offshore substation / converter platforms and Transition Joint Bays at the landfall.
Offshore Export Cables	The cables which would bring electricity from the offshore platforms to the Transition Joint Bays (TJBs).
Offshore Ornithology Study Area	Area considered for seabird species with potential connectivity to the Project areas and hence subject to assessment. Comprises the North Sea and breeding colonies located along the British coastline of the North Sea.
Offshore Ornithology Survey Area	The Offshore Ornithology Survey Area comprises the Array Areas plus a 4km buffer.
Projects Design (or Rochdale) Envelope	A concept that ensures the EIA is based on assessing the realistic worst case scenario where flexibility or a range of options is sought as part of the consent application.
Scoping opinion	The report adopted by the Planning Inspectorate on behalf of the Secretary of State.



Term	Definition
Scoping report	The report that was produced in order to request a Scoping Opinion from the Secretary of State.
Sequential Scenario	A potential construction scenario for the Projects where DBS East and DBS West are constructed with a lag between the commencement of construction activities. Either Project could be built first.
The Applicants	The Applicants for the Projects are RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited. The Applicants are themselves jointly owned by the RWE Group of companies (51% stake) and Masdar (49% stake).
The Projects	DBS East and DBS West (collectively referred to as the Dogger Bank South Offshore Wind Farms).



Acronyms

Term	Definition
BDMPS	Biologically Defined Minimum Population Scale
BoCC	Birds of Conservation Concern
вто	British Trust for Ornithology
CEA	Cumulative Effects Assessment
CRM	Collision Risk Model
DBS	Dogger Bank South
DCO	Development Consent Order
DML	Deemed Marine Licence
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EMF	Electro-Magnetic Fields
EPP	Evidence Plan Process
ES	Environmental Statement
ESAS	European Seabirds at Sea
ETG	Expert Topic Group
ESP	Electrical Switching Platform
НАТ	Highest Astronomical Tide
HPAI	Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza
HRA	Habitats Regulations Assessment
IPMP	In-Principal Monitoring Plan
JNCC	Joint Nature Conservation Committee

Unrestricted 004300153



Term	Definition
MSL	Mean Sea Level
NPS	National Policy Statements
PEIR	Preliminary Environmental Information Report
РЕМР	Pollution Environmental Management Plan
RIAA	Report to Inform Appropriate Assessment
RSPB	Royal Society for the Protection of Birds
SPA	Special Protection Area



12 Offshore Ornithology

12.1 Introduction

- 1. This chapter of the Environmental Statement (ES) considers the likely significant effects of the Projects on offshore ornithology. The chapter provides an overview of the existing environment for the proposed Offshore Development Area, followed by an assessment of likely significant effects for the construction, operation, and decommissioning phases of the Projects.
- 2. Additional information to support the offshore ornithology assessment is included in:
 - Volume 7, Appendix 12-1 Offshore Ornithology Consultation Responses (application ref: 7.12.12.1);
 - Volume 7, Appendix 12-2 Technical Appendix (application ref: 7.12.12.2);
 - Volume 7, Appendix 12-3a Monthly Abundance (All) (application ref: 7.12.12.3);
 - Volume 7, Appendix 12-3b Monthly Abundance (Sitting) (application ref: 7.12.12.3);
 - Volume 7, Appendix 12-3c Monthly Abundance (Flying) (application ref: 7.12.12.3);
 - Volume 7, Appendix 12-4a Monthly Densities (All) (application ref: 7.12.12.4);
 - Volume 7, Appendix 12-b4 Monthly Densities (Sitting) (application ref: 7.12.12.4);
 - Volume 7, Appendix 12-4c Monthly Densities (Flying) (application ref: 7.12.12.4);
 - Volume 7, Appendix 12-5a Seasonal Peak Abundance (All) (application ref: 7.12.12.5);
 - Volume 7, Appendix 12-5b Seasonal Peak Abundance (Sitting) (application ref: 7.12.12.5);
 - Volume 7, Appendix 12-5c Seasonal Peak Abundance (Flying) (application ref: 7.12.12.5);
 - Volume 7, Appendix 12-6a Seasonal Peak Density (All) (application ref: 7.12.12.6);
 - Volume 7, Appendix 12-6b Seasonal Peak Density (Sitting) (application ref: 7.12.12.6);

Unrestricted 004300153



- Volume 7, Appendix 12-6c Seasonal Peak Density (Flying) (application ref: 7.12.12.6);
- Volume 7, Appendix 12-7a Survey Abundances (All) (application ref: 7.12.12.7);
- Volume 7, Appendix 12-7b Survey Abundances (Sitting) (application ref: 7.12.12.7);
- Volume 7, Appendix 12-7c Survey Abundances (Flying) (application ref: 7.12.12.7);
- Volume 7, Appendix 12-8a Survey Densities (All) (application ref: 7.12.12.8);
- Volume 7, Appendix 12-8b Survey Densities (Sitting) (application ref: 7.12.12.8);
- Volume 7, Appendix 12-8c Survey Densities (Flying) (application ref: 7.12.12.8);
- Volume 7, Appendix 12-9 Collision Risk Modelling Inputs and Outputs (application ref: 7.12.12.9);
- Volume 7, Appendix 12-10 Seabird Distribution Figures (application ref: 7.12.12.10);
- Volume 7, Appendix 12-11 Review of Turbines Lighting Furness 2018 (application ref: 7.12.12.11);
- Volume 7, Appendix 12-12 Seasonal Displacement Matrices Upper Lower C.I. Abundance (application ref: 7.12.12.12); and
- Volume 7, Appendix 12-13 Population Viability Analyses (application ref: 7.12.12.13).



12.2 Consultation

- 3. Consultation with regard to offshore ornithology has been undertaken in line with the general process described in **Volume 7, Chapter 7 Consultation** (application ref: 7.7) and the **Volume 5, Consultation Report (application ref: 5.1)**. The key elements to date include EIA Scoping, formal consultation on the Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR) under section 42 of the Planning Act 2008 and the ongoing Evidence Plan Process (EPP) via the Offshore Ornithology Expert Topic Group (ETG).
- 4. The feedback received throughout this process has been considered in preparing the ES. This chapter has been updated following consultation in order to produce the final assessment submitted within the Development Consent Order (DCO) application. Volume 7, Appendix 12-1 Offshore Ornithology Consultation Responses (application ref: 7.12.12.1) provides a summary of the consultation responses received to date relevant to this topic, and details how the comments have been addressed within this chapter.

12.2.1 Post-Submission Consultation

- 5. This document provides an updated assessment for offshore ornithology to address requests made in Natural England's Relevant Representation [RR-039]. These updates also address RSPB comments [RR-049] where applicable.
- 6. A comprehensive response to each of Natural England's comments was provided on 29th October 2024 [PDB-006]. Comments which also required revision to the assessment are provided in **Table 12-1** and are addressed in this document, with the relevant section of the document identified. Note that only those comments relevant to this assessment are reproduced in **Table 12-1** and addressed in the document. Comments of relevance to the Report to Inform Appropriate Assessment (RIAA)) are provided in an update to the **RIAA Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) Part 3 of 4** [document reference: 6.1].



Table 12-1 Natural England Relevant Representation comments of relevance to the EIA and the Applicants' response

NE Ref. No.	NE Comment	Applicants' Response	Section
G1	Deviation from Natural England/SNCB advice Natural England cannot agree with the EIA or HRA conclusions presented due to several aspects of the assessment not being provided in line with SNCB advice given during the EPP and/or our Best Practice Advice	An updated assessment has been provided in this document where appropriate. This has been provided in order to reduce the risk of delays, but critically the changes requested by Natural England do not substantively alter the conclusions of the original application (and in the majority of cases do not materially change those conclusions at all).	N/A – throughout
G2	Methods used to combine impacts of the two arrays. Natural England do not agree that the approach taken by the Applicant to calculate the combined impacts of the Dogger Bank South (DBS) East and West arrays is appropriate or accurately reflects the worst-case scenario.	An update to the East plus West combined assessment is provided in this document, with the combined values derived as Natural England request, rather than as provided in the original submission. However, the Applicants consider the analysis originally presented was robust and that the update has made no material	12.7.1 12.8.1

Unrestricted 004300153



NE Ref. No.	NE Comment	Applicants' Response	Section
		difference to the assessment conclusions.	
G3	Calculation of impacts on guillemot and razorbill at FFC SPA Natural England do not agree with the approach taken by the Applicant in assessing and apportioning impacts on guillemot and razorbill to Flamborough and Filey Coast Special Protection Area (FFC SPA). Whilst we welcome that the Applicant has considered the need for a bespoke approach to apportioning guillemot in August and September, we consider that the inclusion of these months within an extended breeding season under-represents the impacts. For razorbill, we consider that the use of the Biologically Defined Minimum Population Scale (BDMPS) method for apportioning impacts during the post-breeding migration season also under-represents the impacts.	The Applicants have provided a revised assessment incorporating this new guidance from Natural England.	Assessment with respect to FFC SPA is provided in the updated RIAA HRA Part 3 of 4 [document reference: 6.1]. In this document, sections 12.7.1 and 12.8.1 provide updated auk assessment for the wider population.

Unrestricted 004300153



NE Ref. No.	NE Comment	Applicants' Response	Section
G5	Impacts from several developments that have recently submitted applications or are material considerations in the planning process, have been excluded from the in-combination assessments, including: •Outer Dowsing •Five Estuaries •North Falls •Dogger Bank D Whilst we acknowledge that the final submissions of these Projects were likely too close to the DBS submission to allow for full inclusion, information will have been in the public domain from the Preliminary Environmental Information Reports to allow them to be a material consideration. The Applicant has also not included the impacts of projects where compensation has been agreed. Natural England agree that this may be appropriate for impacts on kittiwake, however we advise that impacts from Hornsea Project Four	An updated in-combination assessment is provided in section 12.10, which includes projects for which assessment totals are now available. This includes Outer Dowsing, Five Estuaries and North Falls as these have submitted their final applications (although it is important to note that some of their estimated impacts are subject to requests for revision by Natural England so these totals are expected to be preliminary until final agreements have been reached between each project and Natural England). Dogger Bank D has not submitted a PEIR at this stage and it is considered unlikely that this will occur within the timescale of the DBS examination. In the updates provided, the Applicants have included impact estimates for projects that have agreed	12.10

Unrestricted 004300153



NE Ref. No.	NE Comment	Applicants' Response	Section
	on guillemot should be included due to the current uncertainty regarding the effectiveness of compensation measures for auks.	compensation, although the Applicants consider that it is more appropriate to apply a consistent approach for impacts that have agreed compensation (i.e. guillemot compensation should be treated the same as kittiwake compensation in terms of in-combination assessment). The inclusion of these additional projects to the in-combination assessments are not expected to make any material or substantive differences to the conclusions presented in the original application.	
G10	The minimum lower blade tip clearance has been provided as 34m to Mean Sea Level (MSL) rather than Highest Astronomical Tide (HAT). We acknowledge the Applicant's reasons for using MSL but consider that HAT provides the true minimum clearance and is also consistent with the parameters presented across other projects.	Mean Sea Level (MSL) has been used as a datum in the ES for the purpose of considering the minimum lower blade tip clearance. The reason for stating blade tip heights with respect to MSL in the Offshore Ornithology assessment is that this is the sea-level datum used for seabird flight heights in the collision	N/A

Unrestricted

Page 27



NE Ref. No.	NE Comment	Applicants' Response	Section
		risk model. The lower blade clearance relative to HAT is 32.2m (the mean difference between MSL and HAT is 1.8m). The Applicants note that a variety of different datums have been used across recent offshore wind DCOs and the Applicants are not aware of any reason to favour one approach over another and therefore have retained MSL.	
G11 & G15	Natural England does not support the approach taken by the Applicant to combine the impacts of the two arrays for several aspects of the project assessment (e.g. abundance estimates and displacement) as it underrepresents the impacts and does not reflect the worst case scenario. We note that the Applicant has acknowledged that the arrays should be considered as NSIPs in their own right and assessed separately, and that if separate applications were to be submitted their impacts would be calculated separately and	 While Natural England is correct that the combined totals for the wind farm plus buffers do not always match the sum of the individual sites (East and West), this is due to the methods used at PEIR. To estimate the baseline abundances for PEIR, at which time the East and West sites shared a common border, it was necessary to perform calculations on the East plus West datasets combined since summing the buffer 	12.7.1 12.8.1

Unrestricted

Page 28



NE Ref. No.	NE Comment	Applicants' Response	Section
	summed. However, the Applicant has not followed this approach in their assessment.	areas would result in double-counting of birds recorded in the buffer zones. Although following PEIR the site's boundaries were revised such that the 4km buffers no longer overlapped, the approach to abundance calculation was retained for the DCO submission, and this is why there can be differences between the sum of East and West and the combined abundances. While the mean estimates can be summed to obtain the combined total as Natural England advise (an updated assessment using this approach is provided in this document), it remains the case that this approach cannot be taken for the measures of uncertainty (SD and confidence intervals) as those can only be robustly obtained from a combined bootstrap analysis (i.e. it is not appropriate to sum SDs).	

Unrestricted 004300153



NE Ref. No.	NE Comment	Applicants' Response	Section
G13	Representativeness of baseline data Natural England note that there is considerable variation in the abundance and density estimates between survey years for several species, however no assessment of between-year variation has been undertaken and no additional datasets have been considered when characterising the baseline. This is particularly important given that the baseline survey period includes months before and during the recent highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI) outbreaks. To aid understanding of any influence of HPAI on the baseline, NE provided the Applicant with our Advice note "Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza (HPAI) outbreak in seabirds and Natural England advice on impact assessment (specifically relating to offshore wind)"(September 2022) during the Evidence Plan Process and advised that relevant datasets from other developments in the area (e.g. the other Dogger Bank offshore wind farms) or modelled datasets (e.g. MERP (Waggitt <i>et al</i>	A discussion of these aspects is provided as context for the survey results in this document. In summary, having compared the DBS survey results with those for nearby wind farms it is apparent that there is a very wide range of inter-annual variation across all wind farm sites, and this is a widespread phenomenon in sea bird survey data (Trinder <i>et al.</i> 2024). This means there is very little probability of detecting differences due to HPAI, and indeed for some species the numbers on the DBS sites were higher in the second year (i.e. following the occurrence of HPAI in the region) than in the first year. The Applicants therefore consider the baseline site characterisation data to be representative of the seabird presence at the wind farm sites and provided a robust basis for impact assessment.	12.5

Unrestricted

Page 30



NE Ref. No.	NE Comment	Applicants' Response	Section
	20203) or SeaMaST) should be considered when characterising the project baseline. We note that Outer Dowsing OWF recently submitted an application covering a similar baseline period, and in line with NE advice provided an assessment of between-year variation and consideration of baseline data from nearby OWF projects, to assess the representativeness of their baseline data.		
G14	In Chapter 7.12 the Applicant states that "the results of the current seabird census (Seabirds Count) will provide important information" on seabird population trends. However, the results of the most recent seabird census were published in October 2023 (Burnell <i>et al.</i> 2023) and have not been used in this assessment when considering seabird population trends, particularly when interpreting the results of PVAs. We also note that an assessment of the impacts of the recent HPAI outbreaks on seabird populations since the Seabirds Count surveys is	Discussion of seabird trends has been provided in this document. While there has been concern regarding the potential impacts of HPAI on seabird breeding colonies in recent years, with a few notable exceptions (e.g. great skua on Foula), most seabird populations have been relatively unaffected. Furthermore, there is no indication of any HPAI effects in the baseline surveys of the Array Areas, and most SPA populations	12.5

Unrestricted

Page 31



NE Ref. No.	NE Comment	Applicants' Response	Section
	now available (Tremlett et al, 2024). We advise that this is a useful reference when considering seabird population trends, which has not been referred to in this assessment.	have either shown no reductions or have already recovered.	
G16	Seasonal peak abundances for the arrays combined The Applicant states in 7.12: "the combined seasonal peak abundance across the DBS East and DBS West sites used for assessment will be lower than the individual site peaks when the peaks on the latter occurred in different months. For example, if the breeding season peak on DBS East was recorded in March and the peak on DBS West in May, the combined peak will not be obtained as the sum of those values (March plus May), but instead is the highest of the DBS East plus DBS West values in each month". Natural England do not support this approach as it under-represents the impacts of the arrays combined. For example, when following the SNCB approach the sum of the seasonal peak	The Applicants disagree with Natural England on this aspect because the East and West sites were surveyed on the same day on each occasion so it would be inappropriate to sum abundances from different months as Natural England has proposed, as this would introduce a very high probability of double counting across the two sites.	N/A

Unrestricted

Page 32



NE Ref. No.	NE Comment	Applicants' Response	Section
	abundances for guillemot in the breeding season for DBS E and DBS W would be 17,813.99, however the seasonal peak abundance presented by the Applicant is 14,927.69 (Table 57, Appendix 7.12.12.5). This will cause the impacts calculated in the displacement assessment to also be underestimated (see G21).		
G18	Decommissioning displacement The Applicant has not included an assessment of displacement impacts for the decommissioning phase. We note that we previously advised the Applicant that this should be included (advice dated 27th February 2024) and that the PINS EIA Scoping response also stated that decommissioning impacts should not be scoped out of the assessment.	Section 12.9 provides the decommissioning assessment which is reasonably assumed to be equivalent to construction effects.	12.9
G19	The Applicant has not used Natural England's advised baseline mortality rates or EIA reference populations for several species. We note that these were provided to the Applicant with our	This updated information was received too late to be applied to the assessment for DCO Application submission, but has been used in this	Throughout

Unrestricted

Page 33



NE Ref. No.	NE Comment	Applicants' Response	Section
	post-PEIR advice note "NE and NRW interim advice regarding demographic rates, EIA scale mortality rates and reference populations for use in offshore wind impact assessments (dated 8 th March 2024, sent to applicant 13 th March 2024)". The use of non-NE-recommended EIA baseline	update to the assessment as appropriate.	
	mortality rates and reference populations has resulted in estimates of annual background mortality that differ from those calculated using the Natural England-recommended values for the affected species.		
G21	Displacement impacts of arrays combined	The Applicants acknowledge that some of the table headings in the appendices incorrectly stated there was an overlap of buffers. This was accidently retained from the PEIR submission when the two projects were adjacent to one another and hence the buffers did overlap. However, the Applicants disagree that the displacement assessment for the	12.7.1
	Natural England note that the displacement assessment impacts for the arrays combined presented by the Applicant do not reflect the sum of the displacement impacts of the arrays summed, due to the method used to calculate seasonal peak abundance for the arrays combined. See G16.		12.8.1

Unrestricted

Page 34



NE Ref. No.	NE Comment	Applicants' Response	Section
	Further, the titles of Tables 12.16-12.19, 12.33, 12.43, 12.59 and 12.69 state "that the Project Total is Less Than the Sum of East and West due to Overlap of the Individual 2km Buffers." However, the figures provided in the application show that the 2km buffers do not overlap. We consider that these titles misrepresent the data and the project impacts, as the reason for the project total impacts being lower than the summed impacts is due to the method used to calculate seasonal peak abundances.	combined East plus West is underestimated, for the reasons set out in response to comment G11 and G15. Nonetheless, an updated assessment has been provided for the East and West summed totals.	
G23	Construction displacement The Applicant has not followed the SNCB Best Practice Guidance for calculating construction displacement impacts, which is to halve the operational impacts.	The Applicants dispute this statement since construction effects have been assessed as Natural England advised. An example of the headings for this part of the assessment include: 12.7.1.1.1 Gannet 12.7.1.1.1.1 Significance of effect - DBS East in isolation	12.7.1

Unrestricted 004300153



NE Ref. No.	NE Comment	Applicants' Response	Section
		12.7.1.1.1.1.1 Breeding season - construction vessels	
		12.7.1.1.1.1.2 Breeding season - 50% installed turbines	
		12.7.1.1.1.1.3 Breeding season - construction vessels and 50% installed turbines.	
		The same structure was repeated for all the sites and all the species assessed. It is therefore unclear how Natural England has concluded that the assessment has not followed the SNCB Best practice guidance in considering displacement from half the wind farm and also from construction vessels.	
G24	Calculation of seasonal mean peak abundances for guillemot Natural England do not agree with the approach taken for seasonality when assessing impacts on	The Applicants have used this revised guidance from Natural England to update the assessment as requested.	12.7.1.1.3 12.8.1.1.3

Unrestricted

Page 36


NE Ref. No.	NE Comment	Applicants' Response	Section
	guillemot. The Applicant has only used two seasons (breeding and non-breeding) for guillemot. Natural England advise that August and September should be treated as a separate 'chick rearing and moult' season, with seasonal mean peaks and impacts calculated accordingly. Our detailed advice on the assessment of impacts for guillemot is provided in Annex G1.		
G26	Gannet collision The Applicant has not followed the SNCB advised approach for assessing gannet collision risk. The Applicant has calculated their own, single avoidance rate for Gannet of 99.79%, incorporating Natural England's advised avoidance rate of 99.3% and a macro- avoidance rate of 70%.	While the Applicants consider that they have followed the advice provided by Natural England, since it is a simple matter to calculate collisions for alternative avoidance rates (just multiply the collisions by the old avoidance rate divided by the new avoidance rate) these have been	12.8.3.1
	Natural England's advice on the application of macro-avoidance rates for gannet collision risk modelling (CRM) remains as per our interim advice note on CRM parameters (July 2022), provided to the Applicant during the EPP. This advises that a range of macro-avoidance rates	provided. For information the combined avoidance rate (including meso and micro avoidance at 99.3%) at 65%	

Unrestricted

Page 37



			Section
ביי קר בי בי בי בי בי בי	between 65% and 85%, or a single rate of 70% be applied for gannet, with an avoidance rate of 99.3%. Given the remaining uncertainties around potential sources of variation in macro avoidance and uncertainties over the long-term impacts of HPAI on gannet populations, Natural England believe that this range-based approach is most appropriate.	macro avoidance is 99.755% and for 85% avoidance is 99.895%. These will respectively increase collisions by 16% (x1.16) and decrease them by 50% (x0.5).	
G27 F S C C S f S M	Lack of cumulative assessment for impacts on Red-threated Diver Natural England note that the Applicant has screened out construction impacts of "Direct Disturbance and Displacement" for Red throated diver from the cumulative effects assessment, due to "very low likelihood of temporal and spatial coincidence of disturbance/displacement from other schemes in the area acting on the same populations". Natural England is becoming increasingly	Additional assessment of red-throated diver effects due to vessel movements resulting from the Projects cumulatively with other projects has been included in this update.	12.10.3

Unrestricted

Page 38



NE Ref. No.	NE Comment	Applicants' Response	Section
	vessels. In this context, we feel that it is inappropriate to screen out cumulative assessment of these impacts on Red-throated Diver.		
G28	Given the scale of the predicted impacts of the projects on seabird features, further consideration should be given to potential mitigation measures to reduce impacts, such as array reductions, changes to the design and/or layout of arrays or increasing the hub height of turbines. Hotspot modelling of seabird densities and distributions in the study area may help to identify areas where impacts are particularly high, and that might be suitable for changes to array size or layout to mitigate impacts. We understand that ornithological data was considered to inform the post-PEIR reductions in the array red line boundaries, and areas of elevated non-breeding guillemot and razorbill were noted. However, this data/mapping was not provided for review and Natural England were	Mitigation relating to air gaps has been applied in accordance with the Round 4 plan level Habitats Regulation Assessment (The Crown Estate, 2022) whereby, to reduce potential collisions with birds in flight (particularly kittiwakes), the clearance of the blades above the water was set at a minimum 34m above MSL. This mitigation measure has been adhered to within the design envelopes of the Projects. As part of the progression of project design from the Preliminary Environmental Information Report to the application stage the Array Area boundaries were reduced and refined. A number of factors, including bird distribution data, were considered as	

Unrestricted

Page 39



NE Ref. No.	NE Comment	Applicants' Response	Section
	not consulted on the reduction process. It is unclear to what extent the ornithological mapping was used to inform the array reductions, and whether further impact reductions could be achieved.	part of the boundary refinement exercise. Density mapping data based on the site-specific aerial survey data was collated and examined to indicate areas within The Crown Estate lease options that showed higher and lower densities of birds, and this was used alongside other environmental and technical information to enact the boundary change. An outline of the factors considered in the boundary refinement exercise was presented as part of the minutes from the ornithology ETG meeting 6/2/24). The refinements to the Array Area boundaries was, therefore, undertaken to help reduce impacts on important bird populations.	
G29	Natural England cannot agree with the EIA conclusions presented due to there being outstanding concerns with several aspects of the assessment, including:	As noted above in the relevant rows of this table: G19 - these revised demographic rates were provided too late for the	12.5.3 12.7.1.1.3 12.8.1.1.3

Unrestricted

Page 40



NE Ref. No.	NE Comment	Applicants' Response	Section
	 baseline mortality rates and EIA reference populations used (G19) 	assessment and an update for these has been included in this document.	12.8.3.1
	• guillemot seasonality (G24)	G24 - the Applicants have updated the assessment in this document taking into account this new advice from Natural England which was provided after the original application was submitted.	
	• gannet collision risk (G26)		
	• approach taken to combining the impacts of the two arrays (G11/G15)		
		G26 - the Applicants have provided the additional collision risks for gannet as requested, although these make only a small (non-material) difference to the conclusions reached.	
		G11/G15 - As per the response above the Applicants have explained the reasons for the approach taken and why the assessment is considered robust, nonetheless an update to the combined assessment is provided in this document.	

Unrestricted 004300153 Page 41



12.3 Scope

12.3.1 Study Area

- 7. The Offshore Ornithology Study Area has been defined on the basis of the potential connectivity between the Projects and seabird populations during the breeding, migration and wintering periods. This encompasses seabird colonies from northern Scotland (including Shetland) to south-east England (e.g. Suffolk) (see **Volume 6, Report to Inform Appropriate Assessment (RIAA) (application ref: 6.1)**).
- 8. The Offshore Ornithology Survey Area includes the Projects' Array Areas and a 4km buffer (see **Volume 7, Appendix 12-2 Technical Appendix (application ref: 7.12.12.2)**). Monthly aerial surveys across the survey area commenced in March 2021 and were completed in February 2023. The ES is based on all of the data collected during this 24 month period.
- 9. The data collected during these surveys have been used to identify the species present and their seasonal abundance.

12.3.2 Realistic Worst Case Scenario

12.3.2.1 General Approach

- 10. The realistic worst case design parameters for likely significant effects scoped into the ES for the offshore ornithology assessment are summarised in **Table 12-2**. These are based on the project parameters described in **Volume 7, Chapter 5 Project Description (application ref: 7.5)**, which provides further details regarding specific activities and their durations.
- 11. In addition to the design parameters set out in **Table 12-2**, consideration is also given to the different Development Scenarios still under consideration and the possible phasing of the construction as set out in sections 12.3.2.2 to 12.3.2.4.

RWE

Dogger Bank South Offshore Wind Farms

Table 12-2 Realistic Worst Case Design Parameters for Offshore Ornithology

	Parameter	Parameter		
	DBS East or DBS West In-Isolation	DBS West and DBS East Concurrently	DBS West and DBS East Sequentially	No
Construction			'	<u></u>
Array Areas	Pin piling (four pins per wind turbine) for largest number of wind turbines (up to 100 in either DBS East or DBS West)	Pin piling (four pins per wind turbine) for largest number of wind turbines (up to 200 across the two Projects)	Pin piling (four pins per wind turbine) for largest number of wind turbines (up to 200 across the two Projects)	Ass eac Vol
	Up to three simultaneous piling vessels operating at same time	Up to three simultaneous piling vessels operating at same time	Up to three simultaneous piling vessels operating at same time	Tec ref:
Offshore Export Cable Corridor	Two cables – assume each laid independently. Assessment will be based on a 2km buffer around each independently operating cable laying vessel.	Four cables – assume each laid independently. Assessment will be based on a 2km buffer around each independently operating cable laying vessel.	Four cables – assume each laid independently. Assessment will be based on a 2km buffer around each independently operating cable laying vessel.	(see Tec ref:
	Pin piling (eight pins piles) for one Electrical Switching Platform (ESP) along the Offshore Export Cable Corridor.	Pin piling (eight pins piles) for one Electrical Switching Platform (ESP) along the Offshore Export Cable Corridor.	Pin piling (eight pins piles) for one Electrical Switching Platform (ESP) along the Offshore Export Cable Corridor.	
Operation				
Array Areas	100 small wind turbines in either DBS East or DBS West for 30 years.	200 small wind turbines (100 in DBS East and 100 in DBS West) for 30 years.	200 small wind turbines (100 in DBS East and 100 in DBS West) for 32 years.	Lar turk (see Col and 7.1
	Complete development of areas within Array Area boundaries (DBS East: 349.1km ² ; DBS West: 354.7km ²)	Complete development of areas within Array Area boundaries	Complete development of areas within Array Area boundaries	Gre cou App App 7.1
Decommissioning	·	·	·	·
No final decision reg	arding the final decommissioning policy for th	he offshore project infrastructure including lo	Indfall, has yet been made. It is also recognis	ed th

No final decision regarding the final decommissioning policy for the offshore project infrastructure including landfall, has yet been made. It is also recognised that legislation and industry best practice change over time. It is likely that offshore project infrastructure will be removed above the seabed and reused or recycled where practicable. The detail and scope of the decommissioning works will be determined by the relevant legislation and guidance at the time of decommissioning and will be agreed with the regulator. It is anticipated that for the worst case scenario, the impacts will be no greater than those identified for the construction phase. A decommissioning plan for the offshore works would be submitted prior to any decommissioning commencing.

Unrestricted 004300153

tes and rationale sumed a 2km buffer around ch construction location (see lume 7, Appendix 12-2 chnical Appendix (application 7.12.12.2)). e Volume 7, Appendix 12-2 chnical Appendix (application **7.12.12.2)**). ger number of smaller wind bines gives highest collision risk e Volume 7, Appendix 12-9 llision Risk Modelling Inputs d Outputs (application ref: L**2.12.9)**). eatest area from which birds uld be displaced (see **Volume 7**, pendix 12-2 Technical pendix (application ref: .2.12.2)). at legislation and industry best



12.3.2.2 Development Scenarios

- 12. Following Statutory Consultation high voltage alternating current (HVAC) technology (previously assessed in PEIR) was removed from the Projects' Design Envelope (see Volume 7, Chapter 4 Site Selection and Assessment of Alternatives (application ref: 7.4) for further information). As a result, only high voltage direct current (HVDC) technology has been taken forward for assessment purposes. The ES considers the following Development Scenarios:
 - Either DBS East or DBS West is built In Isolation; or
 - DBS East and DBS West are both built either Sequentially or Concurrently.
- 13. An In Isolation Scenario has been assessed within the ES on the basis that theoretically one Project could be taken forward without the other being built out. If an In Isolation project is taken forward, either DBS East or DBS West may be constructed. As such the onshore / offshore assessment considers both DBS East and DBS West In Isolation.
- 14. In order to ensure that a robust assessment has been undertaken, all Development Scenarios have been considered to ensure the realistic worst case scenario for each topic has been assessed. A summary is provided here, and further details are provided in **Volume 7, Chapter 5 Project Description (application ref: 7.5)**.
- 15. The three Development Scenarios to be considered for assessment purposes are outlined in **Table 12-3**.

Development Scenario	Description	Overall Construction Duration (Years)	Maximum construction Duration Offshore (Years)	Maximum construction Duration Onshore (Years)
In Isolation	Either DBS East or DBS West is built In Isolation	Five	Five	Four

Table 12-3 Development Scenarios and Construction Durations



Development Scenario	Description	Overall Construction Duration (Years)	Maximum construction Duration Offshore (Years)	Maximum construction Duration Onshore (Years)
Sequential	DBS East and DBS West are both built sequentially, either Project could commence construction first with staggered / overlapping construction	Seven	A five year period of construction for each project with a lag of up to two years in the start of construction of the second project (excluding landfall duct installation) – reflecting the maximum duration of effects of seven years.	Construction works (i.e. onshore cable civil works, including duct installation) to be completed for both Projects simultaneously in the first four years, with additional works at the landfall, substation zone and cable joint bays in the following two years. Maximum duration of effects of six years.
Concurrent	DBS East and DBS West are both built concurrently reflecting the maximum peak effects	Five	Five	Four

16. Natural England has advised that displacement impacts on offshore ornithological interests during construction should be assessed for the duration of construction (taken here as construction of foundations and installation of turbines) on the basis that the magnitude of displacement impacts during construction are, on average, 50% of those for the constructed wind farm.

Unrestricted 004300153



- 17. Therefore, the three construction scenarios considered by the offshore ornithology assessment are:
 - Build DBS East or build DBS West in isolation (i.e. only one of the wind farms is constructed). This equates to one wind farm over four years at 50% impact = two wind farm years;
 - Build DBS East and DBS West concurrently reflecting the maximum peak effects (i.e. disturbance occurring on both sites over the same period). This equates to two wind farms over four years at 50% impact = four wind farm years; and
 - Build the Projects sequentially with a lag of up to two years reflecting the maximum duration of effects (i.e. disturbance occurring over a longer period of time due to the lag of two years between construction commencing at either site). This equates to wind farm A over two years plus both wind farms over two years plus wind farm B over two years at 50% impact = four wind farm years.
- 18. Thus, either concurrent or sequential construction of both wind farms will generate similar effective impact magnitudes and either one represents the worst case with respect to construction displacement.
- 19. The individual, concurrent and sequential construction scenarios all allow for flexibility to build out the Projects using a phased approach. This will allow the Projects to adapt to National Grid Electricity Transmission Operator's development plans for the onshore grid connection points. Under a phased approach the maximum timescales for individual elements of the construction have been assessed.
- 20. Any differences between the Projects, or differences that could result from the manner in which the first and the second Projects are built (concurrent or sequential and the length of any gap) are identified and discussed where relevant in section 12.6. For each potential impact, only the worst case construction scenario for the In Isolation Scenario and the Concurrent or Sequential Scenario is presented. The worst case scenario presented for the Concurrent or Sequential Scenario will depend on which of these is the worst case for the potential impact being considered. The justification for what constitutes the worst case is provided, where necessary, in section 12.6.



12.3.2.3 Operation Scenarios

- 21. Operation scenarios are described in detail in **Volume 7, Chapter 5 Project Description (application ref: 7.5)**. The assessment considers the following scenarios:
 - Only DBS East in operation;
 - Only DBS West in operation; and
 - DBS East and DBS West operating concurrently, with or without a lag of up to two years between each Project commencing operation.
- 22. If the Projects are built using a phased approach, there would also be a phased approach to starting the operational stage. The worst case scenario for the operational phases for the Projects have been assessed. See section 5.1.1 of **Volume 7, Chapter 5 Project Description (application ref: 7.5)**; for further information on phasing scenarios for the Projects.
- 23. The operational lifetime of each Project is expected to be 30 years.
- 12.3.2.4 Decommissioning Scenarios
- 24. Decommissioning scenarios are described in **Volume 7, Chapter 5 Project Description (application ref: 7.5)**. Decommissioning arrangements will be agreed through the submission of a Decommissioning Programme prior to construction, however for the purpose of this assessment it is assumed that decommissioning of the Projects could be conducted separately, or at the same time.

12.3.3 Embedded Mitigation

25. This section outlines the embedded mitigation relevant to the offshore ornithology assessment, which has been incorporated into the design of the Projects or constitutes standard mitigation measures for this topic (Table 12-4). Mitigation is also detailed within the Volume 8, Commitments Register (application ref: 8.6) and cross-referenced within this chapter. Where additional mitigation measures are proposed, these are detailed in the impact assessment (section 12.6).

Parameter	Embedded Mitigation Measures	Where is commitment secured?
Site Selection	The Crown Estate conducted a detailed site selection exercise, considering a range of sensitivities which included ornithological	DCO Schedule 1

Table 12-4 Embedded Mitigation Measures

Unrestricted 004300153



Parameter	Embedded Mitigation Measures	Where is commitment secured?
	impacts. The Projects' Array Areas are located at least 100km from the nearest seabird breeding colony at Flamborough and Filey Coast Special Protection Area (FFC SPA) and as such connectivity for most species will be relatively low. The Array Areas have been refined following review of site-specific survey information.	
Minimum blade clearance	There would be a minimum blade tip clearance (air draft height) of at least 34m above MSL. Project parameters would be secured within Volume 3, Draft DCO (application ref: 3.1) .	Deemed Marine Licence (DML) 1 & 2 - Condition 2
Vessel traffic	Potential impacts on red throated diver in the Greater Wash SPA during construction, operation and maintenance works will be mitigated through measures such as:	Pollution Environmental Management Plan (PEMP)
	• Existing shipping lanes will be utilised for any vessels crossing the Greater Wash SPA and up to 2km beyond the SPA boundary to limit potential disturbance of red-throated diver;	DML 1 & 2 - Conditions 15 & 21 DML 3 & 4-Conditions 13 & 19
	• Vessels may deviate from the existing shipping lanes to avoid disturbance of red-throated diver should they be located within the existing shipping lane;	DML 5 - Conditions 11 & 15
	 Selecting routes that avoid known aggregations of birds; 	
	 Restricting vessel movements to existing navigation routes (where the densities of red- throated divers are typically relatively low); 	
	 Maintaining direct transit routes (to minimise transit distances through areas used by red- throated diver); 	



Parameter	Embedded Mitigation Measures	Where is commitment secured?
	• Considering the potential for crew transfer vessels to travel in convoy en route to the wind farm sites and seeking to do so where it is considered practicable;	
	 Avoidance of over-revving of engines (to minimise noise disturbance); and 	
	 Briefing of vessel crew on the purpose and implications of these vessel management practices (through, for example, tool-box talks). 	
	These measures are set out in Volume 8, Outline Project Environmental Management Plan (application ref: 8.21).	

12.4 Assessment Methodology

12.4.1 Policy, Legislation and Guidance

12.4.1.1 National Policy Statements

26. The assessment of potential impacts upon offshore ornithology has been made with specific reference to the relevant National Policy Statements (NPS) including the Overarching NPS for Energy (EN-1), the NPS for Renewable Energy Infrastructure (EN-3) and the NPS for Electricity Networks Infrastructure (EN-5) (DESNZ, 2023a-c). These were published in November 2023 and were designated in January 2024. The specific assessment requirements for offshore ornithology, as detailed in the NPS, are summarised in **Table 12-5** together with an indication of the section of this chapter where each is addressed.



Table 12-5 NPS Assessment Requirements

NPS Requirement	NPS Reference	ES Section Reference			
EN-1 NPS for Energy					
Where the development is subject to EIA the applicant should ensure that the ES clearly sets out any effects on internationally, nationally, and locally designated sites of ecological or geological conservation importance (including those outside England), on protected species and on habitats and other species identified as being of principal importance for the conservation of biodiversity, including irreplaceable habitats.	EN-1 Paragraph 5.4.17	The receptors included in this assessment encompass the categories described			
The design of Energy NSIP proposals will need to consider the movement of mobile / migratory species such as birds, fish and marine and terrestrial mammals and their potential to interact with infrastructure. As energy infrastructure could occur anywhere within England and Wales, both inland and onshore and offshore, the potential to affect mobile and migratory species across the UK and more widely across Europe (transboundary effects) requires consideration, depending on the location of development.	EN-1 Paragraph 5.4.22	As discussed in Table 12-4 the Projects' locations and design have given consideration to ornithological receptors. Transboundary effects are considered in section 12.12			
EN-3 NPS for Renewable Energy Infrastructu	re				
Applicants must undertake a detailed assessment of the offshore ecological, biodiversity and physical impacts of their proposed development, for all phases of the lifespan of that development, in accordance with the appropriate policy for offshore wind farm EIAs, HRAs and MCZ assessments (See sections 4.3 and 5.4 of EN-1).	EN-3 Paragraph 2.8.91	The receptors included in this assessment encompass the categories described			
Applicants should consult at an early stage of pre-application with relevant statutory consultees and energy not-for profit	EN-3 Paragraph 2.8.94	The Applicants have consulted with Natural England on			

Unrestricted



NPS Requirement	NPS Reference	ES Section Reference
organisations/non governmental organisations as appropriate, on the assessment methodologies, baseline data collection, and potential avoidance, mitigation and compensation options should be undertaken		these matters. The record of consultation is provided in Volume 7, Appendix 12-1 Offshore Ornithology Consultation Responses (application ref: 7.12.12.1).
Any relevant data that has been collected as part of post construction ecological monitoring from existing, operational offshore wind farms should be referred to where appropriate.	EN-3 Paragraph 2.8.96, 2.8.97	Relevant studies whether academic, strategic or project specific are referred to throughout this assessment
A range of research programmes are ongoing to investigate impacts of offshore wind farm development, including, but not limited to: BEIS SEA Research Programme, ORJIP, ScotMER, the ORE Catapult and OWEC. Applicants should explain why their decisions on siting, design, and impact mitigation are proportionate and well-targeted, referring to relevant scientific research and literature as appropriate.		
In addition, applicants should have regard to the specific ecological and biodiversity considerations that pertain to proposed offshore wind infrastructure developments, namely: Birds	EN-3 Paragraph 2.11.35	This chapter specifically covers these receptors
Applicants must undertake a detailed assessment of the offshore ecological, biodiversity and physical impacts of their proposed development, for all phases of the lifespan of that development, in accordance	EN-3 Paragraph 2.11.36	This has been conducted in the ES: construction (section 12.7), operation (section 12.8) and

Unrestricted 004300153



NPS Requirement	NPS Reference	ES Section Reference		
with the appropriate policy for EIAs, HRAs and MCZ assessments		decommissioning (section 12.9).		
Applicants should demonstrate that their site selection, project design, and (where relevant) mitigation plans have been determined considering relevant evidence.	EN-3 Paragraph 2.11.37	As discussed in Table 12-4 the Projects' locations and design have given consideration to ornithological receptors, including embedded mitigation		
Offshore wind farms have the potential to impact on birds through:	EN-3 Paragraph 2.8.126	These potential impacts have been		
Collisions with rotating blades;		assessed in section		
Direct habitat loss;		12.0.		
• Disturbance from construction activities such as the movement of construction/de-commissioning/maintenance vessels and piling;				
• Displacement during the operational phase, resulting in loss of foraging/roosting area;				
• Impacts on bird flight lines (i.e. barrier ef- fect) and associated increased energy use by birds for commuting flights between roosting and foraging areas.				
Currently, cumulative impact assessments for ornithology are based on the consented Rochdale Envelope parameters of projects, rather than the 'as-built' parameters, which may pose a lower risk to birds.	EN-3 Paragraph 2.8.127	Cumulative assessments are based on current advice and do not consider headroom (see section 12.10)		
The applicant must ensure any draft consents include provisions to define the final 'as built' parameters (which may not then be	EN-3 Paragraph 2.8.128	Provisions to define and confirm the 'as built' parameters for the Projects' wind		



NPS Requirement	NPS Reference	ES Section Reference
exceeded). These parameters must be used in future cumulative impact assessments.		turbines following completion of construction so that these can be used in Cumulative Impact Assessments (CIAs) for future developments is included as a condition of the Deemed Marine Licences (Schedules 10 and 11 of the draft DCO).
Applicants should discuss the scope, effort and methods required for ornithological surveys with the relevant statutory advisor, taking into consideration baseline and monitoring data from operational windfarms. Applicants must undertake Collision Risk Modelling, as well as displacement and population viability assessments for certain species of birds. Applicants are expected to seek advice from SNCBs.	EN-3 Paragraph 2.8.133, 2.8.134	As noted in Volume 7, Appendix 12-1 Offshore Ornithology Consultation Responses (application ref: 7.12.12.1), The Applicants have consulted with Natural England on these matters.
Where necessary, applicants should assess collision risk using survey data collected from the site at the pre-application EIA stage.	EN-3 Paragraph 2.8.135	See section 12.4.2



12.4.1.2 Other

- 27. In addition to the NPS, there are a number of pieces of policy and guidance applicable to the assessment of offshore ornithology. These include:
 - CIEEM (2018) presents the most relevant EIA guidance for offshore ornithology assessment. The EIA methodology described in section 12.4.3 and applied in this chapter is based on this guidance;
 - Guidance documents for the assessment of OWF impacts on offshore ornithology receptors produced by Natural England (Natural England 2021a, 2021b, 2021c);
 - Interim advice on Collision Risk Modelling parameters (Natural England 2022);
 - Headroom in Cumulative Offshore Wind Farm Impacts for Seabirds: Legal Issues and Possible Solutions (The Crown Estate and Womble Bond Dickinson 2021);
 - NE and NRW interim advice regarding demographic rates, EIA scale mortality rates and reference populations for use in offshore wind impact assessments rates and reference populations for use in offshore wind impact assessments (Natural England, 2024); and
 - A wide range of additional guidance has been referred to throughout the assessment as required.
- 28. Further detail on overarching policy and guidance is provided in **Volume 7**, **Chapter 3 Policy and Legislative Context (application ref: 7.3)**.

12.4.2 Data and Information Sources

12.4.2.1 Site Specific Surveys

29. In order to provide site specific and up to date information on which to base the impact assessment, 24 months of digital aerial survey have been completed (**Volume 7, Appendix 12-2 Technical Appendix (application ref: 7.12.12.2)**). The survey methodology was discussed and agreed with Natural England through the ETG process.



12.4.3 Impact Assessment Methodology

30. Volume 7, Chapter 6 EIA Methodology (application ref: 7.6) provides a summary of the general impact assessment methodology applied. The following sections describe the methods used to assess the likely significant effects on offshore ornithology. It should be noted that the general impact assessment methodology remains unchanged from the original chapter submitted alongside the Projects' DCO Application, with the updated Natural England (2024) guidance only amending the parameter values utilised in the assessment itself.

12.4.3.1 Definitions

31. For each potential impact, the assessment identifies receptors sensitive to that impact and implements a systematic approach to understanding the impact pathways and the level of impacts (i.e. magnitude) on given receptors. The definitions of sensitivity and magnitude for the purpose of the offshore ornithology assessment are provided in **Table 12-6** and **Table 12-7**.

Sensitivity	Definition
High	Bird species has very limited tolerance of sources of impact.
Medium	Bird species has limited tolerance of sources of impact.
Low	Bird species has some tolerance of sources of impact.
Negligible	Bird species is generally tolerant of sources of impact.

Table 12-6 Definition of Sensitivity for Ornithological Receptors

32. It should be noted that although sensitivity is a core component of the assessment, conservation value (defined in section 12.5.2) is also taken into account in determining each potential impact's significance. Furthermore, high conservation value (defined below) and high sensitivity are not necessarily linked within a particular impact. A receptor could be categorised as being of high conservation value (e.g. an interest feature of a SPA) but have a low or negligible physical / ecological sensitivity to an effect and vice versa. Determination of potential impact significance takes both of these into consideration. The narrative behind the assessment is important here; the conservation value of an ornithological receptor can be used where relevant as a modifier for the sensitivity (to the effect) already assigned to the receptor.

Unrestricted 004300153



33. The definitions of the magnitude levels for ornithology receptors are set out in **Table 12-7**. This set of definitions has been determined on the basis of changes to bird populations. Note that the effects assessment also applies to the approach advised by Natural England (Parker *et al.* 2022c), with effects which increase background mortality by less than 1% considered to be undetectable and therefore not significant. It is important to note that the corollary of this approach (i.e. effects that increase background mortality by more than 1%) does not automatically apply, but rather such effects warrant additional consideration, for example using population modelling or the basis for the assumptions applied in the assessment, to determine the likely significance.

Table 12-7 Definition of Magnitude of Impacts

Magnitude	Definition
High	A change in the size or extent of distribution of the relevant biogeographic population or the population that is the interest feature of a specific protected site that is predicted to irreversibly alter the population in the short-to-long term and to alter the long-term viability of the population and / or the integrity of the protected site. Recovery from that change predicted to be achieved in the long-term (i.e. more than five years) following cessation of the project activity.
Medium	A change in the size or extent of distribution of the relevant biogeographic population or the population that is the interest feature of a specific protected site that occurs in the short and long-term, but which is not predicted to alter the long-term viability of the population and / or the integrity of the protected site. Recovery from that change predicted to be achieved in the medium-term (i.e. no more than five years) following cessation of the project activity.
Low	A change in the size or extent of distribution of the relevant biogeographic population or the population that is the interest feature of a specific protected site that is sufficiently small-scale or of short duration to cause no long-term harm to the feature / population. Recovery from that change predicted to be achieved in the short-term (i.e. no more than one year) following cessation of the project activity.
Negligible	Very slight change from the size or extent of distribution of the relevant biogeographic population or the population that is the interest feature of a specific protected site. Recovery from that change predicted to be rapid (i.e. no more than circa six months) following cessation of the project related activity.

Page 56



Magnitude	Definition
No change	No loss of, or gain in, size or extent of distribution of the relevant biogeographic population or the population that is the interest features of a specific protected site.

12.4.3.2 Significance of Effect

34. The assessment of significance of an effect is informed by the sensitivity of the receptor and the magnitude of the impact (see **Volume 7, Chapter 6 EIA Methodology (application ref: 7.6)** for further detail). The determination of significance is guided by the use of an offshore ornithology significance of effect matrix, as shown in **Table 12-8**. Definitions of each level of significance are provided in **Table 12-9**. For the purposes of this assessment, any effect that is of major or moderate significance is considered to be significant in EIA terms, whether this be adverse or beneficial. Any effect that has a significance of minor or negligible is not significant.

		Adverse Magnitude			Beneficial Magnitude				
		High	Medium	Low	Negligible	Negligible	Low	Medium	High
	High	Major	Major	Moderate	Minor	Minor	Moderate	Major	Major
tivity	Medium	Major	Moderate	Minor	Minor	Minor	Minor	Moderate	Major
Sensi	Low	Moderate	Minor	Minor	Negligible	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Moderate
	Negligible	Minor	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Minor

Table 12-8 Offshore Ornithology Significance of Effect Matrix

35. It is important that the matrix (and indeed the definitions of sensitivity and magnitude) is seen as a framework to aid understanding of how a judgement has been reached from the narrative of each impact assessment and it is not a prescriptive formulaic method. IEEM (2010) guidance and expert judgement has been applied to the assessment of likelihood and ecological significance of a predicted impact.



Significance	Definition
Major	Very large or large change in receptor condition, which is likely to be an important consideration at a regional or district level because it contributes to achieving national, regional or local objectives, or could result in exceedance of statutory objectives and / or breaches of legislation.
Moderate	Intermediate change in receptor condition, which is likely to be an important consideration at a local level.
Minor	Small change in receptor condition, which may be raised as a local issue but is unlikely to be important in the decision making process.
Negligible	No discernible change in receptor condition.
No change	No impact, therefore no change in receptor condition.

Table 12-9 Definition of Effect Significance

- 36. Note that for the purposes of this Chapter, major and moderate impacts are considered to be significant. In addition, whilst minor impacts are not significant in their own right, it is important to distinguish these from other non-significant impacts as they may contribute to significant impacts cumulatively or through interactions.
- 37. It should also be noted that there may be some very small apparent differences in summed values in some cases (e.g. when DBS East and DBS West values are summed), which is due to rounding of values and the number of decimal places presented.

12.4.4 Cumulative Effects Assessment Methodology

38. The Cumulative Effects Assessment (CEA) considers other schemes, plans, projects and activities that may result in significant effects in cumulation with the Projects. Volume 7, Chapter 6 EIA Methodology (application ref: 7.6) (and accompanying Volume 7, Appendix 6-2 Offshore Cumulative Assessment (CEA) Methodology (application ref: 7.6.6.2)) provides further details of the general framework and approach to the CEA.



- 39. The methodology has also been aligned with the approach to the assessment of cumulative impacts that has been applied by Ministers when consenting offshore wind farms and confirmed in recent consent decisions. It also follows the approach set out in guidance from the Planning Inspectorate (Planning Inspectorate, 2015) and from the renewables industry (RenewableUK, 2013).
- 40. Further detail on potential cumulative effects is provided in section 12.7.

12.4.5 Transboundary Effect Assessment Methodology

- 41. The transboundary assessment considers the potential for transboundary effects to occur on offshore ornithology receptors as a result of the Projects; either those that might arise within the Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) of European Economic Area (EEA) states or arising on the interests of EEA states e.g. a non UK fishing vessel. **Volume 7, Chapter 6 EIA Methodology** (application ref: 7.6) provides further details of the general framework and approach to the assessment of transboundary effects.
- 42. For offshore ornithology, the potential for transboundary effects has been identified in relation to potential linkages to non-UK protected sites and sites with large concentrations of breeding, migratory or wintering birds (including the use of available information on tagged birds).

12.4.6 Assumptions and Limitations

- 43. The cumulative assessment has included the latest information available for projects which are in the later stages of the planning process, but for which the possibility exists that their impacts may be revised. Thus, there could be discrepancies between the estimates for those projects presented here and in their final submissions. While every effort to keep this assessment up to date and to use the latest information will be made this may not be possible.
- 44. No further overarching assumptions or limitations have been identified that apply to the assessment for offshore ornithology. Where routine assumptions have been made in the course of undertaking the assessment, these are noted throughout.



12.5 Existing Environment

45. This section summarises the baseline ornithological information from the desk-based assessment and the aerial surveys, as detailed in Volume 7, Appendices 12-2 to 12-8 (application ref: 7.12.12.2 to application ref: 7.12.12.8).

12.5.1 Designated sites

- 46. The offshore ornithology section of the Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) will consider Special Protection Areas (SPAs) with the potential for connectivity to the Array Areas. Volume 6, Appendix A HRA Screening Report (application ref: 6.1.1) has considered 93 offshore and coastal designated sites within 700km of the Projects. These comprised SPAs and Ramsar sites designated for bird interests. Of these, the HRA screening identified ten sites which are the primary focus for further consideration in relation to potential effects (note that Natural England has advised additional consideration of sites which may have nonbreeding season connectivity. This is addressed in Volume 6, RIAA (application ref: 6.1)). All remaining sites were either considered to be beyond connectivity distance or to have no pathway for a potential effect in relation to the Projects.
- 47. Although the HRA is separate from the EIA, the screening carried out is also considered to be appropriate in terms of identifying potential connectivity for the ornithological impact assessment, so the same ten sites are identified here (**Table 12-10**).

Site	Designation	Ornithological interest features	Minimum distance to the Array Areas (km)
Flamborough and Filey Coast	SPA	Breeding seabirds	100
Greater Wash	SPA	Nonbreeding seabirds and breeding terns	130 (SPA has small overlap with Offshore Export Cable Corridor)
Northumbria Coast	SPA and Ramsar	Breeding seabirds, wintering and passage waterbirds	165
The Wash	SPA and Ramsar	Breeding seabirds, wintering and passage waterbirds	176

Table 12-10 Designated Sites for Birds with Potential Connectivity to the Proposed Projects.

Unrestricted 004300153

Page 60



Site	Designation	Ornithological interest features	Minimum distance to the Array Areas (km)
Northumberland Marine	SPA	Breeding seabirds	183
Coquet Island	SPA	Breeding seabirds	194
Farne Islands	SPA	Breeding seabirds	210
Outer Firth of Forth and St Andrews Bay Complex	SPA	Breeding seabirds	250
St Abbs Head to Fast Castle	SPA	Breeding seabirds	252
Forth Islands	SPA	Breeding seabirds	289

12.5.2 Baseline Environment and Representativeness of Survey Data

- 48. In their Relevant Representation [RR-039], Natural England requested additional consideration of the representativeness of the baseline data since the offshore ornithology survey period overlapped with the beginning of the outbreak of Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza (HPAI) among UK seabird populations.
- 49. HPAI virus was identified as a concern within seabird populations during 2022 (Tremlett *et al.* 2024). Natural England (2022a) has advised that the results of at sea surveys conducted during the period of the HPAI outbreak may have been affected and in [RR-039] requested the Applicants provide consideration of this aspect. Natural England (2022a) consider that the impact and influence of HPAI on seabird populations may be present in DAS data from June 2022.



50. The Projects undertook DAS of the Array Areas and 4km buffers from March 2021 to February 2023 (inclusive) with a single survey per month. Therefore the survey data were divided into 'pre-' (March 2021 - Feb 2022) and 'post' (March 2022 - Feb 2023) HPAI datasets. The data have been compared to the equivalent outputs obtained from Waggitt *et al.* (2019) and wind farms in proximity to the Projects: Hornsea Four, Dogger Bank A and B, and Dogger Bank C and Sofia. Given their location within the same region of the North Sea, it is reasonable to assume these projects may share similar factors affecting seabird activity and distribution.

Wind farm	Distance to DBS East (km)	Distance to DBS West (km)	Survey date range
Hornsea Project Four	42	41	April 2016 - March 2018
Dogger Bank C	56	65	January 2010 – Jun
Sofia	34	38	2012
Dogger Bank A	8	8	January 2010 -
Dogger Bank B	24	17	

Table 12-11 Wind farms used in density comparisons with Dogger Bank South.

- 51. The data were also compared to the Waggitt *et al.* (2019) dataset, which provides a modelled density map of seabirds across the UK North Sea region. The data analysed in this report were gathered from various sources between 1980 and 2018 and collected using boat-based surveys.
- 52. The species-specific seasonal mean and peak densities for each species considered (gannet, kittiwake, guillemot, razorbill, puffin and great black-backed gull) were collated for comparison with the Projects' DAS data. The data for the other wind farms were extracted from their respective applications. The data from Waggitt *et al.* (2019) was extracted from the distribution maps produced from the report at 10km resolution, created from species distribution models. To obtain comparable densities for the Projects from the Waggitt *et al.* (2019) outputs the data were clipped using GIS and the monthly densities extracted for 10km cells that overlapped with the arrays' 4km boundaries. Seasonal means and peaks were obtained as for the other datasets.

Unrestricted 004300153

Page 62



53. The collated seasonal densities for the other sites are provided in **Table 12-12.**

Table 12-12 Mean and peak seasonal densities (birds/km²) reported from other North Sea sites in proximity to the Projects. Blanks (-) indicate non-applicable seasons.

Species & Wind	Spring migration		Migration-free breeding		Autumn migration		Non-breeding	
Farm	Mean density	Peak density	Mean density	Peak density	Mean density	Peak density	Mean density	Peak density
Kittiwake		1						
Waggitt DBS E	0.48	0.48	0.21	0.33	0.39	0.45	-	-
Waggitt DBS W	0.52	0.53	0.25	0.37	0.44	0.50	-	-
Hornsea Four	1.30	4.35	2.02	3.44	2.69	6.24	-	-
Dogger Bank C	2.08	2.99	2.26	6.34	0.81	1.21	-	-
Sofia	2.65	3.74	3.30	8.67	1.14	1.57	-	-
Dogger Bank A	4.53	5.56	4.49	9.43	1.63	2.08	-	-
Dogger Bank B	5.09	6.24	5.07	10.59	1.86	3.94	-	-
Guillemot	1	1	<u>.</u>			<u>.</u>		
Waggitt DBS E	-	-	0.54	0.85	0.83	1.01	1.17	1.26
Waggitt DBS W	-	-	0.67	0.99	1.08	1.30	1.40	1.45
Hornsea Four	-	-	8.53	11.40	-	-	15.82	39.45
Dogger Bank C	-	-	4.29	9.40	0.57	0.68	3.93	9.40
Sofia	-	-	6.46	14.11	1.72	2.05	5.72	8.98
Dogger Bank A	-	-	7.30	14.67	3.55	3.87	10.18	14.67

Unrestricted 004300153

Page 63



Species & Wind	Spring migration		Migration-free A breeding n		Autumn migration		Non-breeding	
Farm	Mean density	Peak density	Mean density	Peak density	Mean density	Peak density	Mean density	Peak density
Dogger Bank B	-	-	11.72	19.97	11.08	12.09	13.90	21.58
Razorbill								
Waggitt DBS E	0.17	0.21	0.05	0.06	0.13	0.17	0.19	0.19
Waggitt DBS W	0.20	0.23	0.06	0.06	0.17	0.20	0.22	0.22
Hornsea Four	0.33	0.37	0.25	0.39	2.51	4.41	0.63	0.76
Dogger Bank C	2.51	3.60	1.09	3.77	0.40	1.06	1.26	1.53
Sofia	3.67	5.44	1.43	4.90	0.73	1.69	1.77	2.09
Dogger Bank A	5.62	6.34	1.70	6.09	2.12	4.35	2.34	2.88
Dogger Bank B	6.35	7.18	1.91	6.82	2.56	4.94	2.66	3.28
Puffin								
Waggitt DBS E	-	-	0.02	0.02	-	-	0.01	0.01
Waggitt DBS W	-	-	0.03	0.04	-	-	0.01	0.02
Hornsea Four	-	-	0.10	0.25	-	-	0.13	0.48
Dogger Bank C	-	-	0.06	0.13	-	-	0.32	0.57
Sofia	-	-	0.07	0.15	-	-	0.36	0.58
Dogger Bank A	-	-	0.07	0.16	-	-	0.37	0.55
Dogger Bank B	-	-	0.18	0.39	-	-	0.82	1.24
Gannet								

Unrestricted

Page 64



Species & Wind	Spring migration		Migration-free breeding		Autumn migration		Non-breeding	
Farm	Mean density	Peak density	Mean density	Peak density	Mean density	Peak density	Mean density	Peak density
Waggitt DBS E	0.06	0.06	0.12	0.16	0.10	0.12	-	-
Waggitt DBS W	0.07	0.08	0.15	0.22	0.13	0.15	-	-
Hornsea Four	0.18	0.35	0.63	0.80	0.84	1.00	-	-
Dogger Bank C	0.17	0.30	0.37	1.26	0.31	0.49	-	-
Sofia	0.18	0.30	0.47	1.61	0.39	0.64	-	-
Dogger Bank A	0.17	0.24	0.29	0.71	0.82	1.26	-	-
Dogger Bank B	0.19	0.27	0.32	0.79	0.92	1.41	-	-
Great bla	ck-backe	d gull	1	1	1	1	1	1
Waggitt DBS E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Waggitt DBS W	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hornsea Four	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dogger Bank C	-	-	0.06	0.14	0.11	0.19	-	-
Sofia	-	-	0.06	0.16	0.13	0.22	-	-
Dogger Bank A	-	-	0.05	0.16	0.14	0.29	-	-
Dogger Bank B	-	-	0.05	0.18	0.16	0.34	-	-



- 54. The range of densities across the six datasets, is reproduced in **Table 12-13** alongside the corresponding densities for the Projects. Wide variation in the densities recorded at the Projects is evident, and in many cases higher seasonal densities were recorded in the second ('post') year of data than the first ('pre').
- 55. The Projects' densities in both pre- and post- years also typically lie within the range of values from the other datasets and there are no clear patterns, such as consistently lower densities in the post- data than the pre-data. Therefore, there is no indication from these comparisons that HPAI affected the site characterisation survey results for the Projects. Given the highly variable nature of seabird distributions as reported in at sea surveys this is not an unexpected result. This is further to be expected since the colony reporting for the FFC SPA suggests that the breeding populations there have been comparatively little affected by HPAI (Butcher *et al.* 2023).

Table 12-13 Mean and peak seasonal densities (birds/km²) on DBS East, DBS West and the range reported from other North Sea sites in proximity to the Projects. See Table 12-12 for the densities reported on each wind farm and dataset used. Blanks (-) indicate non-applicable seasons

Species & Wind Farm	Spring migration		Migration-free breeding		Autumn migration		Non-breeding	
	Mean density	Peak density	Mean density	Peak density	Mean density	Peak density	Mean density	Peak density
Kittiwake								
DBS – East Pre	1.44	1.97	4.04	16.86	1.41	2.78	-	-
DBS – East Post	3.62	3.8	4.77	10.9	6.11	11.87	-	-
DBS – West Pre	1.57	2.05	2.54	5.91	0.66	0.92	-	-
DBS – West Post	4.05	4.58	6.45	18.3	8.41	12.81	-	-
Range (other data)	0.5 - 5.1	0.5 - 6.2	0.2 - 5.1	0.3 - 10.6	0.4 - 2.7	0.4 - 6.2	-	-
Guillemot	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
DBS – East Pre	-	-	5.00	9.33	3.36	3.60	4.41	14.64
DBS – East Post	-	-	4.44	7.34	9.89	18.43	8.36	13.03

Unrestricted

Page 66



Species & Wind Farm	Spring migration		Migration-free breeding		Autumn migration		Non-breeding	
	Mean density	Peak density	Mean density	Peak density	Mean density	Peak density	Mean density	Peak density
DBS – West Pre	-	-	6.31	9.92	2.70	3.31	3.30	7.67
DBS – West Post	-	-	7.09	13.40	16.55	30.83	9.46	19.35
Range			0.5 - 11.7	0.8 - 20.0	0.8 - 11.1	1.0 - 12.1	1.2 - 15.8	1.3 - 39.4
Razorbill								
DBS – East Pre	2.03	5.07	0.42	1.23	0.36	0.56	1.87	3.54
DBS - East Post	3.33	4.95	0.49	0.71	6.34	12.24	3.59	4.47
DBS – West Pre	1.11	1.49	0.87	2.78	0.23	0.39	0.64	0.93
DBS – West Post	6.39	9.02	0.66	1.23	5.55	9.64	6.50	10.78
Range	0.2 - 6.3	0.2 - 7.2	0.1 - 1.9	0.1 - 6.8	0.1 - 2.6	0.2 - 4.9	0.2 - 2.7	0.2 - 3.3
Puffin								
DBS – East Pre	-	-	0.02	0.12	-	-	0.10	0.50
DBS - East Post	-	-	0.07	0.20	-	-	0.05	0.10
DBS – West Pre	-	-	0.09	0.35	-	-	0.09	0.47
DBS – West Post	-	-	0.09	0.17	-	-	0.04	0.18
Range			0.02- 0.2	0.02- 0.4			0.01- 0.8	0.01- 1.2
Gannet								
DBS – East Pre	0.01	0.01	0.42	1.21	0.64	1.01	-	-

Unrestricted 004300153

Page 67



Species & Wind Farm	Spring migration		Migration-free breeding		Autumn migration		Non-breeding	
	Mean density	Peak density	Mean density	Peak density	Mean density	Peak density	Mean density	Peak density
DBS – East Post	0.15	0.23	0.72	2.31	1.29	1.78	-	-
DBS – West Pre	0.00	0.00	0.48	0.95	0.48	0.55	-	-
DBS – West Post	0.15	0.39	0.74	1.84	1.55	2.12	-	-
Range	0.1-0.2	0.1-0.3	0.1-0.6	0.2-1.6	0.1-0.9	0.1-1.4		
Great black-	·backed g	ull						
DBS – East Pre	-	-	0.00	0.01	0.06	0.25	-	-
DBS – East Post	-	-	0.05	0.27	0.09	0.25	-	-
DBS – West Pre	-	-	0.01	0.05	0.04	0.11	-	-
DBS – West Post	-	-	0.01	0.05	0.07	0.16	-	-
Range			0.05- 0.06	0.14- 0.2	0.1-0.2	0.2-0.3		

12.5.3 Assessment of Nature Conservation Value for Each Bird Species

- 56. Bird abundances have been estimated from the digital aerial surveys of the Projects' Array Areas and species specific buffers (Parker *et al.* 2022c). The bird abundance estimates and how they were derived are presented in detail in the Ornithology Technical Appendix (**Volume 7, Appendix 12-2 Technical Appendix (application ref: 7.12.12.2)**). Detail from this report has not been repeated in this chapter to minimise unnecessary repetition.
- 57. Species assessed for impacts are those which were recorded during surveys and which are considered to be at potential risk either due to their abundance, potential sensitivity to wind farm impacts or due to biological characteristics which make them potentially susceptible (e.g. the species commonly flies at rotor heights). The conservation status of these species is provided in **Table 12-14**.

Unrestricted

Page 68



Table 12-14 Summary of Nature Conservation Value of Species Considered at Risk of Impacts.

Species	Conservation status
Red-throated diver	BoCC Green listed, Birds Directive Migratory Species
Fulmar	BoCC Amber listed, Birds Directive Migratory Species
Gannet	BoCC Amber listed, Birds Directive Migratory Species
Arctic skua	BoCC Red listed, Birds Directive Migratory Species
Great skua	BoCC Amber listed, Birds Directive Migratory Species
Puffin	BoCC Red listed, Birds Directive Migratory Species
Razorbill	BoCC Amber listed, Birds Directive Migratory Species
Common guillemot	BoCC Amber listed, Birds Directive Migratory Species
Common tern	BoCC Amber listed, Birds Directive Migratory Species, Birds Directive Annex 1
Arctic tern	BoCC Amber listed, Birds Directive Migratory Species, Birds Directive Annex 1
Kittiwake	BoCC Red listed, Birds Directive Migratory Species
Little gull	BoCC Green listed, Birds Directive Migratory Species
Lesser black-backed gull	BoCC Amber listed, Birds Directive Migratory Species
Herring gull	BoCC Red listed, Birds Directive Migratory Species
Great black-backed gull	BoCC Amber listed, Birds Directive Migratory Species



- 58. Impacts have been assessed in relation to relevant biological seasons, as defined by Furness (2015). For the nonbreeding period, the seasons and relevant population sizes for Biologically Defined Minimum Population Scales (BDMPS) were taken from Furness (2015; Table 12-15). For the breeding period, the potential for connectivity to known breeding populations has been considered in relation to species-specific foraging ranges (Woodward *et al.* 2019). Natural England also provided breeding season reference populations for kittiwake, guillemot and puffin (Table 12-15) and some of these were further updated by Natural England [RR-039]. Changes to the values presented in the ES are highlighted (Table 12-15).
- 59. The seasonal definitions in Furness (2015) include overlapping months in some instances due to variation in the timing of migration for birds which breed at different latitudes (i.e. individuals from breeding sites in the north of the species' range may still be on spring migration when individuals farther south have already commenced breeding). However, as a precautionary assumption, the full breeding season has been applied, with the adjacent nonbreeding months reduced to remove overlaps (i.e. if March was identified as a spring migration month and also a breeding season month, it was assigned only to the latter). The exception to this approach was for migrant seabird species (e.g. terns) which Natural England advised should be assessed with favour given to the migration free breeding season was applied and overlapping months assigned to pre- and post-breeding periods.

RWE

Dogger Bank South Offshore Wind Farms

Table 12-15 Species Specific Seasonal Definitions and Biologically Defined Minimum Population Sizes (In Brackets) Have Been Taken from Furness (2015) or Natural England 2024 [RR-039] where updated. Chick rearing and moult periods for guillemot have been added as advised by Natural England [RR-039]). Shaded Cells Indicate the Appropriate Nonbreeding Season Periods Used in the Assessment for Each Species

Species	Breeding	Migration-free breeding	Migration - autumn	Winter	Migration - spring	Nonbreeding	<mark>Chick rearing</mark> and moult
Fulmar	Jan-Aug	Apr-Aug	Sep-Oct (957,502)	Nov (568,736	Dec-Mar (957,502)	-	-
Gannet	Mar-Sep (<mark>400,326</mark>)	Apr-Aug	Sep-Nov (<mark>456,299</mark>)	-	Dec-Mar (248,385)	Mar-Sep	-
Cormorant	Apr-Aug	May-Jul	-	-	-	Sep-Mar (10,460)	-
Shag	Feb-Aug	Mar-July	-	-	-	Sep-Jan (4,346)	-
Arctic skua	May-Jul	Jun-Jul	Aug-Oct (6,427)	-	Apr-May (1,227)	-	-
Great skua	May-Aug	May-Jul	Aug-Oct (19,556)	Nov-Feb (143)	Mar-Apr (8,485)	-	-
Puffin	Apr-Aug (868,689)	May-Jun	Jul-Aug	Sep-Feb	Mar-Apr	Mid-Aug-Mar (<mark>231,958</mark>)	-
Razorbill	Apr-Jul (<mark>158,031</mark>)	Apr-Jun	Aug-Oct (<mark>591,875</mark>)	Nov-Dec (<mark>218,621</mark>)	Jan-Mar (<mark>591,875</mark>)	-	-
Guillemot	Mar-Jul (2,045,078)	Mar-Jun	Jul-Oct	Nov	Dec-Feb	Oct-Feb** (<mark>1,617,305</mark>)	Aug & Sep (1,617,305)
Sandwich tern	Apr-Aug	Jun	Jul-Sep (38,051)	Oct-Feb	Mar-May (38,051)	Sep-Mar	-
Common tern	May-Aug	Jun-mid Jul	Late Jul-Sep (144,911)	-	Apr-May (144,911)	-	-



Species	Breeding	Migration-free breeding	Migration - autumn	Winter	Migration - spring	Nonbreeding	Chick rearing and moult
Arctic tern	May- early Aug	Jun	Jul-early Sep (163,930)	-	Apr-May (163,930)	-	-
Commic tern*	May-Aug	Jun	Jul-Sep (308,841)	-	Apr-May (308,841)	-	-
Kittiwake	Mar-Aug (839,456)	May-Jul	Aug-Dec (<mark>829,938</mark>)	-	Jan-Apr (627,816)	-	-
Little gull (Not included in Furness, 2015)	Apr-Jul	May-Jul	-	-	-	Aug-Apr	-
Lesser black-backed gull	Apr-Aug (<mark>51,233</mark>)	May-Jul	Aug-Oct (<mark>209,006</mark>)	Nov-Feb (<mark>39,313</mark>)	Mar-Apr (<mark>197,482</mark>)	-	-
Herring gull	Mar-Aug (<mark>324,887</mark>)	May-Jul	Aug-Nov	Dec	Jan-Apr	Sep-Feb (<mark>466,510</mark>)	-
Great black-backed gull	Mar-Aug (<mark>25,915</mark>)	May-Jul	Aug-Nov	Dec	Jan-Apr	Sep-Mar (<mark>91,398</mark>)	-

* Commic tern' is used to include common terns and Arctic terns, for instances where these species were not readily identified to species level from the survey data

** Guillemot nonbreeding season has been reduced to October to February following the addition of a post-breeding period in August and September as per Natural England advice [RR-039]

Dogger Bank South Offshore Wind Farms


60. In addition to BDMPS populations, the biogeographic populations have also been considered in the assessment where appropriate. These are provided in **Table 12-16**.

				-	
Tabla 12 16	Piagaaranhia	Donulation	Sizon Takon	from Eurnocc	(2015)
1001e 12-10	Diogeographic	, Population	Sizes i uken	nonruness	(2010)
					· /·

Species	Biogeographic population with connectivity to UK waters (adults and immatures)
Red-throated diver	27,000
Fulmar	8,055,000
Gannet	1,180,000
Cormorant	324,000
Shag	106,000
Arctic skua	229,000
Great skua	73,000
Puffin	11,840,000
Razorbill	1,707,000
Guillemot	4,125,000
Sandwich tern	148,000
Common tern	248,000
Arctic tern	480,000
Commic tern*	628,000
Kittiwake	5,100,000
Great black-backed gull	235,000
Herring gull	1,098,000
Lesser black-backed gull	864,000
Little gull (not included in Furness, 2015)	75,000 #

Unrestricted 004300153



Estimated passage population (Stienen et al., 2007)

* 'Commic tern' is used to include common terns and Arctic terns, for instances where these species were not readily identified to species level from the survey data

- 61. The impact of additional mortality due to wind farm effects is assessed in terms of the change in the baseline mortality rate which could result. It has been assumed that all age classes are equally at risk of effects, with each age class affected in proportion to its presence in the population. Therefore, a weighted average baseline mortality rate has been calculated which is appropriate for all age classes for use in assessments, calculated for those species screened in for assessment. These were calculated using the different rates for each age class and their relative proportions in the population.
- 62. Natural England (2024, and RR-039) provided weighted average mortality rates which were used in this assessment update (see **Table 12-17**).

Species	Weighted average mortality rate
Red-throated diver	0.2277
Gannet	0.1866
Guillemot	0.1405
Razorbill	0.1302
Puffin	0.1190
Common tern	0.1728
Kittiwake	0.1577
Lesser black-backed gull	0.1237
Herring gull	0.1724
Great black-backed gull	0.0969

Table 12-17 Average Mortality Across All Age Classes as advised by Natural England (2024)



- 63. The seasonal peak abundance within species specific seasons (as defined in **Table 12-15**) recorded individually within the Array Areas are provided in **Table 12-18** (note these abundances do not include birds observed in the 4km buffer around the Array Areas).
- 64. The method to calculate the seasonal peaks for DBS Array Areas were as follows:
 - The population density and abundance for each survey was calculated using design-based estimation methods, with 95% confidence intervals calculated using non-parametric bootstrapping (see Volume 7, Appendix 12-2 Technical Appendix (application ref: 7.12.12.2) for further details).
 - For the ES, 24 months of data were collected, so these are presented as the abundance for each calendar month calculated as the mean of estimates for each month.
- 65. The seasonal peak was taken as the highest from the months falling within each season. Some months are included in both the full breeding season and the adjacent nonbreeding seasons. In these cases, the breeding season has taken precedence (i.e. if for a given species March falls in both the spring migration and full breeding season and a peak was recorded in this month it is only presented in the breeding season).

RWE

Table 12-18 Peak abundance estimates (and 95% Confidence Intervals) by Biological Season for Bird Species within the East and West Array Areas Recorded during Baseline Surveys. A post-breeding season has been added for guillemot as requested by Natural England [RR-039].

	Biological Season											
Species	Spring migr	ration	Breeding (full)		Autumn migration		Winter		Nonbreeding		Postbreeding / chick- rearing	
	East	West	East	West	East	West	East	West	East	West	East	West
Red- throated diver	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	-	-
Fulmar	20.45 (0- 64.85)	8.55 (0- 34.2)	113.15 (16.76- 368.98)	188.1 (16.48- 485.76)	96.14 (8.3- 226.05)	54.95 (8.44- 126.91)	50.65 (0- 151.96)	37.99 (0- 98.56)	96.14 (8.3- 226.05)	54.95 (8.44- 126.91)	-	-
Gannet	70.76 (0- 324.85)	64.13 (0- 179.56)	597.8 (337.84- 864.5)	570.42 (275.94- 907.75)	479.96 (257.4- 862.55)	617.24 (177.18- 1209.83)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	-	-
Arctic skua	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	4.15 (0- 24.91)	4.25 (0- 25.52)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	4.15 (0- 24.91)	4.25 (0- 25.52)	-	-
Great skua	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	4.26 (0- 25.53)	4.1 (0- 24.62)	4.93 (0- 29.57)	4.1 (0- 24.62)	4.93 (0- 29.57)	-	-
Puffin	25.18 (0- 90.65)	34.25 (0- 107.64)	50 (0- 130.01)	83.62 (0- 206.58)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	120.43 (0- 355.93)	101.28 (10.15- 263.21)	120.43 (0- 355.93)	101.28 (10.15- 263.21)	-	-
Razorbill	2379.41 (423.06- 4972.75)	3068.6 (330.6- 7144.05)	341.06 (142.03- 625.52)	1687.02 (569.43- 3184.59)	3488.69 (0- 11538.87)	3689.79 (12.4- 18245.08)	2430.95 (1654.89- 3154.07)	3329.46 (392.66- 7735.54)	3488.69 (0- 11538.87)	3689.79 (12.4- 18245.08)	-	-
Guillemot	3663.9 (1906.89- 5972.19)	7127.07 (1205.56- 15589.44)	6698.09 (2564.43- 10942.41)	6142.93 (3813.62- 9054.17)	5812.28 (930.48- 16074.02)	9547.59 (1047.62- 23953.7)	8760.2 (6834.3- 11021.7)	4367.91 (3240.73- 5525.44)	8760.2 (6834.3- 11021.7)	9547.59 (1047.62- 23953.7)	5812.28 (930.48- 16074.02)	9547.59 (1047.62- 23953.7)
Arctic tern	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	37.47 (0- 124.89)	21.27 (0- 93.58)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	-	-

Dogger Bank South Offshore Wind Farms



	Biological Season											
Species	Spring migration E		Breeding (fu	Breeding (full)		Autumn migration		Winter		9	Postbreeding / chick- rearing	
	East	West	East	West	East	West	East	West	East	West	East	West
Common tern	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	4.16 (0- 24.98)	8.37 (0- 25.25)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	-	-
Kittiwake	947.07 (469.34- 1961.18)	1299.06 (271.65- 3501.05)	5752.29 (2144.46- 9946.21)	4253.42 (0- 17216.18)	1669.31 (388.35- 3717.29)	3477.95 (68.09- 17855.75)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	1669.31 (388.35- 3717.29)	3477.95 (68.09- 17855.75)	-	-
Little gull	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	4.24 (0- 25.45)	-	-
Common gull	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	20.84 (0- 83.26)	55.3 (0- 204.18)	-	-
Lesser black- backed gull	4.2 (0- 25.18)	0 (0-0)	8.33 (0- 49.96)	4.2 (0- 25.22)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	4.2 (0- 25.18)	0 (0-0)	-	-
Herring gull	8.38 (0- 50.29)	4.2 (0- 25.22)	12.59 (0- 50.36)	8.51 (0- 42.54)	4.22 (0- 25.33)	8.43 (0- 33.7)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	8.38 (0- 50.29)	8.43 (0- 33.7)	-	-
Great black- backed gull	92.19 (16.76- 234.67)	16.61 (0- 41.21)	42.23 (0- 152.03)	8.51 (0- 51.05)	4.22 (0- 25.33)	16.96 (0- 76.34)	4.24 (0- 25.43)	29.9 (0- 102.44)	92.19 (16.76- 234.67)	29.9 (0- 102.44)	-	-



12.5.4 Future Trends

- 66. In the event that the Projects are not developed, an assessment of future conditions for offshore ornithology has been carried out and is described within this section. Key drivers of seabird population size in western Europe are climate change (Sandvik *et al.* 2012; Frederiksen *et al.* 2004, 2013; Burthe *et al.* 2014; Macdonald *et al.* 2015; Furness 2016; JNCC 2016; Pearce-Higgins 2021), and fisheries (Tasker *et al.* 2000; Frederiksen *et al.* 2004; Ratcliffe 2004; Carroll *et al.* 2017; Sydeman *et al.* 2017). Pollutants (including oil, persistent organic pollutants, plastics), alien mammal predators at colonies, disease, and loss of nesting habitat also impact on seabird populations but are generally much less important and often more local factors (Ratcliffe 2004; Votier *et al.* 2005, 2008; JNCC 2016). In 2022 Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza (HPAI) adversely affected survival and productivity within seabird colonies across the UK, and investigations are underway to determine the long-term effects on species' populations.
- 67. Trends in seabird numbers in breeding populations are better known, and better understood than trends in numbers at sea within particular areas. Breeding numbers are regularly monitored at many colonies (JNCC 2016), and in the British Isles there have been three comprehensive censuses of breeding seabirds in 1969-70, 1985-88 and 1998-2002 (Mitchell *et al.* 2004) as well as single-species surveys (such as the decadal counts of breeding gannet numbers, Murray *et al.* 2015). In contrast, the European Seabirds at Sea (ESAS) database is incomplete, and few data have been added since 2000, so that current trends in numbers at sea in areas of the North Sea are not so easy to assess.
- 68. Breeding numbers of many seabird species in the British Isles are declining, especially in the northern North Sea (Foster and Marrs 2012; Macdonald *et al.* 2015; JNCC 2016). The most striking exception is gannet, which continues to increase in abundance (Murray *et al.* 2015), although the rate of increase has been slowing (Murray *et al.* 2015). These trends in British seabird populations seem likely to continue in the short to medium term future, although for gannet, which has notably been susceptible to the effects of HPAI, the long-term impact on the population trend is unclear.



- 69. Climate change has been identified as one of three key threats to UK seabirds and a key cause of recent declines, along with invasive alien species and by-catch in fisheries (Burthe *et al.* 2014; Macdonald *et al.* 2015; Capuzzo *et al.* 2018; Dias *et al.* 2019, Mitchell *et al.* 2020. Pearce-Higgins 2021). Pearce-Higgins (2021) assessed the impact that climate change has already had on UK bird populations by relating their long-term trends to separately published species' responses to climate change, temperature and rainfall. It was found that of the 20 seabird species found in the UK, 14 are regarded as being at high or medium risk of negative climate change impacts. Documented declines in sandeel populations have led to reduced breeding success in seabirds, and at least partially underpin long-term population declines (Johnston *et al.* 2021).
- 70. Whilst the results of the current seabird census (Seabirds Count) will provide important information, there is already good evidence that kittiwake, Arctic skua, puffin and fulmar are being affected by climate processes (Frederiksen *et al.* 2004, Burthe *et al.* 2014, Cook *et al.* 2014, Perkins *et al.* 2018). It is therefore highly likely that breeding numbers of most of our seabird species will continue to decline under a scenario with continuing climate change due to increasing levels of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere. Fisheries management is also likely to influence future numbers in seabird populations. The Common Fisheries Policy (CFP) Landings Obligation ('discard ban') will further reduce food supply for scavenging seabirds such as great black-backed gulls, lesser black-backed gulls, herring gulls, fulmars, kittiwakes and gannets (Votier *et al.* 2004; Bicknell *et al.* 2013; Votier *et al.* 2013; Foster *et al.* 2017).
- 71. Recent changes in fisheries management that aid recovery of predatory fish stock biomass are likely to further reduce food supply for seabirds that feed primarily on small fish such as sandeels, as those small fish are major prey of large predatory fish. Therefore, anticipated future increases in predatory fish abundance resulting from improved management to constrain fishing mortality on those commercially important species at more sustainable levels than in the past are likely to cause further declines in stocks of small pelagic seabird 'food-fish' such as sandeels (Frederiksen *et al.* 2007; Macdonald *et al.* 2015). Lindegren *et al.* (2018) concluded that sandeel stocks in the North Sea, the most important prey fish stock for North Sea seabirds during the breeding season (Furness and Tasker 2000), have been depleted by high levels of targeted fishing effort.

Unrestricted 004300153



- 72. These stocks are unlikely to recover fully despite the recent ban on sandeel fisheries in English waters (Defra, 2024), because climate change has altered the North Sea food web to the detriment of productivity of fish populations. As a result, seabird populations are likely to continue to experience food shortages in the North Sea, especially for those species most dependent on sandeels as food.
- 73. Future decreases in kittiwake breeding numbers are likely to be particularly pronounced, as kittiwakes are very sensitive to climate change (Frederiksen et al. 2013; Carroll et al. 2015) and to fishery impacts on sandeel stocks near breeding colonies (Frederiksen et al. 2004; Carroll et al. 2017), and the species will lose the opportunity to feed on fishery discards as the Landings Obligation comes into effect. Gannet numbers may continue to increase for some years, but evidence suggests that this increase is already slowing (Murray et al. 2015), and numbers may peak not too far into the future. While the Landings Obligation will reduce discard availability to gannets in European waters, in recent years increasing proportions of adult gannets have wintered in west African waters rather than in UK waters (Kubetzki et al. 2009), probably because there are large amounts of fish discarded by west African trawl fisheries and decreasing amounts available in the North Sea (Kubetzki et al. 2009: Garthe et al. 2012). The flexible behaviour and diet of gannets probably reduces their vulnerability to changes in fishery practices or to climate change impacts on fish communities (Garthe et al. 2012).
- 74. Fulmars, terns, common guillemot, razorbill and puffin appear to be highly vulnerable to climate change, so numbers may decline over the next few decades (Burthe et al. 2014). Strong declines in shag numbers are likely to continue as they are adversely affected by climate change, by low abundance of sandeels and especially by stormy and wet weather conditions in winter (Burthe et al. 2014; Frederiksen et al. 2008). Most of the red-throated divers and common scoters wintering in the southern North Sea originate from breeding areas at high latitudes in Scandinavia and Russia. Numbers of red-throated divers and common scoters wintering in the southern North Sea may possibly decrease in future if warming conditions make the Baltic Sea more favourable as a wintering area for those species so that they do not need to migrate as far as UK waters. There has been a trend of increasing numbers of sea ducks remaining in the Baltic Sea overwinter (Mendel et al. 2008; Fox et al. 2016; Ost et al. 2016) and decreasing numbers coming to the UK (Austin and Rehfisch 2005; Pearce-Higgins and Holt 2013), and that trend is likely to continue, although to an uncertain extent.

Unrestricted 004300153



- 75. ESAS data indicate that there has already been a lona-term decrease in numbers of great black-backed gulls wintering in the southern North Sea (S. Garthe et al. in prep.), and the Landings Obligation will probably result in further decreases in numbers of north Norwegian great black-backed gulls and herring gulls coming to the southern North Sea in winter. It is likely that further redistribution of breeding herring gulls and lesser black-backed gulls will occur into urban environments (Rock and Vaughan 2013), although it is unclear how the balance between terrestrial and marine feeding by these gulls may alter over coming years; that may depend greatly on the consequences of Brexit for UK fisheries and farming. Some of the human impacts on seabirds are amenable to effective mitigation (Ratcliffe et al. 2009; Brooke et al. 2018), but the scale of efforts to reduce these impacts on seabird populations has been small by comparison with the major influences of climate change and fisheries. This is likely to continue to be the case in future, and the conclusion must be that with the probable exception of gannet, numbers of almost all other seabird species in the UK North Sea region will most likely be on a downward trend over the next few decades, due to population declines, redistributions or a combination of both.
- 76. For offshore ornithology, the ecological impact assessment is therefore carried out in a context of declining baseline populations of a number of species. Where a species is declining, the assessment takes into account whether a given impact is likely to exacerbate a decline in the relevant reference population and prevent a species from recovery should environmental conditions become more favourable.
- 77. Climate change has been identified as the strongest influence on future seabird population trends. In this context it is noted that a key component of global strategies to reduce climate change is the development of low-carbon renewable energy developments such as offshore wind.



12.6 Assessment of Significance

12.7 Potential Effects During Construction

12.7.1 Impact 1 Direct Disturbance and Displacement from Increased Vessel Activity

12.7.1.1 Array Areas

- 78. The Projects have the potential to affect bird populations in the marine environment through disturbance due to activity leading to displacement of birds from construction areas.
- 79. Although there is a degree of flexibility in the Projects' Design Envelopes regarding construction scenarios, section 12.3.2.2 set out the scenarios and identified that either concurrent or sequential construction of the wind farms would generate similar levels of disturbance overall. Assuming a constructed wind farm generates impacts which are, on average, 50% those of an operational one (as per Natural England guidance) both these scenarios are equivalent to four years of wind farm operation.
- 80. The construction phase would require the mobilisation of vessels, helicopters and equipment and the installation of foundations, export cables and other infrastructure. These activities have the potential to disturb and displace birds from within and around the Array Areas and Offshore Export Cable Corridor. Causes of potential disturbance would comprise the presence of construction vessels and associated human activity, noise and vibration from construction activities and lighting associated with construction sites. The level of disturbance at each work location would differ dependent on the activities taking place, but there could be vessel movements at any time of day or night over the construction period.
- 81. Any impacts resulting from disturbance and displacement from construction activities would be short-term, temporary and reversible in nature, lasting only for the duration of construction activity, with birds expected to return to the area once construction activities have ceased. Construction related disturbance and displacement is most likely to affect foraging birds. Furthermore, modelling of the consequences of displacement for fitness of displaced birds suggests that even in the case of breeding seabirds that are displaced on a daily basis, there is likely to be little or no impact on survival unless the offshore windfarm is close to the breeding colony (Searle *et al.* 2014, 2017).

Unrestricted 004300153



- 82. Bird species differ in their susceptibility to anthropogenic disturbance and in their responses to noise and visual disturbance stimuli. The principal source of noise during construction would be subsea noise from piling works associated with the installation of foundations for wind turbines and associated offshore substation platforms. While assessed for marine mammals and fish, subsea noise is not considered a risk factor for diving birds and it is thought that birds do not hear well underwater (Dooling and Therrien, 2012). Seabirds and other diving bird species will spend most of their time above or on the water surface, where hearing will detect sound propagated through the air.
- 83. Anatomical studies of ear structure in diving birds suggest that there are adaptations for protection against the large pressure changes that may occur while diving, which may reduce hearing ability underwater but also protect the ear from damage due to acoustic over-exposure (Dooling and Therrien, 2012). Above water noise disturbance from construction activities is not considered in isolation as a risk factor for birds; but rather, combined with the presence of vessels, man-made structures, and human activity, part of the overall disturbance stimulus that causes birds to avoid boats and other structures as discussed below (section 12.6.1).
- 84. Lighting of construction sites, vessels and other structures at night may potentially be a source of attraction (phototaxis), as opposed to displacement, for birds; however, the areas affected would be very small, and restricted to offshore construction areas which are active at night at a given time.
- 85. Phototaxis can be a serious hazard for fledglings of some seabird species (notably petrels and shearwaters) but occurs over short distances (hundreds of metres) in response to bright white light close to breeding colonies of these species. It is not seen over large distances or in older (adult and immature) seabirds (Volume 7, Appendix 12-11 Review of Turbines Lighting - Furness 2018 (application ref: 7.12.12.11)) (Furness, 2018). Construction sites associated with the Offshore Development Area would be far enough removed from any seabird breeding colonies as to render this risk negligible. Phototaxis of nocturnal migrating birds can be a problem, especially in autumn during conditions of poor visibility, but is generally seen where birds are exposed to intense white lighting such as from lighthouses: light from construction sites is likely to be one or two orders of magnitude less powerful than that from lighthouses (Volume 7, Appendix 12-11 Review of Turbines Lighting - Furness 2018 (application ref: 7.12.12.11) (Furness, 2018).



- 86. Construction would not occur across the whole of the proposed Array Areas simultaneously or every day but will be phased and assumed to occur at up to a maximum of three discrete locations for the purposes of this assessment. Until wind turbines (and other structures) are placed on foundations, the impacts will occur only in the areas where vessels are operating at any given point and not the entire Array Areas. When installation of wind turbines (and other infrastructure) begins, the impact of displacement would be expected to increase incrementally to the same levels as operational impacts (section 12.6.2, below).
- 87. It would be very difficult to undertake an assessment of displacement which reflected the gradual increase in the number of turbines. Therefore, to simplify this assessment it has been assumed that the effect is 50% of that for the fully constructed wind farm and lasts for the period of turbine installation (this accords with advice from Natural England, see **Volume 7**, **Appendix 12-1 Offshore Ornithology Consultation Responses** (application ref: 7.12.12.1)).
- Considering variation between species in response to disturbance, gulls are 88. not considered susceptible to disturbance, as they are often associated with fishing boats (e.g. Camphuysen, 1995; Hüppop and Wurm, 2000) and have been noted in association with construction vessels at the Greater Gabbard offshore wind farm (GGOWL, 2011) and close to active foundation piling activity at the Egmond aan Zee (OWEZ) wind farm, where they showed no noticeable reactions to the works (Leopold and Camphuysen, 2007); and Irwin et al. (2019) found that great black-backed gull distribution within the Outer Thames Estuary SPA showed a slight skew towards shipping lanes in the southern sector. However, at the other end of the spectrum, species such as divers and scoters have been observed to avoid shipping by several kilometres (Mitschke et al., 2001 from Exo et al. 2003; Garthe and Hüppop, 2004; Schwemmer et al., 2011), and Irwin et al. (2019) found that redthroated divers clearly showed displacement from shipping lanes within the Outer Thames SPA.

Unrestricted 004300153



- 89. There are a number of different measures used to assess bird disturbance and displacement from areas of sea in response to activities associated with an offshore windfarm. Garthe and Hüppop (2004) developed a scoring system for such disturbance factors which they applied to seabird species in German sectors of the North Sea. This was refined by Furness and Wade (2012) and Furness *et al.* (2013) with a focus on seabirds using Scottish offshore waters. The approach uses information in the scientific and 'grey' literature, as well as expert opinion to identify disturbance ratings for individual species, alongside scores for habitat flexibility and conservation importance. These factors were used to define an index value that highlights the sensitivity of a species to disturbance from helicopter and vessel activities, these are considered relevant to this assessment.
- 90. In order to focus the assessment of disturbance and displacement, a screening exercise was undertaken to identify those species most likely to be at risk (**Table 12-19**). Any species recorded only in very small numbers within the Study Area or with a low sensitivity to displacement was screened out of further assessment.
- 91. The species screened in for assessment were: gannet, guillemot, razorbill and puffin. These were assessed for impacts during the construction period and spatial locations where effects were likely.
- 92. The increase in mortality rate has been calculated for each Array Area separately (DBS East and DBS West) to estimate effects for each Array Area, with the results summed to obtain the combined impact.

Species	Sensitivity to Disturbance and Displacement ¹	Screening Result (IN or OUT)	Rationale
Red-throated diver	High	OUT	Single individual recorded in one baseline survey during passage migration period. Site is unsuitable habitat as too far from shore.
Fulmar	Low	OUT	Recorded at low densities during baseline surveys and not considered at risk of disturbance

Table 12-19 Construction Disturbance and Displacement Screening



Species	Sensitivity to Disturbance and Displacement ¹	Screening Result (IN or OUT)	Rationale
Gannet	Low	IN	Recorded at moderate densities during baseline surveys and considered to be at risk of disturbance
Arctic skua	Low	OUT	Recorded in very low numbers on baseline surveys and only during passage migration periods
Great skua	Low	OUT	Recorded in very low numbers on baseline surveys and only during passage migration periods
Puffin	Medium	IN	Recorded at moderate densities during baseline surveys and considered to be at risk of disturbance
Razorbill	Medium	IN	Recorded at high densities during baseline surveys and considered to be at risk of disturbance
Guillemot	Medium	IN	Recorded at high densities during baseline surveys and considered to be at risk of disturbance
Arctic tern	Low	OUT	Recorded in very low numbers on baseline surveys and only during passage migration periods
Common tern	Low	OUT	Recorded in very low numbers on baseline surveys and only during passage migration periods
Sandwich tern	Low	OUT	Not recorded during baseline surveys
Kittiwake	Low	OUT	Recorded at moderate densities during baseline surveys but not considered at risk of disturbance

Unrestricted 004300153



Species	Sensitivity to Disturbance and Displacement ¹	Screening Result (IN or OUT)	Rationale				
Little gull	Low	OUT	Recorded at very low densities during baseline surveys and not considered at risk of disturbance				
Common gull	Low	OUT	Recorded at very low densities during baseline surveys and not considered at risk of disturbance				
Lesser black- backed gull	Low	OUT	Recorded at very low densities during baseline surveys and not considered at risk of disturbance				
Herring gull	Low	OUT	Recorded at low densities during baseline surveys and not considered at risk of disturbance				
Great black- backed gull	Low	OUT	Recorded at low densities during baseline surveys and not considered at risk of disturbance				
¹ With reference to Garthe and Hüppon 2004: Eurness and Wade 2012: Eurness at al							

¹With reference to Garthe and Hüppop, 2004; Furness and Wade, 2012; Furness *et al.*, 2013; Wade *et al.*, 2016; Goodship and Furness, 2022.

12.7.1.1.1 Gannet

- 93. This section provides the update to section 12.6.1.1.1.1 of the ES. Changes to the values presented in the ES are highlighted in the summary table to this section (section 12.7.1.1.2).
- 94. The nearest gannet breeding colony to the Array Areas is the Flamborough and Filey Coast SPA. The SPA is a minimum of 100km from the Projects' Array Areas, and therefore they are within the mean maximum foraging range of gannets, estimated as 315km (Woodward *et al.* 2019). Consequently, breeding season connectivity to this SPA has been assumed. Although the gannets which breed at the Bass Rock, part of the Forth Islands SPA, are also within this distance (c. minimum of 290km to the Projects), Wakefield *et al.* (2013) found very little overlap in colony foraging areas, so connectivity is considered very unlikely during the breeding season.

Unrestricted 004300153



- 95. Gannets were recorded in the Array Areas year round, with peak estimated densities within the DBS East Array Area plus 2km buffer in October (1.52/km²) and in the DBS West Array Area plus 2km buffer in April (1.55/km²).
- 96. Natural England has advised that the gannet breeding season BDMPS reference population for the North Sea and Channel appropriate for this assessment is 400,326 individuals [RR-039]. The number of individuals from this population expected to die at the baseline mortality rate (**Table 12-15**) in the breeding season is 74,701 (400,326x 0.1866).
- 97. During the nonbreeding seasons the gannet BDMPS populations for the North Sea and Channel have been used as the reference populations (in the autumn: 456,299 and in the spring: 248,385). For the annual assessment. impacts have been considered in relation to the largest of the BDMPS populations (autumn) and also to the biogeographic population (1,180,000; Furness, 2015). The number of individuals from these populations expected to die in the autumn is 85,145 (456,299 x 0.1866), in the spring is 46,349 (248,385 x 0.1866), and annually from the biogeographic population is 220,188 (1,180,000 x 0.1866).

12.7.1.1.1.1Significance of Effect – DBS East in Isolation12.7.1.1.1.1.1Breeding Season – construction vessels

- 98. For this precautionary assessment it has been assumed that 1% of displaced individuals could be at risk of mortality as a result of displacement by construction vessels (as per Natural England advice for wind farms in similar areas of the North Sea).
- 99. During the breeding season, the maximum mean peak density in the DBS East Array Area and 2km buffer was 1.48/km². With a 2km radius of disturbance around each of three active construction areas (wind turbines or other infrastructure), up to 56 individuals (1.48 x 12.56 x 3) could be at risk of displacement, of which 0.56 (1%) would be expected to be at risk of mortality.
- 100. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1866 a total of 74,701 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of a maximum of 0.56 birds predicted to be at risk of mortality from construction disturbance and displacement would increase the mortality rate by 0.001%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.

Unrestricted 004300153



101. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible**.

12.7.1.1.1.1.2 Breeding Season – 50% installed turbines

- 102. The impact from half the wind farm during the breeding season has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the breeding season (section 12.8.1.1.1.1). Thus, a maximum of 3.02 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1866 a total of 74,701 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 3.02 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.004%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 103. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible**.

12.7.1.1.1.3 Breeding Season – construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 104. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement in the breeding season gives a maximum of 3.58 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1866 a total of 74,701 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 3.58 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.005%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 105. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible**.

Unrestricted 004300153



12.7.1.1.1.1.4 Autumn Migration – construction vessels

- 106. During the autumn migration season the maximum mean peak density in the DBS East Array Area and 2km buffer was 1.52 /km². With a precautionary 2km radius of disturbance around each of three construction areas (wind turbines or other infrastructure) up to 57 individual birds (1.52 x 12.56 x 3) could be at risk of displacement, of which 0.57 (1%) birds would be predicted to be at risk of mortality.
- 107. At the average baseline mortality rate for gannet of 0.1866, a total of 85,145 birds would be expected to die in autumn. The addition of 0.57 to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.001%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 108. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the autumn migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible**.

12.7.1.1.1.1.5 Autumn Migration – 50% installed turbines

- 109. The impact from half the wind farm during the autumn migration period has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the autumn migration period (section 12.8.1.1.1.1.2). Thus, a maximum of 3.10 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1866 a total of 85,145 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 3.10 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.004%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 110. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the autumn migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible**.

Unrestricted 004300153



12.7.1.1.1.1.6 Autumn Migration – construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 111. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement in the autumn migration period gives a maximum of 3.67 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1866 a total of 85,145 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 3.67 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.004%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 112. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the autumn migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible**.

12.7.1.1.1.1.7 Spring Migration – construction vessels

- 113. During the spring migration season, the maximum mean peak density in the DBS East Array Area and 2km buffer was 0.15/km². With a precautionary 2km radius of disturbance around each of three construction areas (wind turbines or other infrastructure), up to 6 individual birds (0.15 x 12.56 x 3) could be at risk of displacement, of which 0.06 (1%) a would be expected to be at risk of mortality.
- 114. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1866, a total of 46,349 birds would be expected to die in spring. The addition of a maximum of 0.06 to this would increase the mortality rate by <0.001%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 115. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the spring migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is negligible.

12.7.1.1.1.1.8 Spring Migration – 50% installed turbines

116. The impact from half the wind farm during the spring migration period has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the spring migration period (section 12.8.1.1.1.3). Thus, a maximum of 0.3 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1866 a total of 46,349 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 0.3 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.001%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.

Unrestricted 004300153



117. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the spring migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is negligible.

12.7.1.1.1.9 Spring Migration – construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 118. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement in the spring migration period gives a maximum of 0.36 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1866 a total of 46,349 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 0.36 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.001%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 119. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the spring migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible**.

12.7.1.1.1.1.10 Annual – construction vessels

- 120. The estimated number of gannets subject to construction disturbance / displacement mortality at DBS East throughout the year is 1.19 individuals.
- 121. At the average baseline mortality rate for gannet of 0.1866, a total of 85,145 birds would be expected to die from the largest BDMPS population throughout the year. The addition of a maximum of 1.19 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.001%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 122. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 220,188. The addition of a maximum of 1.19 to this increases the mortality rate by <0.001%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 123. The sensitivity of gannet to construction displacement is considered to be low and the magnitude of annual impact at DBS East is negligible, therefore the annual effect on gannet due to construction displacement at DBS East is assessed as **negligible**.



12.7.1.1.1.1.1 Annual – 50% installed turbines

- 124. The impact from half the wind farm during the annual impact at DBS East has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the annual impact at DBS East (section 12.8.1.1.1.1.4). Thus, a maximum of 6.42 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1866 a total of 85,145 birds from the largest BDMPS population would be expected to die each year. The addition of 6.42 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.008%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 125. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 220,188. The addition of a maximum of 6.42 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.003%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 126. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, the magnitude of the annual impact at DBS East is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible**.

12.7.1.1.1.1.12 Annual – construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 127. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement the annual impact at DBS East gives a maximum of 7.61 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1866 a total of 85,145 birds from the largest BDMPS population would be expected to die each year. The addition of 7.61 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.009%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 128. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 220,188. The addition of a maximum of 7.61 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.003%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 129. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, the magnitude of annual impact at DBS East is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible**.

Unrestricted 004300153



12.7.1.1.1.2 Significance of Effect – DBS West in Isolation 12.7.1.1.1.2.1 Breeding Season – construction vessels

- 130. During the breeding season, the maximum mean peak density in the DBS West Array Area and 2km buffer was 1.55/km². With a precautionary 2km radius of disturbance around each of three active construction areas (wind turbines or other infrastructure), up to 58 individuals (1.55 x 12.56 x 3) could be at risk of displacement, of which 0.58 (1%) would be expected to be at risk of mortality.
- 131. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1866 a total of 74,701 birds would be expected to die in the breeding season. The addition of a maximum of 0.58 birds predicted to be at risk of mortality from construction disturbance and displacement to these would increase the mortality rate by 0.001%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 132. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, the magnitude of annual impact at DBS West is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible**.

12.7.1.1.1.2.2 Breeding Season – 50% installed turbines

- 133. The impact from half the wind farm during the breeding season has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the breeding season (section 12.8.1.1.1.2.1). Thus, a maximum of 3.22 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1866 a total of 74,701 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 3.22 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.004%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 134. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible**.



12.7.1.1.1.2.3 Breeding Season – construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 135. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement in the breeding season gives a maximum of 3.80 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1866 a total of 74,701 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 3.80 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.005%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 136. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible**.

12.7.1.1.1.2.4 Autumn Migration – construction vessels

- 137. During the autumn migration season the maximum mean peak density in the DBS West Array Area and 2km buffer was 1.54/km². With a precautionary 2km radius of disturbance around each of three construction areas (wind turbines or other infrastructure) up to 58 individual birds (1.54 x 12.56 x 3) could be at risk of displacement, of which 0.58 (1%) would be predicted to be at risk of mortality.
- 138. At the average baseline mortality rate for gannet of 0.1866 a total of 85,145 birds would be expected to die in autumn. The addition of a maximum of 0.58 to this would increase the mortality rate by <0.001%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 139. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the autumn migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible**.



12.7.1.1.1.2.5 Autumn Migration – 50% installed turbines

- 140. The impact from half the wind farm during the autumn migration period has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the autumn migration period (section 12.8.1.1.1.2.2). Thus, a maximum of 3.19 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1866 a total of 85,145 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 3.19 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.004%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 141. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the autumn migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible**.

12.7.1.1.1.2.6 Autumn Migration – construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 142. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement in the autumn migration period gives a maximum of 3.77 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1866 a total of 85,145 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 3.77 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.004%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 143. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the autumn migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible**.

12.7.1.1.1.2.7 Spring Migration – construction vessels

144. During the spring migration season, the maximum mean peak density in the DBS West array and 2km buffer was 0.17/km². With a precautionary 2km radius of disturbance around each of three construction areas (wind turbines or other infrastructure), up to 6 individual birds (0.17 x 12.56 x 3) could be at risk of displacement, of which 0.06 (1%) would be expected to be at risk of mortality.

Unrestricted 004300153



- 145. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1866, a total of 46,349 birds would be expected to die in spring. The addition of a maximum of 0.06 to this would increase the mortality rate by <0.001%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 146. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the spring migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible**.

12.7.1.1.1.2.8 Spring Migration – 50% installed turbines

- 147. The impact from half the wind farm during the spring migration period has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the spring migration period (section 12.8.1.1.1.2.3). Thus, a maximum of 0.34 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1866 a total of 46,349 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 0.34 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.001%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 148. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the spring migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible**.

12.7.1.1.1.2.9 Spring Migration – construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 149. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement in the spring migration period gives a maximum of 0.40 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1866 a total of 46,349 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 0.40 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.001%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 150. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the spring migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible**.

Unrestricted 004300153



12.7.1.1.1.2.10 Annual – construction vessels

- 151. The estimated number of gannets subject to construction disturbance / displacement mortality at DBS West throughout the year is 1.23 individuals.
- 152. At the average baseline mortality rate for gannet of 0.1866, a total of 85,145 birds would be expected to die from the largest BDMPS population throughout the year. The addition of a maximum of 1.23 to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.001%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 153. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 220,188. The addition of a maximum of 1.23 to this increases the mortality rate by <0.001%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 154. The sensitivity of gannet to construction displacement is considered to be low and the magnitude of annual impact at DBS West is negligible, therefore the annual effect on gannet due to construction displacement at DBS West is assessed as **negligible**.

12.7.1.1.1.2.11 Annual – 50% installed turbines

- 155. The impact from half the wind farm during the annual impact at DBS West, has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the annual impact at DBS West, (section 12.8.1.1.1.2.4). Thus, a maximum of 6.76 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1866 a total of 85,145 birds from the largest BDMPS population would be expected to die each year. The addition of 6.76 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.008, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 156. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 220,188. The addition of a maximum of 6.76 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.008%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 157. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, the magnitude of annual impact at DBS West is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible**.

Unrestricted 004300153



12.7.1.1.1.2.12 Annual – construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 158. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement the annual impact at DBS West gives a maximum of 7.99 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1866 a total of 85,145 birds from the largest BDMPS population would be expected to die each year. The addition of 7.99 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.009%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 159. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 220,188. The addition of a maximum 7.99 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.004%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 160. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, the magnitude of annual impact at DBS West is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible**.

12.7.1.1.1.3Significance of Effect - DBS East and DBS West Together12.7.1.1.1.3.1Breeding Season - construction vessels

- 161. During the breeding season the combined number of gannets at risk of mortality due to displacement from construction activity across the Array Areas was 1.14 birds.
- 162. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1866, a total of 74,701 birds would be expected to die in the breeding season. The addition of 1.14 individuals to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.002%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 163. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible**.



12.7.1.1.1.3.2 Breeding Season – 50% installed turbines

- 164. The combined impact from half of the Array Areas during the breeding season has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the breeding season (section 12.8.1.1.1.3.1). Thus, a maximum of 6.24 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1886 a total of 74,701 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 6.24 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.008%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 165. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible**.

12.7.1.1.1.3.3 Breeding Season – construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 166. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement in the breeding season for the Array Areas gives a combined maximum of 7.38 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1866 a total of 74,701 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 7.38 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.010%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 167. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible**.

12.7.1.1.1.3.4 Autumn Migration – construction vessels

- 168. During the autumn the combined number of gannets at risk of mortality due to displacement from construction activity across the Array Areas was 1.15 birds.
- 169. At the average baseline mortality rate for gannet of 0.1866, a total of 85,145 birds would be expected to die in autumn. The addition of a maximum of 1.15 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.001%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.

Unrestricted 004300153



170. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the autumn migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible**.

12.7.1.1.1.3.5 Autumn Migration – 50% installed turbines

- 171. The combined impact from half of the Array Areas during the autumn migration period has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the autumn migration period (section 12.8.1.1.1.3.2). Thus, a maximum of 6.30 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1866 a total of 85,145 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 6.30 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.007%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 172. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the autumn migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible**.

12.7.1.1.1.3.6 Autumn Migration – construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 173. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement in the autumn migration period for the Array Areas gives a combined maximum of 7.45 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1866 a total of 85,145 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 7.45 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.009%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 174. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the autumn migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible**.

12.7.1.1.1.3.7 Spring Migration – construction vessels

175. During the spring season the combined number of gannets at risk of mortality due to displacement from construction activity across the Array Areas was 0.12 birds.

Unrestricted

Page 101

004300153



- 176. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1866, a total of 46,349 birds would be expected to die in spring . The addition of a maximum of 0.12 to this increases the mortality rate by <0.001%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 177. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the spring migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible**.

12.7.1.1.1.3.8 Spring Migration – 50% installed turbines

- 178. The combined impact from half of the Array Areas during the spring migration period has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the spring migration period (section 12.8.1.1.1.3.3). Thus, a maximum of 0.64 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1866 a total of 46,349 birds would be expected to die each year . The addition of 0.64 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.001%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 179. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the spring migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible**.

12.7.1.1.1.3.9 Spring Migration – construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 180. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement in the spring migration period for the Array Areas gives a maximum of 0.76 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1866 a total of 46,349 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 0.76 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.002%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 181. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the spring migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible**.

Unrestricted 004300153



12.7.1.1.1.3.10 Annual – construction vessels

- 182. Throughout the year the combined number of gannets at risk of mortality due to displacement from construction activity across the Array Areas was up to 2.42 individuals.
- 183. At the average baseline mortality rate for gannet of 0.1866, a total of 85,145 birds would be expected to die from the largest BDMPS population throughout the year. The addition of a maximum of 2.42 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.003%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 184. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 220,188. The addition of a maximum of 2.42 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.001%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 185. The sensitivity of gannet to construction displacement is considered to be low and the magnitude of annual impact at the Array Areas is negligible, therefore the annual effect on gannet due to construction displacement at the Array Areas is assessed as **negligible**.

12.7.1.1.1.3.11 Annual – 50% installed turbines

- 186. The combined impact per annum from half the Array Areas, has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the annual impact at Array Areas (section 12.8.1.1.1.3.4). Thus, a maximum of 13.18 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1866 a total of 85,145 birds from the largest BDMPS population would be expected to die each year. The addition of 13.18 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.015%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 187. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 220,188. The addition of a maximum of 13.18 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.006%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 188. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the annual impact at the Array Areas, the magnitude of annual impact at the Array Areas is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible**.

Unrestricted 004300153



12.7.1.1.1.3.12 Annual – construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 189. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement per annum for the Array Areas gives a combined maximum of 15.60 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1866 a total of 85,145 birds from the largest BDMPS population would be expected to die each year. The addition of 15.60 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.018%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 190. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 220,188. The addition of a maximum of 15.60 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.007%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 191. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the annual impact at the Array Areas, the magnitude of annual impact at the Array Areas is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible**.

12.7.1.1.2 Summary of Construction Displacement Assessment – Gannet

192. A table summarising the gannet construction displacement assessment is provided below (**Table 12-20**). There are no changes in any conclusions from the original ES, revised values from original ES are highlighted in yellow for reference.



Table 12-20 Summary of Gannet Displacement During Construction Assessment for DBS East, DBS West and Combined (Projects)

Gannet		DBS East	DBS West	Projects
Baseline average	e annual mortality			<mark>0.1866</mark>
Breeding season	Reference population (subadult component of nonbreeding BDMPS)		_	<mark>400,326</mark>
	Density (birds/km²)	1.48	1.55	<mark>3.03</mark>
	Construction displacement mortality (@1%)	0.56	0.58	1.14
	Mortality due to 50% installed turbines (80% displaced x 1% mortality)	<mark>3.02</mark>	<mark>3.22</mark>	<mark>6.24</mark>
	Combined construction mortality	<mark>3.58</mark>	<mark>3.80</mark>	<mark>7.38</mark>
	Overall increase in background mortality (%)	0.005	<mark>0.005</mark>	<mark>0.010</mark>
	Significance	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible
Autumn	Reference population (nonbreeding season BDMPS)	1		<mark>456,299</mark>
	Density (birds/km²)	1.52	1.54	<mark>3.06</mark>
	Construction displacement mortality (@1%)	0.57	0.58	1.15
	Mortality due to 50% installed turbines (80% displaced x 1% mortality)	<mark>3.10</mark>	<mark>3.19</mark>	<mark>6.30</mark>
	Combined construction mortality	<mark>3.67</mark>	<mark>3.77</mark>	<mark>7.45</mark>
	Overall increase in background mortality (%)	0.004	0.004	<mark>0.009</mark>

Unrestricted 004300153



Gannet		DBS East	DBS West	Projects	
	Significance	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	
Spring	Reference population			248,385	
	Density (birds/km²)	0.15	0.17	<mark>0.32</mark>	
	Construction displacement mortality (@1%)	0.06	0.06	0.12	
	Mortality due to 50% installed turbines (80% displaced x 1% mortality)	<mark>0.30</mark>	<mark>0.34</mark>	<mark>0.64</mark>	
	Combined construction mortality	<mark>0.36</mark>	<mark>0.40</mark>	<mark>0.76</mark>	
	Overall increase in background mortality (%)	0.001	0.001	<mark>0.002</mark>	
	Significance	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	
Annual	Reference population	456,299			
(BDMPS)	Density (birds/km²)	3.15	3.26	<mark>6.41</mark>	
	Construction displacement mortality (@1%)	1.19	<mark>1.23</mark>	<mark>2.42</mark>	
	Mortality due to 50% installed turbines (80% displaced x 1% mortality)	<mark>6.42</mark>	<mark>6.76</mark>	<mark>13.18</mark>	
	Combined construction mortality	<mark>7.61</mark>	<mark>7.99</mark>	<mark>15.60</mark>	
	Overall increase in background mortality (%)	0.009	0.009	<mark>0.018</mark>	
	Significance	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	
	Biogeographical population			1,180,000	

Unrestricted

Page 106

004300153



Gannet		DBS East	DBS West	Projects
Annual	Increase in background mortality (%)	0.003	0.004	<mark>0.007</mark>
(biogeographic)	Significance	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible

Unrestricted 004300153



12.7.1.1.3 Guillemot

- 193. Guillemots were recorded in the Array Areas year-round, with estimated peak densities within the DBS East array plus 2km buffer in November (24.62/km²) and in the DBS West array and 2km buffer in August (24.08/km²). Guillemots are considered to have a medium sensitivity to disturbance and displacement, based on their sensitivity to ship and helicopter traffic in Garthe and Hüppop (2004), Furness and Wade (2012), Furness *et al.* (2013) and Bradbury *et al.* (2014).
- 194. The mean maximum foraging range for breeding guillemot is 73km (Woodward *et al*, 2019) which places the Array Areas beyond the range of the nearest breeding colony at Flamborough Head which is 100km from the Array Areas.
- 195. It is therefore appropriate to assume that individuals seen during the breeding season are nonbreeding and that they are predominantly subadult birds. Indeed, the lowest densities in the Array Areas were recorded during the peak breeding months of May and June (<1 bird/km²). Natural England has advised that the guillemot breeding season reference population appropriate for this assessment is 2,045,078 individuals (see **Volume 7, Appendix 12-1 Offshore Ornithology Consultation Responses** (application ref: 7.12.12.1)) for further details).
- 196. The number of individuals from this population expected to die in the breeding season is 287,333 (2,045,078 x 0.1405, **Table 12-17**).
- 197. During the nonbreeding season the guillemot BDMPS population for the North Sea has been used as the reference population (1,617,305). In their Relevant Representation [RR-039] Natural England advised that guillemot impacts at the Projects should include a post-breeding period (August and September). Since these months fall within the nonbreeding season, the nonbreeding season BDMPS population (1,617,305) has been used as a reference regional population. The number of individuals from this population expected to die in both the post-breeding and nonbreeding seasons is therefore 227,231 (1,617,305 x 0.1405).
- 198. The annual assessment impacts have been considered in relation to both the largest of the BDMPS population and also to the biogeographic population (4,125,000; Furness, 2015). The number of individuals from these populations expected to die is 287,333 (2,045,078 x 0.1405) and 579,563 (4,125,000 x 0.1405) respectively.

Unrestricted 004300153


12.7.1.1.3.1 Significance of Effect – DBS East in Isolation 12.7.1.1.3.1.1 Breeding Season – construction vessels

- 199. During the breeding season, the maximum mean peak density in the DBS East Array Area and 2km buffer was 17.71/km². With a precautionary 2km radius of disturbance around each of three active construction areas (wind turbines or other infrastructure) up to 667 individuals (17.71 x 12.56 x 3) could be at risk of displacement, of which up to 66.7 (10%) would be expected to be at risk of mortality.
- 200. Based on the average mortality for guillemot of 0.1405, a total of 287,333 birds would be expected to die each year from the breeding season reference population. The addition of a maximum of 66.7 birds would increase the mortality rate by 0.023%. which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 201. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.1.3.1.2 Breeding Season – 50% installed turbines

- 202. The impact from half the wind farm during the breeding season has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the breeding season (section 12.8.1.1.3.1.1). Thus, a maximum of 16.1 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1405 a total of 287,333 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 16.1 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.110%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 203. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

Unrestricted 004300153



12.7.1.1.3.1.3 Breeding Season – construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

204. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement in the breeding season gives a maximum of 382.8 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1405 a total of 287,333 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 382.8 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.133%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.

This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.1.3.1.4 Chick Rearing and Moult – construction vessels

- 205. During the chick rearing and moult period, the maximum mean peak density in the DBS East Array Area was 15.06/km². With a precautionary 2km radius of disturbance around each of three active construction areas (wind turbines or other infrastructure), up to 567 individual birds (15.06 x 12.56 x 3) could be at risk of displacement, of which up to 56.7 (10%) would be expected to be at risk of mortality.
- 206. Based on the average mortality rate for guillemot of 0.1405, a total 227,231 birds would be expected to die each year from the post-breeding season BDMPS population. The addition of a maximum of 56.7 birds to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.025%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 207. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the chick rearing and moult period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.



12.7.1.1.3.1.5 Chick Rearing and Moult – 50% installed turbines

- 208. The impact from half the wind farm during the chick rearing and moult period has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the chick rearing and moult period (section 12.8.1.1.3.1.2). Thus, a maximum of 268.7 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1405 a total of 227,231 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 268.7 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.112%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 209. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the chick rearing and moult period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.1.3.1.6 Chick Rearing and Moult – construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 210. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement in the post-breeding season gives a maximum of 325.5 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1405 a total of 227,231 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 325.5 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.143%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 211. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the chick rearing and moult period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.1.3.1.7 Nonbreeding - construction vessels

212. During the nonbreeding season the maximum mean peak density in the DBS East Array Area was 24.62/km². With a precautionary 2km radius of disturbance around each of three active construction areas (wind turbines or other infrastructure), up to 928 individual birds (24.62 x 12.56 x 3) could be at risk of displacement, of which up to 92.8 (10%) would be expected to be at risk of mortality.



- 213. Based on the average mortality rate for guillemot of 0.1405, a total 227,231 birds would be expected to die each year from the nonbreeding season BDMPS population. The addition of a maximum of 92.8 birds to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.041%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 214. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the nonbreeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.1.3.1.8 Nonbreeding – 50% installed turbines

- 215. The impact from half the wind farm during the nonbreeding season has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the nonbreeding season (section 12.8.1.1.3.1.3). Thus, a maximum of 439.3 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1405 a total of 227,231 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 439.3 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.193%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 216. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the nonbreeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.1.3.1.9 Nonbreeding – construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 217. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement in the nonbreeding season gives a maximum of 532.1 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1405 a total of 227,231 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 532.1 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.234%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 218. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the nonbreeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

Unrestricted 004300153



12.7.1.1.3.1.10 Annual – construction vessels

- 219. The estimated number of guillemots subject to construction disturbance and displacement mortality at DBS East throughout the year is up to 216.2 individuals.
- 220. The number of individuals expected to die from the largest BDMPS population throughout the year is 287,333. The addition of a maximum of 216.2 individuals to this increases the mortality rate by 0.075%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 221. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 579,563. The addition of a maximum of 216.2 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.037%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 222. The sensitivity of guillemot to construction displacement is considered to be medium and the magnitude of annual impact at DBS East is negligible, therefore the annual effect on guillemot due to construction displacement at DBS East is assessed as **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.1.3.1.11 Annual – 50% installed turbines

- 223. The impact from half the wind farm during the annual impact at the DBS East, has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the annual impact at DBS East, (section 12.8.1.1.3.1.). Thus, a maximum of 1,024.1 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1405 a total of is 287,333 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 1,024.1 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.356%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 224. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 579,563. The addition of a maximum of 1,024.1 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.177%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 225. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, the magnitude of the annual impact at DBS East is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

Unrestricted 004300153



12.7.1.1.3.1.12 Annual – construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 226. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement the annual impact at DBS East gives a maximum of 1,240.4 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1405 a total of is 287,333 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 1,240.4 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.432%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 227. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 579,563. The addition of a maximum of 1,240.4 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.214%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 228. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, the magnitude of the annual impact at DBS East is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.1.3.2 Significance of Effect – DBS West in Isolation

12.7.1.1.3.2.1 Breeding Season – construction vessels

- 229. During the breeding season, the maximum mean peak density in the DBS West Array Area was 16.92/km². With a precautionary 2km radius of disturbance around each of three active construction areas (wind turbines or other infrastructure) up to 638 individuals (16.92 x 12.56 x 3) could be at risk of displacement, of which up to 63.8 (10%) would be expected to be at risk of mortality.
- 230. Based on the average mortality for guillemot of 0.1405, a total of 287,333 birds would be expected to die each year from the breeding season reference population. The addition of a maximum of 63.8 birds would increase the mortality rate by 0.022%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 231. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

Unrestricted 004300153



12.7.1.1.3.2.2 Breeding Season – 50% installed turbines

- 232. The impact from half the wind farm during the breeding season has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the breeding season (section 12.8.1.1.3.2.1). Thus, a maximum of 307.4 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1405 a total of 287,333 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 307.4 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.107%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 233. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.1.3.2.3 Breeding Season – construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 234. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement in the breeding season gives a maximum of 371.2 Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1405 a total of 287,333 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 371.2 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.1293%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 235. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.1.3.2.4 Chick Rearing and Moult – construction vessels

236. During the chick rearing and moult period, the maximum mean peak density in the DBS West Array Area was 24.08/km². With a precautionary 2km radius of disturbance around each of three active construction areas (wind turbines or other infrastructure), up to 907 individual birds (24.08 x 12.56 x 3) could be at risk of displacement, of which up to 90.7 (10%) would be expected to be at risk of mortality.



- 237. Based on the average mortality rate for guillemot of 0.1405, a total 227,231 birds would be expected to die each year from the post-breeding season BDMPS population. The addition of a maximum of 90.7 birds to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.040%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 238. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the chick rearing and moult period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.1.3.2.5 Chick Rearing and Moult – 50% installed turbines

- 239. The impact from half the wind farm during the chick rearing and moult period has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the post-breeding season (section 12.8.1.1.3.3.1). Thus, a maximum of 437.4 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1405 a total of 227,231 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 437.4 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.193%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 240. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the chick rearing and moult period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.1.3.2.6 Chick Rearing and Moult – construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 241. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement in the post-breeding season gives a maximum of 528.1 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1405 a total of 227,231 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 528.1 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.232%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 242. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the chick rearing and moult period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

Unrestricted 004300153



12.7.1.1.3.2.7 Nonbreeding - construction vessels

- 243. During the nonbreeding season the maximum mean peak density in the DBS West Array Area was 19.06/km². With a precautionary 2km radius of disturbance around each of three active construction areas (wind turbines or other infrastructure), up to 718 individual birds (19.06 x 12.56 x 3) could be at risk of displacement, of which up to 71.8 (10%) would be expected to be risk of mortality.
- 244. Based on the average mortality rate for guillemot, of 0.1405, 227,231 birds would be expected to die each year from the nonbreeding season BDMPS population. The addition of 71.8 birds to this would increase the mortality rate by up to 0.032%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 245. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the nonbreeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.1.3.2.8 Nonbreeding – 50% installed turbines

- 246. The impact from half the wind farm during the nonbreeding season has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the nonbreeding season (section 12.8.1.1.3.3.2). Thus, a maximum of 346.3 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1405 a total of 227,231 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 346.3 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.152% which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 247. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the nonbreeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.



12.7.1.1.3.2.9 Nonbreeding – construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 248. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement in the nonbreeding season gives a maximum of 418.2 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1405 a total of 227,231 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 418.2 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.184%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 249. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the nonbreeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.1.3.2.10 Annual – construction vessels

- 250. The estimated number of guillemots subject to construction disturbance and displacement mortality at DBS West throughout the year is up to 226.3.
- 251. The number of individuals expected to die from the largest BDMPS population throughout the year is 287,333. The addition of a maximum of 226.3 individuals to this increases the mortality rate by 0.079%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 252. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 579,563. The addition of a maximum of 226.3 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.039%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 253. The sensitivity of guillemot to construction displacement is considered to be medium and the magnitude of annual impact at DBS West is negligible, therefore the annual effect on guillemot due to construction displacement at DBS West is assessed as **minor adverse**.



12.7.1.1.3.2.11 Annual – 50% installed turbines

- 254. The impact from half the wind farm during the annual impact at the Projects, has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the annual impact at DBS West, (section 12.8.1.1.3.2.4). Thus, a maximum of 1,091.2 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1405 a total of 287,333 birds from the largest BDMPS population would be expected to die each year. The addition of 1,091.2 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.380%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 255. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 579,563. The addition of a maximum of 1,091.2 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.188%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 256. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, the magnitude of annual impact at DBS West is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.1.3.2.12 Annual – construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 257. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement the annual impact at DBS West gives a maximum of 1,317.5 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1405 a total of 287,333 birds from the largest BDMPS population would be expected to die each year. The addition of 1,317.5 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.459%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 258. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 579,563. The addition of a maximum of 1,317.5 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.227%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 259. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, the magnitude of annual impact at DBS West is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

Unrestricted 004300153



12.7.1.1.3.3 Significance of Effect – DBS East and DBS West Together 12.7.1.1.3.3.1 Breeding Season – construction vessels

- 260. During the breeding season the combined number of guillemots at risk of mortality due to displacement from construction vessels across the Array Areas was up to 130.5 (East: 66.7, West: 63.8).
- 261. Based on the average mortality for guillemot of 0.1405, a total of 287,333 birds would be expected to die each year from the breeding season reference population. The addition of a maximum of 130.5 birds predicted to be at risk of mortality from construction disturbance and displacement would increase the mortality rate by 0.045%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 262. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.1.3.3.2 Breeding Season – 50% installed turbines

- 263. The combined impact from half of the Array Areas during the breeding season has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the breeding season (section 12.8.1.1.3.3.1). Thus, a maximum of 623.5 (East: 316.1, West: 307.4) individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1405 a total of 287,333 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 623.5 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.217%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 264. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.



12.7.1.1.3.3.3 Breeding Season – construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 265. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement in the breeding season for the Array Areas gives a combined maximum of 754.0 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1405 a total of 287,333 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 754.0 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.262%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 266. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.1.3.3.4 Chick Rearing and Moult – construction vessels

- 267. During the chick rearing and moult period the combined number of guillemots at risk of mortality due to displacement from construction vessels across the Array Areas was up to 147.5 (East: 56.7, West: 90.7).
- 268. Based on the average mortality for guillemot of 0.1405, a total of 227,231 birds would be expected to die each year from the nonbreeding season reference population. The addition of a maximum of 147.5 birds predicted to be at risk of mortality from construction disturbance and displacement would increase the mortality rate by 0.065%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 269. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the chick rearing and moult period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.



12.7.1.1.3.3.5 Chick Rearing and Moult – 50% installed turbines

- 270. The combined impact from half of the Array Areas during the chick rearing and moult period has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the post-breeding season (section 12.8.1.1.3.2). Thus, a maximum of 706.2 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1405, a total of 227,231 birds would be expected to die each. The addition of 706.2 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.311%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 271. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the chick rearing and moult period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.1.3.3.6 Chick Rearing and Moult – construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 272. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement in the post-breeding season for the Array Areas gives a combined maximum of 853.7 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1405 a total of 227,231 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 853.7 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.376%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 273. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the chick rearing and moult period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.1.3.3.7 Nonbreeding - construction vessels

- 274. During the nonbreeding season the combined number of guillemots at risk of mortality due to displacement from construction vessels across the Array Areas was up to 164.6 (East: 92.8, West: 71.8).
- 275. Based on the average mortality rate for guillemot, of 0.1405, 227,231 birds would be expected to die each year from the nonbreeding season BDMPS population. The addition of 164.6 birds to this would increase the mortality rate by up to 0.072%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.

Unrestricted

Page 122

004300153



276. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the nonbreeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.1.3.3.8 Nonbreeding – 50% installed turbines

- 277. The combined impact from half of the Array Areas during the nonbreeding season has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the nonbreeding season (section 12.8.1.1.3.3.3). Thus, a maximum of 785.7 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1405 a total of 227,231 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 785.7 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.346% which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 278. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the nonbreeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.1.3.3.9 Nonbreeding – construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 279. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement in the nonbreeding season for the Array Areas gives a combined maximum of 950.3 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1405 a total of 227,231 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 950.3 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.418%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 280. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the nonbreeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.1.3.3.10 Annual – construction vessels

281. Throughout the year the combined number of guillemots at risk of mortality due to displacement from construction activity across the Array Areas was up to 442.6 (East: 216.2, West: 226.3).

Unrestricted 004300153



- 282. The number of individuals expected to die from the largest BDMPS population throughout the year is 287,333. The addition of a maximum of 442.6 individuals to this increases the mortality rate by 0.154%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 283. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 579,563. The addition of a maximum of 442.6 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.076%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 284. The sensitivity of guillemot to construction displacement is considered to be medium and the magnitude of annual impact at the Array Areas is negligible, therefore the annual effect on guillemot due to construction displacement at the Array Areas is assessed as **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.1.3.3.11 Annual – 50% installed turbines

- 285. The annual impact per annum from half of the Array Areas, has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the annual impact across the Array Areas (section 12.8.1.1.3.3.4). Thus, a maximum of 2,115.3 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1405, a total of 287,333 birds from the largest BDMPS population would be expected to die each year. The addition of 2,115.3 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.736%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 286. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 579,563. The addition of a maximum of 2,115.3 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.365%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 287. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, the magnitude of annual impact at the Array Areas is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.



12.7.1.1.3.3.12 Annual – construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 288. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement per annum for the Array Areas gives a combined maximum of 2,557.9 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1405, a total of 287,333 birds from the largest BDMPS population would be expected to die each year. The addition of 2,557.9 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.890%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 289. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 579,563. The addition of a maximum of 2,557.9 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.441%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 290. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, the magnitude of annual impact at the Array Areas is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.
- 291. A table summarising the guillemot construction displacement assessment is provided below (**Table 12-21**). There are no changes in any conclusions from the original ES, revised values from original ES are highlighted in yellow for reference.

Unrestricted 004300153



12.7.1.1.4 Summary of Construction Displacement Assessment - Guillemot

Table 12-21 Summary of Guillemot Displacement During Construction Assessment For DBS East, DBS West and Combined (Projects).

Guillemot		DBS East	DBS West	Projects
Baseline average annual mortality		<mark>0.1405</mark>		
Breeding season	Reference population			2,045,078
	Density (birds/km²)	17.71	16.92	<mark>34.63</mark>
	Construction displacement mortality (@10%)	66.7	63.8	130.5
	Mortality due to 50% installed turbines (70% displaced x 10% mortality)	316.1	<mark>307.4</mark>	<mark>623.5</mark>
	Combined construction mortality	<mark>382.8</mark>	<mark>371.2</mark>	<mark>754.0</mark>
	Overall increase in background mortality (%)	0.133	0.129	<mark>0.262</mark>
	Significance	Minor	Minor	Minor
	Reference population			<mark>1,617,305</mark>

Unrestricted

Page 126

004300153



Guillemot		DBS East	DBS West	Projects
Chick rearing and moult period	Density (birds/km²)	<mark>15.06</mark>	<mark>24.08</mark>	<mark>39.14</mark>
	Construction displacement mortality (@10%)	<mark>56.7</mark>	<mark>90.7</mark>	<mark>147.5</mark>
	Mortality due to 50% installed turbines (70% displaced x 10% mortality)	<mark>268.7</mark>	<mark>437.4</mark>	<mark>706.2</mark>
	Combined construction mortality	<mark>325.5</mark>	<mark>528.1</mark>	<mark>853.7</mark>
	Overall increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.143</mark>	<mark>0.232</mark>	<mark>0.376</mark>
	Significance	Minor	Minor	Minor
Non breeding season	Reference population			<mark>1,617,305</mark>
	Density (birds/km²)	24.62	<mark>19.06</mark>	<mark>43.68</mark>
	Construction displacement mortality (@10%)	92.8	71.8	<mark>164.6</mark>
	Mortality due to 50% installed turbines (70% displaced x 10% mortality)	<mark>439.3</mark>	<mark>346.3</mark>	<mark>785.7</mark>

Unrestricted

Page 127

004300153



Guillemot		DBS East	DBS West	Projects
	Combined construction mortality	<mark>532.1</mark>	<mark>418.2</mark>	<mark>950.3</mark>
	Overall increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.234</mark>	<mark>0.184</mark>	<mark>0.418</mark>
	Significance	Minor	Minor	Minor
Annual (BDMPS)	Reference population	2,045,078		
	Density (birds/km²)	<mark>57.39</mark>	<mark>60.06</mark>	<mark>117.45</mark>
	Construction displacement mortality (@10%)	<mark>216.2</mark>	<mark>226.3</mark>	<mark>442.6</mark>
	Mortality due to 50% installed turbines (70% displaced x 10% mortality)	<mark>1,024.1</mark>	<mark>1,091.2</mark>	<mark>2,115.3</mark>
	Combined construction mortality	<mark>1,240.4</mark>	<mark>1,317.5</mark>	<mark>2,557.9</mark>
	Overall increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.432</mark>	<mark>0.459</mark>	<mark>0.890</mark>
	Significance	Minor	Minor	Minor

Unrestricted 004300153



Guillemot		DBS East	DBS West	Projects
Annual (biogeographic)	Biogeographical population			4,125,000
	Increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.214</mark>	0.227	<mark>0.441</mark>
	Significance	Minor	Minor	Minor

Unrestricted 004300153



12.7.1.2 Razorbill

- 292. Razorbills were recorded in the Array Areas year-round, with estimated peak densities within the DBS East array plus 2km buffer in August (9.19km²) and in the DBS West array plus 2km buffer in September (9.41/km²). Razorbills are considered to have a medium sensitivity to disturbance and displacement, based on their sensitivity to ship and helicopter traffic in Garthe and Hüppop (2004), Furness and Wade (2012), Furness *et al.* (2013) and Bradbury *et al.* (2014).
- 293. The mean maximum foraging range for breeding razorbill is 88.7km (Woodward *et al.* 2019) which places the Array Areas beyond the range of the nearest breeding colony at Flamborough Head which is 100km from the Array Areas.
- 294. It is therefore appropriate to assume that individuals seen during the breeding season are nonbreeding and that they are predominantly subadult birds. Natural England has advised that the razorbill breeding season BDMPS reference population for the North Sea and Channel appropriate for this assessment is 158,031 individuals (Natural England 2024).
- 295. The number of individuals from this population expected to die at the baseline mortality rate in the breeding season is 20,576 (158,031 x 0.1302, **Table 12-17**).
- 296. During the nonbreeding seasons the razorbill BDMPS populations for the North Sea and Channel have been used as the reference populations (in the autumn and spring: 591,875 and in the winter: 218,621). For the annual assessment, impacts have been considered in relation to the largest of the BDMPS population (591,875 autumn/spring) and also to the biogeographic population (1,707,000; Furness, 2015). The number of individuals from these populations expected to die in spring and autumn are 77,062 (591,875 x 0.1302and in winter 28,464 (218,621 x 0.1302) and annually from the biogeographic population 222,251 (1,707,000 x 0.1302).

12.7.1.2.1 Significance of Effect – DBS East in Isolation

12.7.1.2.1.1 Breeding Season - construction vessels

297. During the breeding season, the maximum peak density in the DBS East Array Area was 1.09/km². With a precautionary 2km radius of disturbance around each of three active construction areas (wind turbines or other infrastructure), up to 41 individuals (1.09 x 12.56 x 3) could be at risk of displacement, of which up to 4.1 (10%) would be expected to be at risk of mortality.

Unrestricted 004300153



298. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.2.1.2 Breeding Season - 50% installed turbines

- 299. The impact from half the wind farm during the breeding season has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the breeding season (section 12.8.1.1.4.1.1). Thus, a maximum of 19.4 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302 a total of 20,576 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 19.4 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.094%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 300. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.2.1.3 Breeding Season - construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 301. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement in the breeding season gives a maximum of 23.5 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302 a total of 20,576 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 23.5 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.114%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 302. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.



12.7.1.2.1.4 Autumn Migration - construction vessels

- 303. During the autumn migration season the maximum mean peak density in the DBS East Array Area was 9.19/km². With a precautionary 2km radius of disturbance around each of three construction areas (wind turbines or other infrastructure) up to 346 individual birds (9.19 x 12.56 x 3) that could be at risk of displacement, of which up to 34.6 (10%) birds would be predicted to be at risk of mortality.
- 304. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302, a total of 77,062 birds would be expected to die in autumn. The addition of 34.6 birds to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.045%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 305. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the autumn migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.2.1.5 Autumn Migration - 50% installed turbines

- 306. The impact from half the wind farm during the autumn migration period has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the autumn migration period (section 12.8.1.1.4.1.2). Thus, a maximum of 164.0 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302 a total of 77,062 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 164.0 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.213%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 307. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the autumn migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.2.1.6 Autumn Migration – construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

308. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement in the autumn migration period gives a maximum of 198.6 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302 a total of 77,062 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 198.6 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.258%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.

Unrestricted 004300153



309. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the autumn migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.2.1.7 Winter - construction vessels

- 310. During the winter, the maximum mean peak density in the DBS East Array Area was 6.62/km². With a precautionary 2km radius of disturbance around each of three construction areas (wind turbines or other infrastructure), up to 249 individual birds (6.62 x 12.56 x 3) could be at risk of displacement, of which up to 24.9 (10%) would be expected to be at risk of mortality.
- 311. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302, a total of 28,464 birds would be expected to die from the winter population. The addition of a maximum of 24.9 birds would increase the mortality rate by 0.088%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 312. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the winter period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.2.1.8 Winter- 50% installed turbines

- 313. The impact from half the wind farm during the winter migration period has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the winter migration period (section 12.8.1.1.4.1.3). Thus, a maximum of 118.2 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302 a total of 28,464 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 118.2 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.415%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 314. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the winter period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.



12.7.1.2.1.9 Winter - construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 315. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement in the winter period gives a maximum of 143.1individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302 a total of 28,464 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 143.1 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.503%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 316. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the winter period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.2.1.10 Spring Migration - construction vessels

- 317. During the spring migration, the maximum mean peak density in the DBS East array was 7.02/km². With a precautionary 2km radius of disturbance around each of three construction areas (wind turbines or other infrastructure), up to 265 individual birds (7.02 x 12.56 x 3) could be at risk of displacement, of which up to 26.5 (10%) would be expected to be at risk of mortality.
- 318. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302, a total of 77,062 birds would be expected to die during the spring migration period. The addition of a maximum of 26.5 birds would increase the mortality rate by 0.034%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 319. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the spring migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.2.1.11 Spring Migration - 50% installed turbines

320. The impact from half the wind farm during the spring migration period has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the spring migration period (section 12.8.1.1.4.1.4). Thus, a maximum of 125.3 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302 a total of 77,062 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 125.3 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.163%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.

Unrestricted 004300153



321. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the spring migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.2.1.12 Spring Migration - construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 322. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement in the spring migration period gives a maximum of 151.8 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302 a total of 77,062 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 151.8 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.197%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 323. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the spring migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.2.1.13 Annual - construction vessels

- 324. The estimated number of razorbills subject to construction disturbance and displacement mortality at DBS East throughout the year was up to 90.1 individuals.
- 325. At the average baseline mortality rate for razorbill of 0.1302, a total of 77,062 birds would be expected to die from the largest BDMPS population throughout the year. The addition of a maximum of 90.1 individuals to this increases the mortality rate by 0.117%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 326. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 222,251. The addition of a maximum of 90.1 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.041%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 327. The sensitivity of razorbill to displacement is considered to be medium and the magnitude of annual impact at DBS East is negligible, therefore the annual effect on razorbill due to construction displacement at DBS East is assessed as **minor adverse**.



12.7.1.2.1.14 Annual - 50% installed turbines

- 328. The impact from half the wind farm during the annual impact at DBS East, has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the annual impact at DBS East, (section 12.8.1.1.4.1.5). Thus, a maximum of 426.9 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302 a total of is 77,062 birds from the largest BDMPS population would be expected to die each year. The addition of 426.9 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.4554%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 329. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 222,251. The addition of a maximum of 426.9 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.192%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 330. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, the magnitude of annual impact at DBS East is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.2.1.15 Annual - construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 331. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement the annual impact at DBS East gives a maximum of 517.0 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302 a total of is 77,062 birds from the largest BDMPS population would be expected to die each year. The addition of 517.0 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.671%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 332. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 222,251. The addition of a maximum of 517.0 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.233%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 333. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, the magnitude of annual impact at DBS East is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

Unrestricted 004300153



12.7.1.2.2 Significance of Effect – DBS West in Isolation12.7.1.2.2.1 Breeding Season – construction vessels

- 334. During the breeding season, the maximum mean peak density in the DBS West Array Areas was 4.39/km². With a precautionary 2km radius of disturbance around each of three active construction areas (wind turbines or other infrastructure), up to 165 individuals (4.39 x 12.56 x 3) could be at risk of displacement, of which up to 16.5 (10%) birds would be expected to be at risk of mortality.
- 335. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302, a total of 20,576 birds would be expected to die each year from the breeding season reference population. The addition of a maximum of 16.5 birds predicted to be at risk of mortality from construction disturbance and displacement would increase the mortality rate by 0.080%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 336. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.2.2.2 Breeding Season - 50% installed turbines

- 337. The impact from half the wind farm during the breeding season has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the breeding season (section 12.8.1.1.4.2.2). Thus, a maximum of 79.8 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302 a total of 20,576 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 79.8 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.388%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 338. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

Unrestricted 004300153



12.7.1.2.2.3 Breeding Season - construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 339. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement in the breeding season gives a maximum of 96.3 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302 a total of 20,576 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 96.3 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.468%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 340. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.2.2.4 Autumn Migration – construction vessels

- 341. During the autumn migration season the maximum mean peak density in the DBS West Array Area was 9.41/km². With a precautionary 2km radius of disturbance around each of three construction areas (wind turbines or other infrastructure) up to 355 individual birds (9.41 x 12.56 x 3) could be at risk of displacement, of which up to 35.5 (10%) birds would be predicted to be at risk of mortality.
- 342. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302, a total of 77,062 birds would be expected to die in autumn. The addition of 35.5 birds to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.046%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 343. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the autumn migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.2.2.5 Autumn Migration - 50% installed turbines

344. The impact from half the wind farm during the autumn migration period has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the autumn migration period (section 12.8.1.1.4.1.2). Thus, a maximum of 171.0 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302 a total of 77,062 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 171.0 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.222%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.

Unrestricted 004300153



345. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the autumn migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.2.2.6 Autumn Migration – construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 346. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement in the autumn migration period gives a maximum of 206.5 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302 a total of 77,062 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 206.5 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.268%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 347. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the autumn migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.2.2.7 Winter - construction vessels

- 348. During the winter, the maximum mean peak density in the DBS West Array Area was 9.76/km². With a precautionary 2km radius of disturbance around each of three construction areas (wind turbines or other infrastructure), up to 368 individual birds (9.76 x 12.56 x 3) could be at risk of displacement, of which up to 36.8 (10%) would be expected to be at risk of mortality.
- 349. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302, a total of 28,464 birds would be expected to die in winter. The addition of a maximum of 36.8 birds would increase the mortality rate by 0.129%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 350. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the winter period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.



12.7.1.2.2.8 Winter- 50% installed turbines

- 351. The impact from half the wind farm during the winter migration period has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the winter migration period (section 12.8.1.1.4.2.3). Thus, a maximum of 177.3 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302 a total of 28,464 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 177.3 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.623%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 352. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the winter period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.2.2.9 Winter - construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 353. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement in the winter period gives a maximum of 214.1 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302 a total of 28,464 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 214.1 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.752%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 354. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the winter period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.2.2.10 Spring Migration - construction vessels

- 355. During the spring migration, the maximum mean peak density in the DBS West Array Area was 8.58/km². With a precautionary 2km radius of disturbance around each of three construction areas (wind turbines or other infrastructure), up to 323 individual birds (8.58 x 12.56 x 3) could be at risk of displacement, of which up to 32.3 (10%) would be expected to be at risk of mortality.
- 356. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302, a total of 77,062 birds would be expected to die each year from the spring migration. The addition of a maximum of 32.3 birds would increase the mortality rate by 0.042%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.

Unrestricted

Page 140

004300153



357. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the spring migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.2.2.11 Spring Migration - 50% installed turbines

- 358. The impact from half the wind farm during the spring migration period has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the spring migration period (section 12.8.1.1.4.1.2). Thus, a maximum of 155.9 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302 a total of 77,062 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 155.9 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.202%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 359. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the spring migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.2.2.12 Spring Migration - construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 360. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement in the spring migration period gives a maximum of 188.2 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302 a total of 77,062 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 188.2 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.244%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 361. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the spring migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.2.2.13 Annual - construction vessels

362. The estimated number of razorbills subject to construction disturbance/displacement mortality at DBS West throughout the year was up to 121.1 individuals.



- 363. At the average baseline mortality rate for razorbill of 0.1302, a total of 77,062 birds would be expected to die from the largest BDMPS population throughout the year. The addition of a maximum of 121.1 individuals to this increases the mortality rate by 0.157%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 364. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 222,251. The addition of a maximum of 121.1 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.054%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 365. The sensitivity of razorbill to displacement is considered to be medium and the magnitude of annual impact at DBS West is negligible, therefore the annual effect on razorbill due to construction displacement at DBS West is assessed as **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.2.2.14 Annual - 50% installed turbines

- 366. The impact from half the wind farm during the annual impact at DBS West, has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the annual impact at DBS West, (section 12.8.1.1.4.2.5). Thus, a maximum of 584.1 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302 a total of 77,062 birds from the largest BDMPS population would be expected to die each year. The addition of 584.1 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.758%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 367. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 222,251. The addition of a maximum of 584.1 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.263%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 368. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, the magnitude of annual impact at DBS West is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.



12.7.1.2.2.15 Annual - construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 369. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement the annual impact at DBS West gives a maximum of 705.2 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302 a total of is 77,062 birds from the largest BDMPS population would be expected to die each year. The addition of 705.2 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.915%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 370. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 222,251. The addition of a maximum of 705.2 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.317%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 371. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, the magnitude of annual impact at DBS West is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.2.3Significance of Effect - DBS East and DBS West Together12.7.1.2.3.1Breeding Season - construction vessels

- 372. During the breeding season the combined number of razorbills at risk of mortality due to displacement from construction vessels across the Array Areas was up to 20.6.
- 373. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302, a total of 20,576 birds would be expected to die each year from the breeding season reference population. The addition of a maximum of 20.6 birds predicted to be at risk of mortality from construction disturbance and displacement would increase the mortality rate by 0.100%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 374. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

Unrestricted 004300153



12.7.1.2.3.2 Breeding Season - 50% installed turbines

- 375. The combined impact from half of the Array Areas during the breeding season has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the breeding season (section 12.8.1.1.4.3.1). Thus, a maximum of 99.3 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302 a total of 20,576 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 99.3 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.482%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 376. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.2.3.3 Breeding Season – construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 377. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement in the breeding season for the Array Areas gives a maximum of 119.9 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302 a total of 20,576 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 119.9 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.583%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 378. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.2.3.4 Autumn Migration - construction vessels

- 379. During the autumn migration the combined number of razorbills at risk of mortality due to displacement from construction vessels across the Array Areas was up to 70.1 birds.
- 380. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302, a total of 77,062 birds would be expected to die in autumn. The addition of 70.1 birds to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.091%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.


381. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the autumn migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.2.3.5 Autumn Migration – 50% installed turbines

- 382. The combined impact from half of the Array Areas during the autumn migration period has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the autumn migration period (section 12.8.1.1.4.3.2). Thus, a maximum of 335.1 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302 a total of 77,062 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 335.1 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.435%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 383. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the autumn migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.2.3.6 Autumn Migration – construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 384. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement in the autumn migration period for the Array Areas gives a combined maximum of 405.1 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302 a total of 77,062 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 405.1 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.526%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 385. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the autumn migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.2.3.7 Winter - construction vessels

386. During the winter the combined number of razorbills at risk of mortality due to displacement from construction vessels across the Array Areas was up to 61.7.

Unrestricted 004300153



- 387. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302, a total of 28,464 birds would be expected to die in winter. The addition of a maximum of 61.7 birds would increase the mortality rate by 0.217%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 388. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the winter period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.2.3.8 Winter- 50% installed turbines

- 389. The combined impact from half of the Array Areas during the winter migration period has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the winter migration period (section 12.8.1.1.4.3.3). Thus, a maximum of 295.5 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302 a total of 28,464 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 295.5 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 1.038%, which is above the 1% threshold for detectability. However, this is based on the worst case combination of parameters used to estimate operational displacement (70% displaced and 10% mortality, halved for construction effects). If operational displacement occurred at rate of 67% (with 10% mortality) or mortality was 9.6% (at 70% displaced), this figure would fall below the 1% threshold of detectability (note that 70% and 2% have been accepted for previous wind farms¹, use of which results in a much lower impact).
- 390. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the winter period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

¹ Note that 2% mortality was accepted for the Sheringham and Dudgeon Extensions in decision by SoS made after the assessment in the application (APP-048) was completed, hence this was not included at the time



12.7.1.2.3.9 Winter - construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 391. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement in the winter period for the Array Areas gives a combined maximum of 357.2 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302 a total of 28,464 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 357.2 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 1.255%, which is above the 1% threshold for detectability. However, this is based on the worst case combination of parameters used to estimate operational displacement (70% displaced and 10% mortality). If operational displacement occurred at rate of 52% (with 10% mortality) or mortality was 7.5% (at 70% displaced), this figure would fall below the 1% threshold of detectability.
- 392. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the winter period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.2.3.10 Spring Migration - construction vessels

- 393. During the spring migration the combined number of razorbills at risk of mortality due to displacement from construction vessels across the Array Areas was up to 58.8.
- 394. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302, a total of 77,062 birds would be expected to die each year from the spring migration. The addition of a maximum of 58.8 birds would increase the mortality rate by 0.076%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 395. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the spring migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.



12.7.1.2.3.11 Spring Migration - 50% installed turbines

- 396. The combined impact from half of the Array Areas during the spring migration period has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the spring migration period (section 12.8.1.1.4.3.4). Thus, a maximum of 281.2 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302 a total of 77,062 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 281.2 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.365%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 397. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the spring migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.2.3.12 Spring Migration - construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 398. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement in the spring migration period for the Array Areas gives a combined maximum of 340.0 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302 a total of 77,062 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 340.0 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.441%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 399. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the spring migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.2.3.13 Annual - construction vessels

- 400. Throughout the year the combined number of razorbills at risk of mortality due to displacement from construction vessels across the Array Areas was up to 211.2 individuals.
- 401. At the average baseline mortality rate for razorbill of 0.1302, a total of 77,062 birds would be expected to die from the largest BDMPS population throughout the year. The addition of a maximum of 211.2 individuals to this increases the mortality rate by 0.274%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.

Unrestricted 004300153



- 402. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 222,251. The addition of a maximum of 211.2 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.095%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 403. The sensitivity of razorbill to displacement is considered to be medium and the magnitude of annual impact at the Array Areas is negligible, therefore the annual effect on razorbill due to construction displacement at the Array Areas is assessed as minor adverse.

12.7.1.2.3.14 Annual - 50% installed turbines

- 404. The annual impact per annum from half of the Array Areas, has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the annual impact at the Array Areas (section 12.8.1.1.4.3.5). Thus, a maximum of 1,011.0 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302 a total of is 77,062 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 1,011.0 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 1.312%, which is above the 1% threshold for detectability. However, this is based on the worst case combination of parameters used to estimate operational displacement (70% displaced and 10% mortality). If operational displacement occurred at rate of 53% (with 10% mortality) or mortality was 7.6% (at 70% displaced), this figure would fall below the 1% threshold of detectability.
- 405. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 222,251. The addition of a maximum of 1,011 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.455%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 406. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, the magnitude of annual impact at the Array Areas is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.



12.7.1.2.3.15 Annual - construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 407. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement per annum for the Array Areas gives a combined maximum of 1,222.2 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1302 a total of is 77,062 birds from the largest BDMPS population would be expected to die each year. The addition of 1,222.2 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 1.586%, which is above the 1% threshold for detectability. However, this is based on the worst case combination of parameters used to estimate operational displacement (70% displaced and 10% mortality). If operational displacement occurred at rate of 38% (with 10% mortality) or mortality was 5.5% (at 70% displaced), this figure would fall below the 1% threshold of detectability (note that 70% and 2% have been accepted for previous wind farms¹, use of which results in a much lower impact).
- 408. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 222,251. The addition of a maximum of 1,222.2 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.550%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 409. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, the magnitude of annual impact at the Array Areas is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.2.4 Summary of Construction Displacement Assessment - Razorbill

410. A table summarising the razorbill construction displacement assessment is provided below (**Table 12-22**). There are no changes in any conclusions from the original ES, revised values from original ES are highlighted in yellow for reference.

Unrestricted 004300153



Table 12-22 Summary of Razorbill Displacement During Construction Assessment for DBS East, DBS West and Combined (Projects)

Razorbill		DBS East	DBS West	Projects	
Baseline Average Annual Mortality			0.1302		
Breeding season	Reference population (subadult component of nonbreeding BDMPS)	<mark>158,031</mark>			
	Density (birds/km²)		4.39	<mark>5.48</mark>	
	Construction displacement mortality (@10%)		16.5	20.6	
	Mortality due to 50% installed turbines (70% displaced x 10% mortality)	<mark>19.4</mark>	<mark>79.8</mark>	<mark>99.3</mark>	
	Combined construction mortality		<mark>96.3</mark>	<mark>119.9</mark>	
	Overall increase in background mortality (%)		<mark>0.468</mark>	<mark>0.583</mark>	
	Significance		Minor	Minor	
Autumn	Reference population (Nonbreeding season BDMPS)			<mark>591,875</mark>	
	Density (birds/km²)	9.19	9.41	<mark>18.6</mark>	
	Construction displacement mortality (@10%)	34.6	35.5	70.1	

Unrestricted 004300153



Razorbill		DBS East	DBS West	Projects	
	Mortality due to 50% installed turbines (70% displaced x 10% mortality)		171.0	<mark>335.1</mark>	
	Combined construction mortality		206.5	<mark>405.1</mark>	
	Overall increase in background mortality (%)		<mark>0.268</mark>	<mark>0.526</mark>	
	Significance	Minor	Minor	Minor	
Winter	Reference population (nonbreeding season BDMPS)		218,621		
	Density (birds/km²)	6.62	9.76	<mark>16.38</mark>	
	Construction displacement mortality (@10%)	24.9	36.8	61.7	
	Mortality due to 50% installed turbines (70% displaced x 10% mortality)	<mark>118.2</mark>	<mark>177.3</mark>	<mark>295.5</mark>	
	Combined construction mortality	<mark>143.1</mark>	<mark>214.1</mark>	<mark>357.2</mark>	
	Overall increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.503</mark>	<mark>0.752</mark>	<mark>1.255</mark>	
	Significance	Minor	Minor	Minor	
Spring	Reference population			<mark>591,875</mark>	

Unrestricted

004300153



Razorbill		DBS East	DBS West	Projects	
	Density (birds/km²)		8.58	<mark>15.6</mark>	
	Construction displacement mortality (@10%) 2		32.3	<mark>58.8</mark>	
	Mortality due to 50% installed turbines (70% displaced x 10% mortality)		<mark>155.9</mark>	<mark>281.2</mark>	
	Combined construction mortality		<mark>188.2</mark>	<mark>340.0</mark>	
	Overall increase in background mortality (%)		<mark>0.244</mark>	<mark>0.441</mark>	
Significance		Minor	Minor	Minor	
Annual (BDMPS)	Reference population		<mark>591,875</mark>		
	Density (birds/km²)	23.92	32.14	<mark>56.06</mark>	
	Construction displacement mortality (@10%)	90.1	121.1	211.2	
	Mortality due to 50% installed turbines (70% displaced x 10% mortality)	<mark>426.9</mark>	<mark>584.1</mark>	<mark>1,011.0</mark>	
	Combined construction mortality	<mark>517.0</mark>	<mark>705.2</mark>	<mark>1,222.2</mark>	
	Increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.671</mark>	<mark>0.915</mark>	1.586	

Unrestricted 004300153



Razorbill		DBS East	DBS West	Projects
	Significance	Minor	Minor	Minor
Annual (Biogeographic)	Biogeographical population			1,707,000
	Increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.233</mark>	<mark>0.317</mark>	<mark>0.550</mark>
	Significance	Minor	Minor	Minor

Unrestricted 004300153



12.7.1.3 Puffin

- 411. Puffins were recorded in the Array Areas with a spring and autumn focus (March, April and July to October). Estimated densities peaked within the DBS East array plus 2km buffer in October (0.35/km²) and in the DBS West array plus 2km buffer in October (0.38/km²). Puffins are considered to have a medium sensitivity to disturbance and displacement, based on their sensitivity to ship and helicopter traffic in Garthe and Hüppop (2004), Furness and Wade (2012), Furness *et al.* (2013) and Bradbury *et al.* (2014).
- 412. The Array Areas are within the mean maximum foraging range of puffins (137km) from the breeding colony at the FFC SPA, therefore indicating some degree of connectivity is possible. Natural England has advised that the puffin breeding season BDMPS reference population for the North Sea and Channel appropriate for this assessment is 868,689 individuals (Natural England 2024). The number of individuals from this population expected to die at the baseline mortality rate in the breeding season is 103,374 (868,689 x 0.119).
- 413. During the nonbreeding season, the puffin BDMPS population for the North Sea and Channel, 231,958, was used as the reference population for assessment. For the assessment of annual impacts, two reference populations have been considered; the largest BDMPS (868,689) and the biogeographic population (11,840,000; Furness, 2015). The number of individuals from these populations expected to die are 27,603 (231,958 x 0.119), 103,374 (868,689 x 0.119) and 1,408,960 (11,840,000 x 0.119) respectively.

12.7.1.3.1 Significance of Effect – DBS East in Isolation

12.7.1.3.1.1 Breeding Season - construction vessels

- 414. During the breeding season, the maximum mean peak density in the DBS East Array Area was 0.12/km². With a precautionary 2km radius of disturbance around each of three active construction areas (wind turbines or other infrastructure) up to 5 individuals (0.12 x 12.56 x 3) could be at risk of displacement, of which 0.5 (10%) would be expected to be at risk of mortality.
- 415. Based on the average mortality for adult puffin of 0.119, a total of 103,374 birds would be expected to die in the breeding season. The addition of a maximum of 0.5 to this increases the mortality rate by <0.001% which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.



416. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.3.1.2 Breeding Season - 50% installed turbines

- 417. The impact from half the wind farm during the breeding season has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the breeding season (section 12.8.1.1.5.1.1). Thus, a maximum of 2.2 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction.
- 418. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.119, a total of 103,374 birds from the breeding season BDMPS population would be expected to die in the breeding season. The addition of a maximum of 2.2 to this increases the mortality rate by <0.002% which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 419. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.3.1.3 Breeding Season - construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 420. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement in the breeding season gives a maximum of 2.7 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction.
- 421. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.0.119 a total of 103.374 birds from the breeding season BDMPS population would be expected to die in the breeding season. The addition of a maximum of 2.7 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.003% which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 422. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

Unrestricted 004300153



12.7.1.3.1.4 Nonbreeding - construction vessels

- 423. During the nonbreeding season the maximum mean peak density in DBS East Array Area was 0.35/km². With a precautionary 2km radius of disturbance around each of three active construction areas (wind turbines or other infrastructure), up to 13 individual birds (0.35 x 12.56 x 3) could be at risk of displacement, of which up to 1.3 (10%) birds would be expected to be at risk of mortality.
- 424. Based on the average mortality rate for adult puffin of 0.119, a total of 27,603 birds would be expected to die each year from the nonbreeding season BDMPS population. The addition of 1.3 birds to this would increase the mortality rate by up to <0.005%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 425. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the nonbreeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.3.1.5 Nonbreeding - 50% installed turbines

- 426. The impact from half the wind farm during the nonbreeding season has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the nonbreeding season (section 12.8.1.1.5.1.2). Thus, a maximum of 6.3 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.119 a total of 27,603 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 6.3 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.023 which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 427. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the nonbreeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.3.1.6 Nonbreeding - construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

428. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement in the nonbreeding season gives a maximum of 7.6 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.119 a total of 27,603 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 7.6 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.027%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.

Unrestricted 004300153



429. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the nonbreeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.3.1.7 Annual - construction vessels

- 430. The estimated number of puffins subject to construction disturbance and displacement mortality at DBS East throughout the year is up to 1.8 individuals.
- 431. At the average baseline mortality rate for puffin of 0.119, a total of 103,374 birds would be expected to die from the largest BDMPS population throughout the year. The addition of a maximum of 1.8 individuals to this increases the mortality rate by <0.01%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 432. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 1,408,960. The addition of a maximum of 1.8 to this increases the mortality rate by <0.001%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 433. The sensitivity of puffin to construction displacement is considered to be medium and the magnitude of annual impact at DBS East is negligible, therefore the annual effect on puffin due to construction displacement at DBS East is assessed as **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.3.1.8 Annual - 50% installed turbines

- 434. The impact from half the wind farm during the annual impact at DBS East, has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the annual impact at DBS East, (section 12.8.1.1.5.1.3). Thus, a maximum of 8.5 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.119 a total of is 103,374 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 8.5 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.008%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 435. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 1,408,960. The addition of a maximum of 8.5 to this increases the mortality rate by <0.001%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.

Unrestricted 004300153



436. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, the magnitude of annual impact at DBS East is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.3.1.9 Annual – construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 437. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement the annual impact at DBS East gives a maximum of 10.3 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.119 a total of is 103,374 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 10.3 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.010%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 438. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 1,408,960. The addition of a maximum of 10.3 to this increases the mortality rate by <0.01%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 439. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, the magnitude of annual impact at DBS East is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.3.2 Significance of Effect – DBS West in Isolation 12.7.1.3.2.1 Breeding Season – construction vessels

440. During the breeding season, the maximum mean peak density in the DBS West Array Area was 0.21/km². With a precautionary 2km radius of disturbance around each of three active construction areas (wind turbines or other infrastructure) up to 8 individuals (0.21 x 12.56 x 3) could be at risk of displacement, of which 0.8 would be expected to be at risk of mortality.

Based on the average mortality for adult puffin of 0.119, a total of 103,374 birds from the BDMPS population would be expected to die in the breeding season. The addition of a maximum of 0.8 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.001%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.

441. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

Unrestricted 004300153



12.7.1.3.2.2 Breeding Season - 50% installed turbines

- 442. The impact from half the wind farm during the breeding season has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the breeding season (section 12.8.1.1.5.2.1). Thus, a maximum of 3.8 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction.
- 443. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.119, a total of 103,374 birds from the BDMPS population would be expected to die in the breeding season. The addition of a maximum of 3.8 to this increases the mortality rate by <0.004% which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 444. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.3.2.3 Breeding Season - construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 445. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement in the breeding season gives a maximum of 4.6 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction.
- 446. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.119 a total of 103,374 birds from the BDMPS population would be expected to die in the breeding season. The addition of a maximum of 4.6 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.004% which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 447. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.3.2.4 Nonbreeding - construction vessels

448. During the nonbreeding season the maximum mean peak density in DBS West Array Area was 0.38/km². With a precautionary 2km radius of disturbance around each of three active construction areas (wind turbines or other infrastructure), up to 14 individual birds (0.38 x 12.56 x 3) could be at risk of displacement, of which up to 1.4 birds would be expected to be at risk of mortality.



- 449. Based on the average mortality rate for adult puffin of 0.119, a total of 27,603 birds would be expected to die each year from the nonbreeding season BDMPS population. The addition of 1.4 birds to this would increase the mortality rate by up to <0.005%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 450. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the nonbreeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.3.2.5 Nonbreeding - 50% installed turbines

- 451. The impact from half the wind farm during the nonbreeding season has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the nonbreeding season (section 12.8.1.1.5.2.2). Thus, a maximum of 6.9 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.119 a total of 27,603 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 6.9 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.025 which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 452. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the nonbreeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.3.2.6 Nonbreeding - construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 453. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement in the nonbreeding season gives a maximum of 8.3 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.119 a total of 27,603 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 8.3 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.030%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 454. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the nonbreeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

Unrestricted 004300153



12.7.1.3.2.7 Annual - construction vessels

- 455. The estimated number of puffins subject to construction disturbance and displacement mortality at DBS West throughout the year is up to 2.2 individuals.
- 456. At the average baseline mortality rate for puffin of 0.119, a total of 103,374 birds would be expected to die from the largest BDMPS population throughout the year. The addition of a maximum of 2.2 individuals to this increases the mortality rate by <0.002%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 457. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 1,408,960. The addition of a maximum of 2.2 to this increases the mortality rate by <0.002%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 458. The sensitivity of puffin to displacement is considered to be medium and the magnitude of annual impact at DBS West is negligible, therefore the annual effect on puffin due to construction displacement at DBS West is assessed as **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.3.2.8 Annual - 50% installed turbines

- 459. The impact from half the wind farm during the annual impact at DBS West, has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the annual impact at DBS West, (section 12.8.1.1.5.2.3). Thus, a maximum of 10.7 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.119 a total of is 103,374 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 10.7 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.010%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 460. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 1,408,960. The addition of a maximum of 10.7 to this increases the mortality rate by <0.001%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 461. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, the magnitude of annual impact at DBS West is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

Unrestricted 004300153



12.7.1.3.2.9 Annual - construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 462. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement the annual impact at DBS West gives a maximum of 12.9 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.119 a total of is 103,374 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 12.9 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.01%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 463. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 1,408,960. The addition of a maximum of 12.9 to this increases the mortality rate by <0.001%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 464. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, the magnitude of annual impact at DBS West is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.
- 12.7.1.3.3 Significance of Effect DBS East and DBS West Together

12.7.1.3.3.1 Breeding Season – construction vessels

- 465. During the breeding season the combined number of puffins at risk of mortality due to displacement from construction vessels across the Array Areas was up to 1.2 birds.
- 466. Based on the average mortality for adult puffin of 0.119, a total of 103,374 birds from the breeding BDMPS population would be expected to die in the breeding season. The addition of a maximum of 1.2 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.001%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 467. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.3.3.2 Breeding Season - 50% installed turbines

468. The combined impact from half of the Array Areas during the breeding season has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the breeding season (section 12.8.1.1.5.3.1). Thus, a maximum of 6.0 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction.

Unrestricted 004300153



- 469. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.119 a total of 103,374 birds from the breeding season BDMPS population would be expected to die in the breeding season. The addition of a maximum of 6.0 to this increases the mortality rate by <0.006% which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 470. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.3.3.3 Breeding Season - construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 471. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement in the breeding season for the Array Areas gives a combined maximum of 7.2 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction.
- 472. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.119 a total of 103,374 birds from the breeding season BDMPS population would be expected to die in the breeding season The addition of a maximum of 7.2 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.007%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 473. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.3.3.4 Nonbreeding – construction vessels

- 474. During the nonbreeding season the combined number of puffins at risk of mortality due to displacement from construction vessels across the Array Areas was up to 2.8 birds.
- 475. Based on the average mortality rate for adult puffin of 0.119, a total of 27,603 birds would be expected to die each year from the nonbreeding season BDMPS population. The addition of 2.8 birds to this would increase the mortality rate by up to 0.010%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.

Unrestricted 004300153



476. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the nonbreeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance **is minor adverse**.

12.7.1.3.3.5 Nonbreeding - 50% installed turbines

- 477. The combined impact from half of the Array Areas during the nonbreeding season has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the nonbreeding season (section 12.8.1.1.5.3.2). Thus, a maximum of 13.2 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.119 a total of 27,603 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 13.2 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.048% which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 478. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during nonbreeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance **is minor adverse**.

12.7.1.3.3.6 Nonbreeding - construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 479. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement in the nonbreeding season for the Array Areas gives a maximum of 16.0 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.119 a total of 27,603 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of 16.0 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.058%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 480. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the nonbreeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.3.3.7 Annual - construction vessels

481. Throughout the year the combined number of puffins at risk of mortality due to displacement from construction vessels across the Array Areas was up to 4.0 birds.

Unrestricted 004300153



- 482. At the average baseline mortality rate for puffin of 0.119, a total of 103,374 birds would be expected to die from the largest BDMPS population throughout the year. The addition of a maximum of 4.0 individuals to this increases the mortality rate by <0.004%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 483. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 1,408,960. The addition of a maximum of 4.0 to this increases the mortality rate by <0.001%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 484. The sensitivity of puffin to construction displacement is considered to be medium and the magnitude of annual impact at the Array Areas is negligible, therefore the annual effect on puffin due to construction displacement at the Array Areas is assessed as **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.3.3.8 Annual - 50% installed turbines

- 485. The annual impact per annum from half of the Array Areas during the annual impact at the Array Areas has been assumed to be half of that estimated for operational displacement in the annual impact at the Array Areas, (section 12.8.1.1.5.3.3). Thus, a maximum of 19.2 individuals could be at risk of displacement mortality from constructed turbines during the four year period of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.119 a total of is 103,374 birds from the largest BDMPS would be expected to die each year. The addition of 19.2 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.019%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 486. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 1,408,960. The addition of a maximum of 19.2 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.001%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 487. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, the magnitude of annual impact at the Array Areas is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.



12.7.1.3.3.9 Annual - construction vessels and 50% installed turbines

- 488. The combination of displacement by construction vessels and half of that estimated for operational displacement per annum the Array Areas gives a combined maximum of 23.2 individuals at risk of displacement mortality during each year of construction. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.119 a total of is 103,374 birds from the largest BDMPS would be expected to die each year. The addition of 23.2 individuals would increase the mortality rate by 0.022%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 489. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 1,408,960. The addition of a maximum of 23.2 to this increases the mortality rate by <0.001%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 490. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, the magnitude of annual impact at the Array Areas is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.7.1.3.4 Summary of Construction Displacement Assessment - Puffin

491. A table summarising the puffin construction displacement assessment is provided below (**Table 12-23**). There are no changes in any conclusions from the original ES, revised values from original ES are highlighted in yellow for reference.



Table 12-23 Summary of Puffin Displacement During Construction Assessment for DBS East, DBS West and Combined (Projects)

Puffin			DBS West	Projects	
Baseline average annual mortality			0.1190		
Breeding	Reference population		868,689		
season	Density (birds/km²)	0.12	0.21	<mark>0.33</mark>	
	Construction displacement mortality (@10%)	0.5	0.8	1.3	
	Mortality due to 50% installed turbines (70% displaced x 10% mortality)	2.2	3.8	<mark>6.0</mark>	
	Combined construction mortality	<mark>2.7</mark>	<mark>4.6</mark>	<mark>7.2</mark>	
	Overall increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.003</mark>	<mark>0.004</mark>	<mark>0.007</mark>	
	Significance	Minor	Minor	Minor	
Non breeding	Reference population	231,958			
season	Density (birds/km²)	0.35	0.38	<mark>0.73</mark>	
	Construction displacement mortality (@10%)	1.3	1.4	<mark>2.8</mark>	
	Mortality due to 50% installed turbines (70% displaced x 10% mortality)	<mark>6.3</mark>	<mark>6.9</mark>	<mark>13.2</mark>	
	Combined construction mortality	<mark>7.6</mark>	<mark>8.3</mark>	<mark>15.9</mark>	
	Overall increase in background mortality (%)	0.027	<mark>0.030</mark>	<mark>0.058</mark>	
	Significance	Minor	Minor	Minor	

Unrestricted

Page 168

004300153



Puffin		DBS East	DBS West	Projects
Annual	Reference population			868,689
(BDMPS)	Density (birds/km²)	0.47	0.59	<mark>1.06</mark>
	Construction displacement mortality (@10%)	1.8	2.2	4.0
	Mortality due to 50% installed turbines (70% displaced x 10% mortality)	8.5	<mark>10.7</mark>	<mark>19.2</mark>
	Combined construction mortality	10.3	<mark>12.9</mark>	<mark>23.2</mark>
	Overall increase in background mortality (%)	0.010	0.013	<mark>0.022</mark>
	Significance	Minor	Minor	Minor
Annual	Biogeographical population	geographical population		1,840,000
(biogeographic)	Increase in background mortality (%)	< <u>0.01</u>	<mark><0.01</mark>	<mark><0.01</mark>
	Significance	Minor	Minor	Minor



12.7.1.4 Offshore Export Cable Corridor During Cable Installation

- Up to two years has been allowed for Offshore Export Cable installation for 492. up to four cables laid independently. For each cable this would involve a single cable installation vessel travelling at a slow speed along the cable route with one support vessel. There is potential for seabirds to be displaced by these vessels, within a precautionary radius of up to 2km. For most of the cable route the presence of these vessels would have a minimal impact on the species present, however as the cable route approaches the coast it will pass through the northern end of the Greater Wash SPA which is designated for red-throated diver, common scoter, little gull, common tern, little tern and Sandwich tern. Of these species, the tern breeding colonies are all located further south than the cable route (even allowing for foraging distances), little gull were not recorded in that part of the SPA (Natural England and JNCC 2016) and common scoter were recorded at very low levels through the SPA, apart from in the vicinity of the Wash. The only species recorded at higher levels in the northern part of the SPA was redthroated diver, and indeed it was this species for which this section of the SPA was defined (Natural England and JNCC 2016).
- 493. Therefore, there is potential that red-throated diver may be displaced by the cable laying vessels as it crosses the SPA if this takes place during the nonbreeding season.

12.7.1.4.1 Red-Throated Diver

- 494. There is potential for disturbance and displacement of nonbreeding redthroated divers resulting from the presence of construction vessels installing the offshore cables when they are laid through the Greater Wash SPA. However, cable laying vessels are static for large periods of time and move only short distances as cable installation takes place. Offshore cable installation activity is also a relatively low noise emitting operation.
- 495. The magnitude of disturbance to red-throated diver from construction vessels has been estimated on a worst case basis. This assumes that there would be 100% displacement of birds within a 2km buffer surrounding the source, in this case around a maximum of two cable laying vessels (one main cable vessel and one support vessel). This 100% displacement from vessels is consistent with Garthe and Hüppop (2004) and Schwemmer *et al.* (2011) since they suggested that all red-throated divers present fly away from approaching vessels at a distance of often more than 1km.

Unrestricted 004300153



- 496. In order to calculate the number of red-throated divers that would potentially be at risk of displacement from the Offshore Export Cable Corridor during the cable laying process, the density of red-throated divers in the SPA along the section crossed by the Offshore Export Cable Corridor was estimated. This was derived from a review of the Greater Wash SPA proposal details (Natural England and JNCC, 2016). This indicated that the peak density of birds in the SPA crossed by the cable corridor was between 0.68 and 0.87 per km².
- 497. The worst case area from which birds could be displaced was defined as a circle with a 2km radius around each cable laying vessel, which is 25.2km² (2 x 12.6km²). If 100% displacement is assumed to occur within this area, then a peak of between 17 and 22 divers could be displaced at any given time. This would lead to a 0.7% increase in diver density in the remaining areas of the SPA assuming that displaced birds all remain within the SPA. As the vessels move it is assumed that displaced birds return and therefore any individual will be subjected to a brief period of impact. It is considered reasonable to assume that birds will return following passage of the vessel since the cable laying vessels would move at an approximate speed of 400m per hour if surface laying, 300m per hour for ploughing and 80m per hour if trenching (Volume 7, Chapter 5 Project Description (application ref: 7.5)). This represents a maximum speed of 7m per minute. For context, a modest tidal flow rate for the region would be in the region of 1m per second (60m per minute). The tide would therefore be flowing about nine times faster than the cable laying vessel. Consequently, for the purposes of this assessment it has been assumed that the estimated number displaced at any one time represents the total number displaced over the course of a single winter (i.e. rather than many individuals for a short duration each, the same individuals for the duration of a single winter).

Unrestricted 004300153



- 498. Definitive mortality rates associated with displacement for red-throated divers, or for any other seabird species, are not known and precautionary estimates have to be used. There is no evidence that birds displaced from wind farms suffer any mortality as a consequence of displacement; any mortality due to displacement would be most likely a result of increased density in areas outside the affected area, resulting in increased competition for food where density was elevated (Dierschke et al., 2017). Such impacts are most likely to be negligible, and below levels that could be quantified, as the available evidence suggests that red-throated divers are unlikely to be affected by density-dependent competition for resources during the nonbreeding period (Dierschke et al., 2017). Impacts of displacement are also likely to be context-dependent. In years when food supply has been severely depleted, as for example by unsustainably high fishing mortality of sandeel stocks as has occurred several times in recent decades (ICES, 2013), displacement of sandeel-dependent seabirds from optimal habitat may increase mortality. In years when food supply is good, displacement is unlikely to have any negative effect on seabird populations. Red-throated divers may feed on sandeels, but take a wide diversity of small fish prey, so would be buffered to an extent from fluctuations in abundance of individual fish species.
- For recent wind farm assessments Natural England has advised that an 499. unconfirmed 10% mortality rate should be used for birds displaced by cable laying vessels. This magnitude of impact is not supported in the literature and given that this would equate to more than half the natural adult annual mortality (16%) from a single occasion of disturbance (as described above), it is highly improbable that such an effect would occur. To put this in context it is worth considering that disturbance from vessels in the southern North Sea has been ongoing for decades and designated shipping lanes are located throughout the areas where this species is present. With this in mind, additional mortality of 10% of the population due to single instances of vessel disturbance during the course of the winter, as proposed by Natural England, would reduce a population of 1,407 (i.e. the Greater Wash SPA population) to fewer than 100 within 10 years (alternatively the SPA population would need to have been 16 times larger 10 years prior to the SPA designation surveys in order to have been reduced to 1,407). Neither of these scenarios is supported by the evidence.

Unrestricted 004300153



- 500. A review of available evidence for red-throated diver displacement was submitted for the Norfolk Vanguard assessment (MacArthur Green, 2019a) and this concluded that there would be little or no effect of displacement on diver survival. Consequently, a maximum, and hence precautionary, displacement caused mortality rate of 1% was identified as appropriate for this assessment.
- 501. At this level of additional mortality, only a maximum of 0.2 individuals would be expected to die across the entire winter period (September to April) as a result of any potential displacement effects from the offshore cable installation activities, which would be restricted to a maximum of nonbreeding seasons. This highly precautionary assessment will generate an effect of negligible magnitude.
- 502. The Offshore Export Cable laying works are temporary and localised in nature, therefore the magnitude of effect has been determined as negligible. As the species is of high sensitivity to disturbance, the impact significance is **minor adverse**.
- 503. As the impact is no greater than **minor adverse** no additional mitigation is required.

12.7.2 Impact 2 Indirect Impacts Through Effects on Habitats and Prey Species During Construction

504. Indirect disturbance and displacement of birds may occur during the construction phase if there are impacts on prey species and the habitats of prey species. These indirect effects include those resulting from the production of underwater noise (e.g. during piling) and the generation of suspended sediments (e.g. during preparation of the seabed for foundations) that may alter the behaviour or availability of bird prey species. Underwater noise may cause fish and mobile invertebrates to avoid the construction area and also affect their physiology and behaviour. Suspended sediments may cause fish and mobile invertebrates to avoid the construction area and may smother and hide immobile benthic prev. These mechanisms may result in less prey being available within the construction area to foraging seabirds. Such potential effects on benthic invertebrates and fish have been assessed in Volume 7, Chapter 9 Benthic and Intertidal Ecology (application ref: 7.9) and Volume 7, Chapter 10 Fish and Shellfish Ecology (application ref: 7.10) and the conclusions of those assessments inform this assessment of indirect effects on birds.

Unrestricted 004300153



- 505. With regard to noise impacts on fish, **Volume 7, Chapter 10 Fish and Shellfish Ecology (application ref: 7.10)** discusses the potential impacts upon fish relevant to ornithology as prey species. For species such as herring, sprat and sandeel, which are the main prey items of seabirds such as gannet and auks, unmitigated underwater noise effects (physical injury or behavioural changes) during construction are considered to be minor adverse for herring (group 3, most sensitive species), sandeel and sprat (group 1, least sensitive species). With a minor effect on fish, it is concluded that the magnitude of effect on seabirds, for which the DBS Array Areas represent only a small part of their possible foraging range, will be negligible. Therefore, the indirect significance, even for high sensitivity seabirds, of impacts on fish during the construction phase is, at most, **minor adverse**. This conclusion applies irrespective of the alternative construction scenarios (one or two projects, constructed concurrently or sequentially).
- 506. With regard to changes to the seabed and to suspended sediment levels, Volume 7, Chapter 8 Marine Physical Environment (application ref: 7.8) and Volume 7. Chapter 9 Benthic and Intertidal Ecology (application ref: 7.9) discusses the nature of any likely significant effects on the seabed and benthic habitats. The impact on benthic habitats is predicted to be of local spatial extent (i.e. restricted to discrete areas within the Array Areas in the vicinity of the construction location), short-term duration (as it is limited to the duration of construction activities), intermittent and with high reversibility. The consequent indirect effect on benthic habitats is considered to be minor. With a minor effect on benthic habitats which support fish that are bird prey species, it is concluded that the magnitude of effect on seabirds, for which the DBS Array Areas represent only a small part of their possible foraging range, will be negligible. Therefore, the indirect significance, even for high sensitivity seabirds, of impacts on benthic habitats during the construction phase is, at most, minor adverse. This conclusion applies irrespective of the alternative construction scenarios (one or two Projects, constructed concurrently or sequentially).

12.8 Potential Effects During Operation

12.8.1 Impact 3 Disturbance and Displacement from Offshore Infrastructure

507. The presence of wind turbines has the potential to directly disturb and displace birds from within and around the Array Area. This is assessed as effective habitat loss, as it has the potential to reduce the area available to birds for feeding, loafing and moulting. Vessel activity and the lighting of wind turbines and associated ancillary structures could also attract (or repel) certain species of birds and affect migratory behaviour on a local scale.

Unrestricted 004300153



- 508. Seabird species vary in their reactions to the presence of operational infrastructure (e.g. wind turbines, offshore project substations and met masts) and to the maintenance activities that are associated with them (particularly ship and helicopter traffic), with Garthe and Hüppop (2004) presenting a scoring system for such disturbance factors, which is used widely in offshore wind farm EIAs. There is limited evidence as to the disturbance and displacement effects of the operational infrastructure in the long term. However, Dierschke et al. (2016) reviewed all available evidence from operational offshore wind farms on the extent of displacement or attraction of seabirds in relation to these structures. They found strong avoidance of operational offshore wind farms by red-throated diver, black-throated diver and gannet. They found weak avoidance by longtailed duck, common scoter, fulmar, Manx shearwater, razorbill, guillemot, little gull and Sandwich tern. They found no evidence of any consistent response by eider, kittiwake, common tern and Arctic tern, and evidence of weak attraction to operating offshore wind farms for common gull, blackheaded gull, great black-backed gull, herring gull and lesser black-backed aull, and strong attraction for shags and cormorants. Dierschke et al. (2016) suggested that strong avoidance would lead to some habitat loss for those species, while attracted birds appear to benefit from increases in food abundance within operational offshore wind farms.
- 509. Post-construction monitoring over two breeding seasons of the Beatrice wind farm in Scotland has found little indication that guillemots and razorbills avoid wind turbines, with spatial distributions within the wind farm no different from those that might be expected by chance (MacArthur Green, 2023).
- 510. The Statutory Nature Conservation Bodies (SNCBs) issued a joint Interim Displacement Guidance Note (JNCC, 2017), which provides recommendations for presenting information to enable the assessment of displacement effects in relation to offshore wind farm developments. This guidance note has been used in the assessment provided below.
- 511. There are a number of different measures used to determine bird displacement from areas of sea in response to activities associated with an offshore wind farm. Furness *et al.* (2013), for example, use disturbance ratings for particular species, alongside scores for habitat flexibility and conservation importance to define an index value that highlights the sensitivity to disturbance and displacement. These authors also recognise that displacement may contribute to individual birds experiencing fitness consequences, which at an extreme level could lead to the mortality of individuals.

Unrestricted 004300153



- 512. Both the presence of the infrastructure and the operational activities associated with the Projects have the potential to directly disturb birds. These activities could potentially displace birds from important areas for feeding, moulting and loafing. Reduced access to some areas could result, at the extreme, in changes to feeding and other behavioural activities resulting in a loss of fitness and a reduction in survival chances. This would be unlikely for seabirds that have large areas of alternative habitat available but would be more likely to affect seabirds with highly specialised habitat requirements that are limited in availability (Furness *et al.*, 2013; Bradbury *et al.*, 2014).
- 513. The methodology presented in JNCC (2017) recommends a matrix is presented for each key species showing bird losses at differing rates of displacement and mortality. This assessment uses the range of predicted losses, in association with the scientific evidence available from post-construction monitoring studies, to quantify the level of displacement and the potential losses as a consequence of the proposed project. These losses are then placed in the context of the relevant population (e.g. SPA, BDMPS or biogeographic) to determine the magnitude of impact.
- 514. The population estimate used for each species to assess the displacement effects was the relevant seasonal peak (i.e. the highest value for the months within each season). The seasonal peaks were calculated as follows; first the density for each calendar month was calculated, then the highest value from the months within each season extracted. As per JNCC (2017), for divers, the assessment used all data recorded within the 4km buffer, for all other species the assessment used all data recorded within the 2km buffer (although it should be noted that the evidence reviews in MacArthur Green (2021) indicate that these buffer distances are highly precautionary for both divers and auks).
- 515. It is important to note that the combined seasonal peak abundance across the DBS East and DBS West sites used for assessment will be lower than the individual site peaks when the peaks on the latter occurred in different months. This is because the surveys for DBS East and DBS West were conducted on the same day, and as a consequence it would result in double counting if seasonal peaks recorded on each site in different months were summed. For example, if the breeding season peak on DBS East was recorded in March and the peak on DBS West in May, the combined peak will not be obtained as the sum of those values (March plus May), but instead is the highest of the DBS East plus DBS West values in each month.

Unrestricted 004300153



- 516. Birds are considered to be most at risk from operational disturbance and displacement effects when they are resident (e.g. during the breeding season or wintering season). The small risk of impact to migrating birds is better considered in terms of barrier effects. However, JNCC (2017) suggests that migration periods should also be assessed using the matrix approach and this has been undertaken where appropriate. This also applies to a suggestion from the Netherlands Government to take account of auk migration routes from the UK to areas in Dutch waters used during the moult (e.g. the Frisian Front). Thus, the displacement assessment is considered to incorporate these migratory movements without the need for any further assessment.
- 517. Following installation of the offshore cable, the required operational and maintenance activities (in relation to the cable) may have short-term and localised disturbance and displacement impacts on birds. However, disturbance from operational cable activities (e.g. maintenance) would be temporary and localised, and is unlikely to result in detectable effects at either the local or regional population level. Therefore, no impact due to cable operation and maintenance is predicted. The focus of this section is therefore on the disturbance and displacement of birds due to the presence and operation of wind turbines, other offshore infrastructure and any maintenance operations associated with these structures.
- 518. In order to focus the assessment of disturbance and displacement, a screening exercise was undertaken to identify those species most likely to be at risk (Table 12-24), focussing on the main species described in the Ornithology Technical Report (Volume 7, Appendix 12-2 Technical Appendix (application ref: 7.12.12.2)). The species identified as at risk were then assessed within the biological seasons within which effects were potentially likely to occur. Any species with a low sensitivity to displacement or recorded only in very small numbers within the DBS Array Areas during the breeding and wintering seasons, were screened out of further assessment.
- 519. Operational disturbance and displacement screening (**Table 12-24**) presents the general sensitivity to disturbance and displacement for each species.



Table 12-24 Operational Disturbance and Displacement Screening.

Receptor	Sensitivity to Disturbance and Displacement ²	Biological Season(s) with peak numbers	Screening Result (IN or OUT)
Red-throated diver	High	Breeding (although considered to be a record of a late migrant)	Screened OUT due to very low numbers recorded (1 in- dividual) and sub-optimal location.
Great northern diver	High	Breeding (although considered to be a record of a late migrant)	Screened OUT due to very low numbers recorded (1 in- dividual) and sub-optimal location.
Fulmar	Considered Low in some studies, but possibly high according to Dierschke <i>et al.</i> (2016)	Moderate numbers throughout the year	Screened OUT as the species has a maximum habitat flexibility score of 1 in Furness & Wade (2012), suggesting species utilises a wide range of habitats over a large area.
Gannet	Considered Low in some studies, but possibly high according to Dierschke <i>et al.</i> (2016). Low to Medium applied in the assessment	Breeding and autumn migration	Screened IN for breeding, autumn and spring migration seasons, as has a high macro avoidance rate.
Guillemot	Medium	Nonbreeding	Screened IN as present in moderate to high numbers all year round and due to medium sensitivity to disturbance and displacement.

² Garthe and Hüppop, 2004; Furness and Wade, 2012, Wade *et al.*, 2016, Dierschke *et al.*, 2016



Receptor	Sensitivity to Disturbance and Displacement ²	Biological Season(s) with peak numbers	Screening Result (IN or OUT)
Razorbill	Medium	Migration and nonbreeding seasons	Screened IN as present in moderate to high numbers all year round and due to medium sensitivity to disturbance and displacement.
Puffin	Low	Winter	Screened IN as present in moderate numbers, particularly in migration periods and due to medium sensitivity to disturbance and displacement.
Kittiwake	Low	Breeding	Screened OUT as migration numbers low relative to BDMPS and not known to avoid wind turbines (low macro avoidance rate)
Lesser black- backed gull	Low	Breeding	Screened OUT as present in low numbers in all seasons and not known to avoid wind turbines (low macro avoidance rate)
Herring gull	Low	Breeding	Screened OUT as present in low numbers in all seasons and not known to avoid wind turbines (low macro avoidance rate)
Great black- backed gull	Low	Nonbreeding	Screened OUT as present in relatively low numbers in all seasons and not known to avoid wind turbines (low macro avoidance rate)



- 520. The impact of mortality caused by displacement on a population has been assessed in terms of the change in the baseline mortality rate which could result. It has been assumed that all age classes are equally at risk of displacement (i.e. in proportion to their presence in the population), therefore the average mortality rates calculated above (**Table 12-17**) have been used.
- 521. For assessment a worst case assumption has been made that birds will be at risk of displacement from the complete extent of the Array Areas plus species specific buffers. This will over-estimate impacts since it is highly unlikely that the entire area within the Array Areas will contain wind turbines, and even if it did then the inter-wind turbine separation distance would be such that birds would be very likely to use areas between wind turbines. Therefore, the estimated number of birds at risk of an effect is highly precautionary, since it corresponds to a much larger area than will ultimately be developed (possibly more than twice the final wind farm size). There is evidence to suggest that the density of wind turbines influences the magnitude of displacement (Leopold *et al.*, 2011). Indeed, since the cause of operational displacement is bird responses to the wind turbines, it is logical to infer that a wind farm with a lower wind turbine density will cause lower displacement levels than one with a higher density of wind turbines.
- 522. Natural England guidance (Parker *et al.* 2022c) is that displacement effects estimated in different seasons should be combined to provide an annual effect for assessment which should then be assessed in relation to the largest of the component BDMPS populations, and also the biogeographic population. Natural England has acknowledged that summing impacts in this manner almost certainly over-estimates the number of individuals at risk through double counting (i.e. some individuals may potentially be present in more than one season) and assessing against the BDMPS almost certainly under-estimates the population from which they are drawn (which must be at least this size and is likely to be considerably larger as a consequence of turnover of individuals). However, at the present time there is no agreed alternative method for undertaking assessment of annual displacement and therefore the above approach is presented, albeit with the caveat that the results are anticipated to be highly precautionary.
- 523. The displacement matrices presented in the following species sections use the mean abundance in each season. Natural England (2023) requested additional matrices using the upper and lower 95% confidence intervals. These have been provided in **Volume 7**, **Appendix 12-12 Seasonal Displacement Matrices Upper Lower C.I. Abundance (application ref: 7.12.12.12)**.

Unrestricted 004300153


12.8.1.1.1 Gannet

- 524. Gannets show a low level of sensitivity to ship and helicopter traffic (Garthe and Hüppop, 2004; Furness and Wade, 2012) however, a detailed study (Krijgsveld *et al.*, 2011) using radar and visual observations to monitor the post-construction effects of the Windpark Egmond aan Zee OWEZ established that 64% of gannets avoided entering the wind farm (macro-avoidance) and a similar result (80% macro avoidance) was also observed during a study at the Thanet wind farm (Skov *et al.*, 2018). Leopold *et al.* (2013) reported that most gannets avoided Dutch offshore wind farms and did not forage within these. Dierschke *et al.* (2016) concluded that gannets show high avoidance of offshore wind farms despite showing little avoidance of ships.
- 525. The displacement matrices have been populated with data for gannets recorded during the breeding, autumn and spring migration periods within the DBS East and DBS West Array Areas and the two combined including a 2km buffer, in line with guidance (JNCC, 2017). It should be noted that the inclusion of birds within the 2km buffer to determine the total number of birds subject to displacement is precautionary since in reality the avoidance rate is likely to fall with distance from the Array Area, as demonstrated in a study of gannet distribution in relation to the Greater Gabbard wind farm (APEM, 2014).
- 526. For the purpose of this assessment, percentage displacement rates between 10 and 100% at 10% increments have been combined with mortality between 1 and 100% at varying increments. The highlighted cells in the matrices indicate displacement rates of 60% to 80% (as the OWEZ and Thanet data suggest the actual rate lies between these two figures based on macro-avoidance; Leopold *et al.*, 2013; Skov *et al.*, 2018) and the most likely mortality rate, which is assumed to be no more than 1% (as they score highly for habitat flexibility; Furness and Wade, 2012). A high score in habitat flexibility is given to species that use a wide range of habitats over a large area, and usually with a relatively wide range of foods (Furness and Wade, 2012).
- 527. The nearest gannet breeding colony to the Projects is the Flamborough and Filey Coast SPA. The SPA is a minimum of 100km from the Projects' Array Areas, and therefore they are within the mean maximum foraging range of gannets, estimated as 315km (Woodward *et al.* 2019). Consequently, breeding season connectivity to this SPA has been assumed.
- 528. Natural England has advised that the gannet breeding season BDMPS reference population for the North Sea and Channel appropriate for this assessment is 400,326 individuals (Natural England, 2024).

Unrestricted 004300153



- 529. The number of individuals from this population expected to die at the baseline mortality rate in the breeding season is 74,701 (400,326 x 0.1866).
- 530. During the nonbreeding seasons the gannet BDMPS populations for the North Sea and Channel have been used as the reference populations (in the autumn: 456,299 and in the spring: 248,385). For the annual assessment, impacts have been considered in relation to the largest of the BDMPS populations (autumn) and also to the biogeographic population (1,180,000; Furness, 2015). The number of individuals from these populations expected to die in the autumn is 85,145 (456,299 x 0.1866), in the spring is 46,349 (248,385 x 0.1866), and annually from the biogeographic population is 220,188 (1,180,000 x 0.1866).



12.8.1.1.1.1 Significance of Effect – DBS East in Isolation

12.8.1.1.1.1.1 Breeding Season

531. During the breeding season, the maximum mean peak abundance in the DBS East Array Area and 2km buffer was 755 individuals. Within the range of 60-80% displacement and 1% mortality, the maximum number of individual gannets which could potentially suffer mortality as a consequence of displacement from the DBS East Array Area (and 2km buffer) during the breeding season has been estimated as six individuals (**Table 12-25**).

Mortality **Displacement (%)** (%)

Table 12-25 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Gannets in the DBS East Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Breeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

- 532. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1866 a total of 74,701 birds would be expected to die each year . The addition of a maximum of six individuals to these would increase the mortality rate by 0.008%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 533. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low to medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible** to **minor adverse**.

Unrestricted 004300153



12.8.1.1.1.1.2 Autumn Migration

534. During the autumn migration period, the maximum mean peak abundance in the DBS East Array Area and 2km buffer was 776 individuals. Within the range of 60-80% displacement and 1% mortality, the maximum number of individual gannets which could potentially suffer mortality as a consequence of displacement from the DBS East Array Area (and 2km buffer) during the autumn migration period has been estimated as six individuals (**Table 12-26**).

Table 12-26 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Gannets in the DBS East Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Autumn Migration Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

Mortality	Displ	aceme	ent (%)							
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	1	2	2	3	4	5	5	6	7	8
2	2	3	5	6	8	9	11	12	14	16
3	2	5	7	9	12	14	16	19	21	23
4	3	6	9	12	16	19	22	25	28	31
5	4	8	12	16	19	23	27	31	35	39
6	5	9	14	19	23	28	33	37	42	47
7	5	11	16	22	27	33	38	43	49	54
8	6	12	19	25	31	37	43	50	56	62
9	7	14	21	28	35	42	49	56	63	70
10	8	16	23	31	39	47	54	62	70	78
20	16	31	47	62	78	93	109	124	140	155
30	23	47	70	93	116	140	163	186	210	233
50	39	78	116	155	194	233	272	310	349	388
75	58	116	175	233	291	349	407	466	524	582
100	78	155	233	310	388	466	543	621	698	776

- 535. At the average baseline mortality rate for gannet of 0.1866) a total of 85,145 birds would be expected to die in autumn. The addition of a maximum of six to this increases the mortality rate by 0.007%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 536. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the autumn migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low to medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible** to **minor adverse**.

Unrestricted 004300153



12.8.1.1.1.1.3 Spring Migration

537. During the spring migration period, the maximum mean peak abundance in the DBS East Array Area and 2km buffer was 75 individuals. Within the range of 60-80% displacement and 1% mortality, the maximum number of individual gannets which could potentially suffer mortality as a consequence of displacement from the DBS East Array Area (and 2km buffer) during the spring migration period has been estimated as one individual (**Table 12-27**).

Table 12-27 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Gannets in the DBS East Array Area(and 2km Buffer) During the Spring Migration Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)MortalityDisplacement (%)

Mortality	Dispi	acemei	ητ (%)							
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
2	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	2
3	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2
4	0	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	3	3
5	0	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	4
6	0	1	1	2	2	3	3	4	4	5
7	1	1	2	2	3	3	4	4	5	5
8	1	1	2	2	3	4	4	5	5	6
9	1	1	2	3	3	4	5	5	6	7
10	1	2	2	3	4	5	5	6	7	8
20	2	3	5	6	8	9	11	12	14	15
30	2	5	7	9	11	14	16	18	20	23
50	4	8	11	15	19	23	26	30	34	38
75	6	11	17	23	28	34	39	45	51	56
100	8	15	23	30	38	45	53	60	68	75

- 538. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1866 a total of 46,349 birds would be expected to die in spring. The addition of a maximum of one to this increases the mortality rate by 0.001%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 539. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the spring migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low to medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible** to **minor adverse**.

Unrestricted 004300153



12.8.1.1.1.1.4 Annual

540. Summed across the year the maximum mean peak abundance in the DBS East Array Area and 2km buffer was 1,606 individuals. Within the range of 60-80% displacement and 1% mortality, the maximum number of individual gannets which could potentially suffer mortality as a consequence of displacement from the DBS East Array Area (and 2km buffer) during the breeding, autumn migration and spring migration periods combined (i.e. annually) has been estimated as 13 individuals (**Table 12-28**).

Table 12-28 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Gannets in the DBS East Array Area (and 2km Buffer) Combined Across the Breeding and Nonbreeding Seasons That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

Mortality	Displ	aceme	nt (%)							
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	2	3	5	6	8	10	11	13	14	16
2	3	6	10	13	16	19	22	26	29	32
3	5	10	14	19	24	29	34	39	43	48
4	6	13	19	26	32	39	45	51	58	64
5	8	16	24	32	40	48	56	64	72	80
6	10	19	29	39	48	58	67	77	87	96
7	11	22	34	45	56	67	79	90	101	112
8	13	26	39	51	64	77	90	103	116	128
9	14	29	43	58	72	87	101	116	130	145
10	16	32	48	64	80	96	112	128	145	161
20	32	64	96	128	161	193	225	257	289	321
30	48	96	145	193	241	289	337	385	434	482
50	80	161	241	321	402	482	562	642	723	803
75	120	241	361	482	602	723	843	964	1084	1205
100	161	321	482	642	803	964	1124	1285	1445	1606

- 541. At the average baseline mortality rate for gannet of 0.1866 a total of 85,145 birds would be expected to die from the largest BDMPS population throughout the year. The addition of a maximum of 13 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.015%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 542. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is220,188. The addition of a maximum of 13 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.006%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.

Unrestricted 004300153



543. The sensitivity of gannet to operational displacement is considered to be low to medium and the magnitude of annual impact at DBS East is negligible, therefore the annual effect on gannet due to operational displacement at DBS East is assessed as **negligible** to **minor adverse**.

12.8.1.1.1.2 Significance of Effect – DBS West in Isolation 12.8.1.1.1.2.1 Breeding Season

544. During the breeding season, the maximum mean peak abundance in the DBS West Array Area and 2km buffer was 805 individuals. Within the range of 60-80% displacement and 1% mortality, the maximum number of individual gannets which could potentially suffer mortality as a consequence of displacement from the DBS West Array Area (and 2km buffer) during the breeding season has been estimated as six individuals (**Table 12-29**).

Mortality	Displ	aceme	nt (%)							
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	1	2	2	3	4	5	6	6	7	8
2	2	3	5	6	8	10	11	13	14	16
3	2	5	7	10	12	14	17	19	22	24
4	3	6	10	13	16	19	23	26	29	32
5	4	8	12	16	20	24	28	32	36	40
6	5	10	14	19	24	29	34	39	43	48
7	6	11	17	23	28	34	39	45	51	56
8	6	13	19	26	32	39	45	52	58	64
9	7	14	22	29	36	43	51	58	65	72
10	8	16	24	32	40	48	56	64	72	81
20	16	32	48	64	81	97	113	129	145	161
30	24	48	72	97	121	145	169	193	217	242
50	40	81	121	161	201	242	282	322	362	403
75	60	121	181	242	302	362	423	483	543	604
100	81	161	242	322	403	483	564	644	725	805

Table 12-29 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Gannets in the DBS West Array Area (And 2km Buffer) During the Breeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

545. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1866 a total of 74,701 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of six individuals to these would increase the mortality rate by 0.009%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.

Unrestricted 004300153



546. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low to medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible** to **minor adverse**.

12.8.1.1.1.2.2 Autumn Migration

547. During the autumn migration period, the maximum mean peak abundance in the DBS West Array Area and 2km buffer was 798 individuals. Within the range of 60-80% displacement and 1% mortality, the maximum number of individual gannets which could potentially suffer mortality as a consequence of displacement from the DBS West Array Area (and 2km buffer) during the autumn migration period has been estimated as six individuals (**Table 12-30**).

Table 12-30 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Gannets in the DBS West Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Autumn Migration Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

Mortality	Displ	acemei	nt (%)							
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	1	2	2	3	4	5	6	6	7	8
2	2	3	5	6	8	10	11	13	14	16
3	2	5	7	10	12	14	17	19	22	24
4	3	6	10	13	16	19	22	26	29	32
5	4	8	12	16	20	24	28	32	36	40
6	5	10	14	19	24	29	34	38	43	48
7	6	11	17	22	28	34	39	45	50	56
8	6	13	19	26	32	38	45	51	57	64
9	7	14	22	29	36	43	50	57	65	72
10	8	16	24	32	40	48	56	64	72	80
20	16	32	48	64	80	96	112	128	144	160
30	24	48	72	96	120	144	168	192	215	239
50	40	80	120	160	200	239	279	319	359	399
75	60	120	180	239	299	359	419	479	539	599
100	80	160	239	319	399	479	559	638	718	798

548. At the average baseline mortality rate for gannet of 0.1866 a total of 85,145 birds would be expected to die in autumn. The addition of a maximum of six to this increases the mortality rate by 0.007%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.

Unrestricted 004300153



549. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the autumn migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low to medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible** to **minor adverse**.

12.8.1.1.1.2.3 Spring Migration

550. During the spring migration period, the maximum mean peak abundance in the DBS West Array Area and 2km buffer was 86 individuals. Within the range of 60-80% displacement and 1% mortality, the maximum number of individual gannets which could potentially suffer mortality as a consequence of displacement from the DBS West Array Area (and 2km buffer) during the spring migration period has been estimated as one individual (**Table 12-31**).

Mortality	Displ	aceme	nt (%)							
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
2	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2
3	0	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	3
4	0	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	3
5	0	1	1	2	2	3	3	3	4	4
6	1	1	2	2	3	3	4	4	5	5
7	1	1	2	2	3	4	4	5	5	6
8	1	1	2	3	3	4	5	6	6	7
9	1	2	2	3	4	5	5	6	7	8
10	1	2	3	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
20	2	3	5	7	9	10	12	14	15	17
30	3	5	8	10	13	15	18	21	23	26
50	4	9	13	17	22	26	30	34	39	43
75	6	13	19	26	32	39	45	52	58	65
100	9	17	26	34	43	52	60	69	77	86

Table 12-31 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Gannets in the DBS West Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Spring Migration Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

551. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1866 a total of 46,349 birds would be expected to die in spring. The addition of a maximum of one to this increases the mortality rate by 0.001%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.

Unrestricted 004300153



552. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the spring migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low to medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible** to **minor adverse**.

12.8.1.1.1.2.4 Annual

553. Summed across the year the maximum mean peak abundance in the DBS West Array Area and 2km buffer was 1,689 individuals. Within the range of 60-80% displacement and 1% mortality, the maximum number of individual gannets which could potentially suffer mortality as a consequence of displacement from the DBS West Array Area (and 2km buffer) during the breeding, autumn migration and spring migration periods combined has been estimated as 14 individuals (**Table 12-32**).

Table 12-32 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Gannets in the DBS West Array Area (and 2km Buffer) Combined Across the Breeding and Nonbreeding Seasons That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

Mortality	Displ	aceme	nt (%)							
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	2	3	5	7	8	10	12	14	15	17
2	3	7	10	14	17	20	24	27	30	34
3	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	41	46	51
4	7	14	20	27	34	41	47	54	61	68
5	8	17	25	34	42	51	59	68	76	84
6	10	20	30	41	51	61	71	81	91	101
7	12	24	35	47	59	71	83	95	106	118
8	14	27	41	54	68	81	95	108	122	135
9	15	30	46	61	76	91	106	122	137	152
10	17	34	51	68	84	101	118	135	152	169
20	34	68	101	135	169	203	236	270	304	338
30	51	101	152	203	253	304	355	405	456	507
50	84	169	253	338	422	507	591	676	760	845
75	127	253	380	507	633	760	887	1013	1140	1267
100	169	338	507	676	845	1013	1182	1351	1520	1689

554. At the average baseline mortality rate for gannet of 0.1866 a total of 85,145 birds would be expected to die from the largest BDMPS population throughout the year. The addition of a maximum of 14 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.016%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.

Unrestricted 004300153



- 555. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 220,188. The addition of a maximum of 14 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.006%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 556. The sensitivity of gannet to operational displacement is considered to be low to medium and the magnitude of annual impact at DBS East is negligible, therefore the annual effect on gannet due to operational displacement at DBS East is assessed as **negligible** to **minor adverse**.

12.8.1.1.1.3 Significance of Effect – DBS East and DBS West Together 12.8.1.1.1.3.1 Breeding Season

557. During the breeding season, the combined maximum mean peak abundance in the Array Areas and 2km buffer was 1,560 individuals. Within the range of 60-80% displacement and 1% mortality, the maximum number of individual gannets which could potentially suffer mortality as a consequence of displacement from the Array Areas (and 2km buffer) during the breeding season has been estimated as 12 individuals (**Table 12-33**).

Mortality **Displacement (%)** (%)

Table 12-33 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Gannets in the Array Areas (and 2km Buffer) During the Breeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

Unrestricted 004300153



- 558. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1866 a total of 74,701 birds would be expected to die each year. The addition of a combined maximum of 12 individuals to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.017%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 559. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low to medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible** to **minor adverse**.

12.8.1.1.1.3.2 Autumn Migration

560. During the autumn migration period, the combined maximum mean peak abundance in the Array Areas and 2km buffer was 1,574 individuals. Within the range of 60-80% displacement and 1% mortality, the maximum number of individual gannets which could potentially suffer mortality as a consequence of displacement from the Array Areas (and 2km buffer) during the autumn migration period has been estimated as 13 individuals (**Table 12-34**).

Mortal-	Displo	acemer	nt (%)							
ity (%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	2	3	5	6	8	9	11	13	14	16
2	3	6	9	13	16	19	22	25	28	31
3	5	9	14	19	24	28	33	38	42	47
4	6	13	19	25	31	38	44	50	57	63
5	8	16	24	31	39	47	55	63	71	79
6	9	19	28	38	47	57	66	76	85	94
7	11	22	33	44	55	66	77	88	99	110
8	13	25	38	50	63	76	88	101	113	126
9	14	28	42	57	71	85	99	113	127	142
10	16	31	47	63	79	94	110	126	142	157
20	31	63	94	126	157	189	220	252	283	315
30	47	94	142	189	236	283	331	378	425	472
50	79	157	236	315	394	472	551	630	708	787
75	118	236	354	472	590	708	826	944	1062	1181
100	157	315	472	630	787	944	1102	1259	1417	1574

Table 12-34 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Gannets in the Array Areas (and 2km Buffer) During the Autumn Migration Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

Unrestricted 004300153



- 561. At the average baseline mortality rate for gannet of 0.1866 a total of 85,145 birds would be expected to die in autumn. The addition of a combined maximum of 13 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.015%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 562. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the autumn migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low to medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible** to **minor adverse**.

12.8.1.1.1.3.3 Spring Migration

563. During the spring migration period, the combined maximum mean peak abundance in the Array Areas and 2km buffer was 161 individuals. Within the range of 60-80% displacement and 1% mortality, the maximum number of individual gannets which could potentially suffer mortality as a consequence of displacement from the Array Areas (and 2km buffer) during the spring migration period has been estimated as one individual (**Table 12-35**).

Mortality	Disp	lacem	ent (%))						
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	2
2	0	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	3
3	0	1	1	2	2	3	3	4	4	5
4	1	1	2	3	3	4	5	5	6	6
5	1	2	2	3	4	5	6	6	7	8
6	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
7	1	2	3	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
8	1	3	4	5	6	8	9	10	12	13
9	1	3	4	6	7	9	10	12	13	14
10	2	3	5	6	8	10	11	13	14	16
20	3	6	10	13	16	19	23	26	29	32
30	5	10	14	19	24	29	34	39	43	48
50	8	16	24	32	40	48	56	64	72	81
75	12	24	36	48	60	72	85	97	109	121
100	16	32	48	64	81	97	113	129	145	161

Table 12-35 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Gannets in the Array Areas (and 2km Buffer) During the Spring Migration Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

Unrestricted 004300153



- 564. Based on the average mortality for the species of 0.1866, a total of 46,349 birds would be expected to die in spring. The addition of a combined maximum of one individual to this increases the mortality rate by 0.003%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 565. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the spring migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low to medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible** to **minor adverse**.

12.8.1.1.1.3.4 Annual

566. Summed across the year the combined maximum mean peak abundance in the Array Areas and 2km buffer was 3,295 individuals Within the range of 60-80% displacement and 1% mortality, the maximum number of individual gannets which could potentially suffer mortality as a consequence of displacement from the Array Areas (and 2km buffer) during the breeding, autumn migration and spring migration periods combined has been estimated as 26 individuals (**Table 12-36**).

Mortality	Disp	lacem	nent (%	6)						
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	3	7	10	13	16	20	23	26	30	33
2	7	13	20	26	33	40	46	53	59	66
3	10	20	30	40	49	59	69	79	89	99
4	13	26	40	53	66	79	92	105	119	132
5	16	33	49	66	82	99	115	132	148	165
6	20	40	59	79	99	119	138	158	178	198
7	23	46	69	92	115	138	161	185	208	231
8	26	53	79	105	132	158	185	211	237	264
9	30	59	89	119	148	178	208	237	267	297
10	33	66	99	132	165	198	231	264	297	330
20	66	132	198	264	330	395	461	527	593	659
30	99	198	297	395	494	593	692	791	890	989
50	165	330	494	659	824	989	1153	1318	1483	1648
75	247	494	741	989	1236	1483	1730	1977	2224	2471
100	330	659	989	1318	1648	1977	2307	2636	2966	3295

Table 12-36 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Gannets in the Array Areas (and 2km Buffer) Combined Across the Breeding and Nonbreeding Seasons That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

Unrestricted 004300153



- 567. At the average baseline mortality rate for gannet of 0.1866, a total of 85,145 birds would be expected to die from the largest BDMPS population throughout the year. The addition of a combined maximum of 26 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.031%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 568. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 220,188. The addition of a maximum of 26 to this increases the mortality rate by 0.012%, which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 569. The sensitivity of gannet to operational displacement is considered to be low to medium and the magnitude of annual impact at DBS East is negligible, therefore the annual effect on gannet due to operational displacement at DBS East is assessed as **negligible** to **minor adverse**.

12.8.1.1.1.4 Summary of Operational Displacement Assessment - Gannet

570. A table summarising the gannet operational displacement assessment is provided below (**Table 12-37**). There are no changes in any conclusions from the original ES, revised values are highlighted in yellow for reference.

Gannet **DBS East DBS West Projects** Baseline average annual mortality 0.1866 **Reference** population 400,236 Displacement mortality (@80% x 1%) 6 6 12 Breeding 0.008 0.009 Increase in background mortality (%) 0.017 season Negligible -Negligible -Negligible Significance Minor Minor - Minor 456,299 **Reference** population Displacement mortality (@80% x 1%) 6 6 13 Autumn 0.007 0.007 0.015 Increase in background mortality (%) Negligible -Negligible -Negligible Significance Minor Minor - Minor 248,835 Reference population Displacement mortality (@80% x 1%) 1 1 1 Spring Increase in background mortality (%) 0.001 0.001 0.003 Negligible -Negligible -Negligible Significance Minor - Minor Minor 456,299 Reference population

Table 12-37 Summary of Gannet Operational Displacement Assessment for DBS East, DBS West and Combined (Projects).

Unrestricted 004300153



Gannet		DBS East	DBS West	Projects
	Displacement mortality (@80% x 1%)	13	14	<mark>26</mark>
Annual	Increase in background mortality (%)	0.015	0.016	0.031
(BDMPS)	Significance	Negligible - Minor	Negligible - Minor	Negligible - Minor
	Biogeographical population			1,180,000
Annual	Displacement mortality (@80% x 1%)	13	14	<mark>26</mark>
(biogeo-	Increase in background mortality (%)	0.006	0.006	0.012
graphic)	Significance	Negligible - Minor	Negligible - Minor	Negligible - Minor

12.8.1.1.2 Auks (Guillemot, Razorbill and Puffin)

- 571. Razorbill, guillemot and puffin are considered to have a medium (or low for puffin) sensitivity to disturbance and displacement, based on their sensitivity to ship and helicopter traffic in Garthe and Hüppop (2004), Langston (2010), an interpretation of the Furness and Wade (2012) species concern index value in the context of disturbance and/or displacement from a habitat, and the meta-analysis of avoidance and attraction responses of seabirds to offshore wind farms by Dierschke *et al.* (2016).
- 572. Available pre- and post-construction monitoring data have yielded variable results but indicate that auks may be displaced to some extent by some wind farms, but this is partial, and apparently negligible in some Array Areas (Dierschke *et al.*, 2016).
- 573. Common guillemots were displaced at Blighbank (Vanermen *et al.*, 2012), were displaced only in a minority of surveys at two Dutch wind farms (OWEZ and PAWP; Leopold *et al.*, 2011; Krijgsveld *et al.*, 2011), but were not significantly displaced at Horns Rev (although the data suggest that slight displacement was probably occurring; Petersen *et al.*, 2006) or Thornton Bank (Vanermen *et al.*, 2012). Razorbills were displaced in one out of six surveys at two Dutch wind farms (OWEZ and PAWP; Leopold *et al.*, 2011, Krijgsveld *et al.*, 2011), but not at Horns Rev (Petersen *et al.*, 2006), Thornton Bank or Blighbank (Vanermen *et al.*, 2012). There is less direct evidence for puffin as this species has not typically been recorded in the monitoring of earlier installed wind farms in the southern North Sea. However, given the similarities of these auk species it is considered reasonable to apply a similar approach as that used for guillemot and razorbill.



- 574. For recent wind farm assessments Natural England has advised that the mortality rate may be up to 10% for auks displaced from wind farms. This magnitude of impact is not supported in the literature. For, example this would equate to a doubling of natural adult annual mortality for razorbill (10.5%; Horswill and Robinson, 2015) and puffin (9.4% Horswill and Robinson, 2015) and more than double that for guillemot (6%; Horswill and Robinson, 2015). Such high mortality rates for displacement are considered to be highly conservative and improbable in reality.
- 575. A review of available evidence for auk displacement was submitted for the Norfolk Vanguard assessment (MacArthur Green, 2021) and this concluded that <u>precautionary</u> rates of displacement and mortality from operational wind farms would be 50% and 1% respectively. These figures are also considered suitably precautionary for the potential displacement around construction vessels.
- 576. Following statutory guidance (Joint SNCB Note, 2017), the abundance estimates for the most relevant biological periods have each been placed into individual displacement matrices. Each displacement matrix contains the abundance of each auk species within the DBS Array Areas and the 2km buffer.
- 577. Each matrix displays displacement rates and mortality rates for each species, with the species-specific recommended rates highlighted in each case. At the upper end these extend to 70% displaced and to 10% mortality, representing a highly precautionary worst case scenario as advised by Natural England. Mortality due to displacement might arise if displacement increased competition for resources in the remaining areas of auk habitat outside the wind farm. However, it should be recognised that the mortality rate due to displacement may well be 0% since the increase in density of birds outside the wind farm area will be negligible (because the rest of the available habitat is vast in comparison) and is very unlikely to be as high as these precautionary values.

Unrestricted 004300153



- 578. Recent Natural England advice to offshore wind farm developers³ has highlighted that consideration should also be given to rates of 70% displaced and 2% mortality. Thus, the assessment presents estimates using the full range of displacement and mortality rates and discusses the predicted effects in relation to combinations of 70% displaced with 2% mortality and 10% mortality, on the basis these represent precautionary upper limits on displacement impacts.
- 579. Mortality of auks during the breeding season has been assessed in relation to the species specific BDMPS reference populations provided by Natural England (2024) for the North Sea and Channel: guillemot (2,045,078 individuals), razorbill (158,031 individuals) and puffin (868,689 individuals).
- 580. The number of individuals from these auk species expected to die at the baseline mortality rates in the breeding season are, for guillemot 287,333 (2,045,078 x 0.1405), for razorbill 20,576 (158,031 x 0.1302) and for puffin 103,374 (868,689 x 0.119).
- 581. During the nonbreeding seasons, the species specific BDMPS populations for the North Sea and Channel have been used as the reference populations. Furness (2015) only defined one nonbreeding season for guillemot and puffin (for both: August February) while for razorbill there are three (August October, November December and January March; Table 12-15). However, for guillemot, in their Relevant Representations [RR-039] Natural England have advised the Applicants to separately assess impacts in the chick rearing and moult period (August and September), with the nonbreeding season adjusted to October to February. The number of birds which could potentially be displaced has been estimated for each species using their species-specific relevant seasons. For the annual assessment, impacts have been considered in relation to the largest of the BDMPS populations and also to the biogeographic population (Furness, 2015).
- 582. For each auk species the abundance estimates used in the assessment include unidentified auks assigned to each species using the identified proportions and adjustment for availability bias.

³ https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-content/ipc/uploads/projects/EN010109/EN010109-002129-Natural%20England%20-%200ther-%20EN010109%20441148%20SEP%20DEP%20Appendix%20B3%20-%20Natural%20England%E2%80%99s%200ffshore%200rnithology%20Position%20(Revision%202)%20Deadline%208.pdf



12.8.1.1.3 Guillemot

12.8.1.1.3.1 Significance of Effect - DBS East in Isolation

12.8.1.1.3.1.1 Breeding Season

583. During the breeding season, the maximum mean peak abundance in the DBS East Array Area and 2km buffer was 9,031 individuals. The estimated number of guillemots subject to mortality during the breeding period due to displacement at 30-70% displaced and 1-10% mortality from DBS East (and 2km buffer; **Table 12-38**) is between 27 and 632 individuals, with 126 at 70% displaced and 2% mortality.

Table 12-38 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Guillemots in the DBS East Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Breeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

Mortality	Disp	laceme	ent (%)							
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	9	18	27	36	45	54	63	72	81	90
2	18	36	54	72	90	108	126	144	163	181
3	27	54	81	108	135	163	190	217	244	271
4	36	72	108	144	181	217	253	289	325	361
5	45	90	135	181	226	271	316	361	406	452
6	54	108	163	217	271	325	379	433	488	542
7	63	126	190	253	316	379	443	506	569	632
8	72	144	217	289	361	433	506	578	650	722
9	81	163	244	325	406	488	569	650	732	813
10	90	181	271	361	452	542	632	722	813	903
20	181	361	542	722	903	1084	1264	1445	1626	1806
30	271	542	813	1084	1355	1626	1897	2167	2438	2709
50	452	903	1355	1806	2258	2709	3161	3612	4064	4516
75	677	1355	2032	2709	3387	4064	4741	5419	6096	6773
100	903	1806	2709	3612	4516	5419	6322	7225	8128	9031

584. At the average baseline mortality rate for guillemot of 0.1405 the number of individuals expected to die in the breeding season is 287,333 (2,045,078 x 0.1405). The addition of a maximum of 632 (70% x 10%) to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.220%, or of 126 (70% x 2%) to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.044%, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability.



585. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.8.1.1.3.1.2 Chick Rearing and Moult

586. During the chick rearing and moult period, the maximum mean peak abundance in the DBS East Array Area and 2km buffer was 7,678 individuals. The estimated number of guillemots subject to mortality during the chick rearing and moult period due to displacement at 30-70% displaced and 1-10% mortality from DBS East (and 2km buffer; **Table 12-39**) is between 23 and 537 individuals, with 107 at 70% displaced and 2% mortality.

Table 12-39 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Guillemots in the DBS East Array Area
(and 2km Buffer) During the Chick Rearing and Moult Period That May Be Subject to Mortality
(Highlighted)

Mortality	Disp	laceme	ent (%)							
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	8	15	23	31	38	46	54	61	69	77
2	15	31	46	61	77	92	107	123	138	154
3	23	46	69	92	115	138	161	184	207	230
4	31	61	92	123	154	184	215	246	276	307
5	38	77	115	154	192	230	269	307	346	384
6	46	92	138	184	230	276	322	369	415	461
7	54	107	161	215	269	322	376	430	484	537
8	61	123	184	246	307	369	430	491	553	614
9	69	138	207	276	346	415	484	553	622	691
10	77	154	230	307	384	461	537	614	691	768
20	154	307	461	614	768	921	1075	1228	1382	1536
30	230	461	691	921	1152	1382	1612	1843	2073	2303
50	384	768	1152	1536	1920	2303	2687	3071	3455	3839
75	576	1152	1728	2303	2879	3455	4031	4607	5183	5759
100	768	1536	2303	3071	3839	4607	5375	6142	6910	7678



- 587. At the average baseline mortality rate for guillemot of 0.1405 the number of individuals expected to die in the chick rearing and moult period is 227,231 (1,617,305 x 0.1405). The addition of a maximum of 537 (70% x 10%) to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.237%, or of 107 (70% x 2%) to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.047%, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 588. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the chick rearing and moult period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.8.1.1.3.1.3 Nonbreeding Season

589. During the nonbreeding season, the maximum mean peak abundance in the DBS East Array Area and 2km buffer was 12,552 individuals. The estimated number of guillemots subject to mortality during the nonbreeding period due to displacement at 30-70% displaced and 1-10% mortality from DBS East (and 2km buffer) is between 38 and 879 individuals, with 176 at 70% displaced and 2% mortality.

Mortality	Displo	acemer	nt (%)							
(/0)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	13	25	38	50	63	75	88	100	113	126
2	25	50	75	100	126	151	176	201	226	251
3	38	75	113	151	188	226	264	301	339	377
4	50	100	151	201	251	301	351	402	452	502
5	63	126	188	251	314	377	439	502	565	628
6	75	151	226	301	377	452	527	602	678	753
7	88	176	264	351	439	527	615	703	791	879
8	100	201	301	402	502	602	703	803	904	1004
9	113	226	339	452	565	678	791	904	1017	1130
10	126	251	377	502	628	753	879	1004	1130	1255
20	251	502	753	1004	1255	1506	1757	2008	2259	2510
30	377	753	1130	1506	1883	2259	2636	3012	3389	3766
50	628	1255	1883	2510	3138	3766	4393	5021	5648	6276
75	941	1883	2824	3766	4707	5648	6590	7531	8473	9414
100	1255	2510	3766	5021	6276	7531	8786	10042	11297	12552

Table 12-40 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Guillemots in the DBS East Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Nonbreeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

Unrestricted 004300153



- 590. At the average baseline mortality rate for guillemot of 0.1405 the number of individuals expected to die in the nonbreeding period is 227,231 (1,617,305 x 0.1405). The addition of a maximum of 879 (70% x 10%) to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.387%, or of 176 (70% x 2%) to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.077%, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 591. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the nonbreeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.8.1.1.3.1.4 Annual

592. Summed across the year the maximum mean peak abundance in the DBS East Array Area and 2km buffer was 29,260 individuals. The estimated number of guillemots subject to mortality combined across all seasons due to displacement at 30-70% displaced and 1-10% mortality from DBS East Array Area (and 2km buffer; **Table 12-41**) is between 88 and 2,048 individuals, with 410 at 70% displaced and 2% mortality.

Mortalit	Displ	aceme	ent (%)							
y (70)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	29	59	88	117	146	176	205	234	263	293
2	59	117	176	234	293	351	410	468	527	585
3	88	176	263	351	439	527	614	702	790	878
4	117	234	351	468	585	702	819	936	1053	1170
5	146	293	439	585	732	878	1024	1170	1317	1463
6	176	351	527	702	878	1053	1229	1404	1580	1756
7	205	410	614	819	1024	1229	1434	1639	1843	2048
8	234	468	702	936	1170	1404	1639	1873	2107	2341
9	263	527	790	1053	1317	1580	1843	2107	2370	2633
10	293	585	878	1170	1463	1756	2048	2341	2633	2926
20	585	117 0	175 6	2341	2926	3511	4096	4682	5267	5852
30	878	175 6	263 3	3511	4389	5267	6145	7022	7900	8778

Table 12-41 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Guillemots in the DBS East Array Area (and 2km Buffer) Combined Across the Breeding and Nonbreeding Seasons That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

Unrestricted 004300153



Mortalit	Displ	aceme	ent (%)		Displacement (%)													
y (76)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100								
50	146 3	292 6	438 9	5852	7315	8778	1024 1	1170 4	1316 7	1463 0								
75	219 5	438 9	658 4	8778	1097 3	1316 7	1536 2	1755 6	1975 1	2194 5								
100	292 6	585 2	877 8	1170 4	1463 0	1755 6	2048 2	2340 8	2633 4	2926 0								

- 593. At the average baseline mortality rate for guillemot of 0.1405 the number of individuals expected to die from the largest BDMPS population across all seasons is 287,333 (2,045,078 x 0.1405). The addition of a maximum of 2,048 (70% x 10%) to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.713%, or of 410 (70% x 2%) to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.143%, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 594. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 579,563 (4,125,000 x 0.1405). The addition of a maximum of 2,048 (70% x 10%) to this increases the mortality rate by 0.353%, or of 410 (70% x 2%) to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.071%, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 595. These magnitudes of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, across the annual period the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible.
- 596. The sensitivity of guillemot to operational displacement is considered to be medium and the magnitude of annual impact at DBS East is negligible when assessed against either the largest BDMPS or the biogeographic population. Therefore, the significance of the annual effect on guillemot due to operational displacement at DBS East is assessed as **minor adverse**.

12.8.1.1.3.2 Significance of Effect – DBS West in Isolation

12.8.1.1.3.2.1 Breeding Season

597. During the breeding season, the maximum mean peak abundance in the DBS West Array Area and 2km buffer was 8,783 individuals. The estimated number of guillemots subject to mortality during the breeding period due to displacement at 30-70% displaced and 1-10% mortality from DBS West (and 2km buffer; **Table 12-42**) is between 26 and 615 individuals, with 123 at 70% displaced and 2% mortality.

Unrestricted 004300153



Table 12-42 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Guillemots in the DBS West Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Breeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

Mortality	Disp	laceme	ent (%)							
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	9	18	26	35	44	53	61	70	79	88
2	18	35	53	70	88	105	123	141	158	176
3	26	53	79	105	132	158	184	211	237	263
4	35	70	105	141	176	211	246	281	316	351
5	44	88	132	176	220	263	307	351	395	439
6	53	105	158	211	263	316	369	422	474	527
7	61	123	184	246	307	369	430	492	553	615
8	70	141	211	281	351	422	492	562	632	703
9	79	158	237	316	395	474	553	632	711	790
10	88	176	263	351	439	527	615	703	790	878
20	176	351	527	703	878	1054	1230	1405	1581	1757
30	263	527	790	1054	1317	1581	1844	2108	2371	2635
50	439	878	1317	1757	2196	2635	3074	3513	3952	4392
75	659	1317	1976	2635	3294	3952	4611	5270	5929	6587
100	878	1757	2635	3513	4392	5270	6148	7026	7905	8783

- 598. At the average baseline mortality rate for guillemot of 0.1405 the number of individuals expected to die in the breeding season is 287,333 (2,045,078 x 0.1405). The addition of a maximum of 615 (70% x 10%) to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.214%, or of 123 (70% x 2%) to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.043%, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 599. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.



12.8.1.1.3.2.2 Chick rearing and Moult

600. During the chick rearing and moult period, the maximum mean peak abundance in the DBS West Array Area and 2km buffer was 12,498 individuals. The estimated number of guillemots subject to mortality during the chick rearing and moult period due to displacement at 30-70% displaced and 1-10% mortality from DBS West (and 2km buffer; **Table 12-43**) is between 37 and 875 individuals, with 175 at 70% displaced and 2% mortality.

Table 12-43 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Guillemots in the DBS West Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Chick Rearing and Moult Period That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

Mortality	Displo	aceme	nt (%)							
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	12	25	37	50	62	75	87	100	112	125
2	25	50	75	100	125	150	175	200	225	250
3	37	75	112	150	187	225	262	300	337	375
4	50	100	150	200	250	300	350	400	450	500
5	62	125	187	250	312	375	437	500	562	625
6	75	150	225	300	375	450	525	600	675	750
7	87	175	262	350	437	525	612	700	787	875
8	100	200	300	400	500	600	700	800	900	1000
9	112	225	337	450	562	675	787	900	1012	1125
10	125	250	375	500	625	750	875	1000	1125	1250
20	250	500	750	1000	1250	1500	1750	2000	2250	2500
30	375	750	1125	1500	1875	2250	2625	3000	3374	3749
50	625	1250	1875	2500	3125	3749	4374	4999	5624	6249
75	937	1875	2812	3749	4687	5624	6561	7499	8436	9374
100	1250	2500	3749	4999	6249	7499	8749	9998	11248	12498

601. At the average baseline mortality rate for guillemot of 0.1405) the number of individuals expected to die in the chick rearing and moult period is 227,231 (1,617,305 x 0.1405). The addition of a maximum of 875 (70% x 10%) to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.385%, or of 175 (70% x 2%) to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.077%, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability.



602. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the chick rearing and moult season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.8.1.1.3.2.3 Nonbreeding season

603. During the nonbreeding season, the maximum mean peak abundance in the DBS West Array Area and 2km buffer was 9,895 individuals. The estimated number of guillemots subject to mortality during the nonbreeding period due to displacement at 30-70% displaced and 1-10% mortality from DBS West (and 2km buffer; **Table 12-44**) is between 30 and 693 individuals, with 139 at 70% displaced and 2% mortality.

Table 12-44 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Guillemots in the DBS West Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Nonbreeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)

Mortality	Displacement (%)												
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100			
1	0	20	30	40	49	59	69	79	89	99			
2	20	40	59	79	99	119	139	158	178	198			
3	30	59	89	119	148	178	208	237	267	297			
4	40	79	119	158	198	237	277	317	356	396			
5	49	99	148	198	247	297	346	396	445	495			
6	59	119	178	237	297	356	416	475	534	594			
7	69	139	208	277	346	416	485	554	623	693			
8	79	158	237	317	396	475	554	633	712	792			
9	89	178	267	356	445	534	623	712	801	891			
10	99	198	297	396	495	594	693	792	891	990			
20	198	396	594	792	990	1187	1385	1583	1781	1979			
30	297	594	891	1187	1484	1781	2078	2375	2672	2969			
50	495	990	1484	1979	2474	2969	3463	3958	4453	4948			
75	742	1484	2226	2969	3711	4453	5195	5937	6679	7421			
100	990	1979	2969	3958	4948	5937	6927	7916	8906	9895			

Unrestricted 004300153



- 604. At the average baseline mortality rate for guillemot of 0.1405 the number of individuals expected to die in the nonbreeding period is 227,231 (1,617,305 x 0.1405). The addition of a maximum of 693 (70% x 10%) to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.305%, or of 139 (70% x 2%) to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.061%, both of which are which is below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 605. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the nonbreeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.8.1.1.3.2.4 Annual

606. Summed across the year the maximum mean peak abundance in the DBS West Array Area and 2km buffer was 31,177 individuals. The estimated number of guillemots subject to mortality combined across all seasons due to displacement at 30-70% displaced and 1-10% mortality from DBS West (and 2km buffer; **Table 12-45**) is between 94 and 2,182 individuals, with 436 at 70% displaced and 2% mortality.

Table 12-45 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Guillemots in the DBS West Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Breeding Season, Chick Rearing and Moult Period and Nonbreeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

Mortality	Displacement (%)												
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100			
1	0	62	94	125	156	187	218	249	281	312			
2	62	125	187	249	312	374	436	499	561	624			
3	94	187	281	374	468	561	655	748	842	935			
4	125	249	374	499	624	748	873	998	1122	1247			
5	156	312	468	624	779	935	1091	1247	1403	1559			
6	187	374	561	748	935	1122	1309	1496	1684	1871			
7	218	436	655	873	1091	1309	1528	1746	1964	2182			
8	249	499	748	998	1247	1496	1746	1995	2245	2494			
9	281	561	842	1122	1403	1684	1964	2245	2525	2806			
10	312	624	935	1247	1559	1871	2182	2494	2806	3118			
20	624	124 7	187 1	2494	3118	3741	4365	4988	5612	6235			
30	935	187 1	280 6	3741	4677	5612	6547	7482	8418	9353			

Unrestricted 004300153



Mortality	Disp	Displacement (%)												
(70)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100				
50	155 9	311 8	467 7	6235	7794	9353	1091 2	1247 1	1403 0	1558 9				
75	233 8	467 7	701 5	9353	1169 1	1403 0	1636 8	1870 6	2104 4	2338 3				
100	311 8	623 5	935 3	1247 1	1558 9	1870 6	2182 4	2494 2	2805 9	3117 7				

- 607. At the average baseline mortality rate for guillemot of 0.1405 the number of individuals expected to die from the largest BDMPS population across all seasons is 287,333 (2,045,078 x 0.1405). The addition of a maximum of 2,182 (70% x 10%) to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.760%, or of 436 (70% x 2%) to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.152%, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 608. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 579,563 (4,125,000 x 0. 1405). The addition of a maximum of 2,182 (70% x 10%) to this increases the mortality rate by 0.377%, or of 436 (70% x 2%) to this would increase the mortality by 0.075, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 609. The sensitivity of guillemot to operational displacement is considered to be medium and the magnitude of annual impact at DBS West is negligible, therefore the annual effect on guillemot due to operational displacement at DBS West is assessed as **minor adverse**.

12.8.1.1.3.3Significance of Effect - DBS East and DBS West Together12.8.1.1.3.3.1Breeding Season

610. During the breeding season, the combined maximum mean peak abundance in the Array Areas and 2km buffer was 17,814 individuals. The estimated number of guillemots subject to mortality during the breeding period due to displacement at 30-70% displaced and 1-10% mortality from the Array Areas (and 2km buffer; **Table 12-46**) is between 53 and 1,247 individuals, with 249 at 70% displaced and 2% mortality.



Table 12-46 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Guillemots in the Array Areas (and 2km
Buffer) During the Breeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

Mortality	Disp	lacem	ent (%)							
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	18	36	53	71	89	107	125	143	160	178
2	36	71	107	143	178	214	249	285	321	356
3	53	107	160	214	267	321	374	428	481	534
4	71	143	214	285	356	428	499	570	641	713
5	89	178	267	356	445	534	623	713	802	891
6	107	214	321	428	534	641	748	855	962	1069
7	125	249	374	499	623	748	873	998	1122	1247
8	143	285	428	570	713	855	998	1140	1283	1425
9	160	321	481	641	802	962	1122	1283	1443	1603
10	178	356	534	713	891	1069	1247	1425	1603	1781
20	356	713	106 9	142 5	178 1	2138	2494	2850	3207	3563
30	534	106 9	160 3	213 8	267 2	3207	3741	4275	4810	5344
50	891	178 1	267 2	356 3	445 4	5344	6235	7126	8016	8907
75	133 6	267 2	400 8	534 4	668 0	8016	9352	1068 8	1202 4	1336 1
100	178 1	356 3	534 4	712 6	890 7	1068 8	1247 0	1425 1	1603 3	1781 4

- 611. At the average baseline mortality rate for guillemot of 0.1405 the number of individuals expected to die in the breeding season is 287,333 (2,045,078 x 0.1405). The addition of a combined maximum of 1,247 (70% x 10%) to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.434%, or of 249 (70% x 2%) to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.087%, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 612. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

Unrestricted 004300153



12.8.1.1.3.3.2 Chick Rearing and Moult

613. During the chick rearing and moult period, the combined maximum mean peak abundance in the Array Areas and 2km buffer was 20,176 individuals. The estimated number of guillemots subject to mortality during the chick rearing and moult period due to displacement at 30-70% displaced and 1-10% mortality from the Array Areas (and 2km buffer; **Table 12-47**) is between 61 and 1,412 individuals, with 282 at 70% displaced and 2% mortality.

Table 12-47 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Guillemots in the Array Areas (and 2km Buffer) During the Chick Rearing and Moult Period That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

Mortality	Displacement (%)										
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	
1	20	40	61	81	101	121	141	161	182	202	
2	40	81	121	161	202	242	282	323	363	404	
3	61	121	182	242	303	363	424	484	545	605	
4	81	161	242	323	404	484	565	646	726	807	
5	101	202	303	404	504	605	706	807	908	1009	
6	121	242	363	484	605	726	847	968	1090	1211	
7	141	282	424	565	706	847	989	1130	1271	1412	
8	161	323	484	646	807	968	1130	1291	1453	1614	
9	182	363	545	726	908	1090	1271	1453	1634	1816	
10	202	404	605	807	1009	1211	1412	1614	1816	2018	
20	404	807	121 1	161 4	2018	2421	2825	3228	3632	4035	
30	605	121 1	181 6	242 1	3026	3632	4237	4842	5448	6053	
50	100 9	201 8	302 6	403 5	5044	6053	7062	8070	9079	1008 8	
75	151 3	302 6	454 0	605 3	7566	9079	1059 2	1210 6	1361 9	1513 2	
100	201 8	403 5	605 3	807 0	1008 8	1210 6	1412 3	1614 1	1815 8	2017 6	

614. At the average baseline mortality rate for guillemot of 0.1405 the number of individuals expected to die in the chick rearing and moult period is 227,231 (1,617,305 x 0.1405). The addition of a combined maximum of 1,412 (70% x 10%) to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.622%, or of 282 (70% x 2%) to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.124%, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability.

Unrestricted 004300153



615. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the chick rearing and moult period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.8.1.1.3.3.3 Nonbreeding Season

616. During the nonbreeding season, the combined maximum mean peak abundance in the Array Areas and 2km buffer was 22,447 individuals. The estimated number of guillemots subject to mortality during the nonbreeding period due to displacement at 30-70% displaced and 1-10% mortality from the Array Areas (and 2km buffer; **Table 12-48**) is between 67 and 1,571 individuals, with 314 at 70% displaced and 2% mortality.

Mortality **Displacement (%)** (%) 898 1347 1796 673 1347 2020 2694 1122 2245 3367 8979 10101 11224 75 1684 3367 5051 6734 8418 10101 11785 13468 15152 16835 100 2245 4489 6734 8979 11224 13468 15713 17958 20202 22447

Table 12-48 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Guillemots in the Array Areas (and 2km Buffer) During the Nonbreeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted)

617. At the average baseline mortality rate for guillemot of 0.1405 the number of individuals expected to die in the nonbreeding period is 227,231 (1,617,305 x 0.1405). The addition of a combined maximum of 1,571 (70% x 10%) individuals to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.692%, or of 314 (70% x 2%) to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.138%, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability.

Unrestricted 004300153



618. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the nonbreeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.8.1.1.3.3.4 Annual

619. Summed across the year the combined maximum mean peak abundance in the Array Areas and 2km buffer was 60,438 individuals. The estimated number of guillemots subject to mortality combined across all seasons due to displacement at 30-70% displaced and 1-10% mortality from the Array Areas (and 2km buffer; **Table 12-49**) is between 181 and 4,231 individuals, with 846 at 70% displaced and 2% mortality.

Table 12-49 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Guillemot in the Array Areas (and 2km Buffer) Combined Across the Breeding and Nonbreeding Seasons That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

Mor-	Displacement (%)											
tality (%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100		
1	60	121	181	242	302	363	423	484	544	604		
2	121	242	363	484	604	725	846	967	1088	1209		
3	181	363	544	725	907	1088	1269	1451	1632	1813		
4	242	484	725	967	1209	1451	1692	1934	2176	2418		
5	302	604	907	1209	1511	1813	2115	2418	2720	3022		
6	363	725	1088	1451	1813	2176	2538	2901	3264	3626		
7	423	846	1269	1692	2115	2538	2961	3385	3808	4231		
8	484	967	1451	1934	2418	2901	3385	3868	4352	4835		
9	544	1088	1632	2176	2720	3264	3808	4352	4895	5439		
10	604	1209	1813	2418	3022	3626	4231	4835	5439	6044		
20	1209	2418	3626	4835	6044	7253	8461	9670	10879	12088		
30	1813	3626	5439	7253	9066	10879	12692	14505	16318	18131		
50	3022	6044	9066	12088	15110	18131	21153	24175	27197	30219		
75	4533	9066	13599	18131	22664	27197	31730	36263	40796	45329		
100	6044	12088	18131	24175	30219	36263	42307	48350	54394	60438		



- 620. At the average baseline mortality rate for guillemot of 0.1405 the number of individuals expected to die from the largest BDMPS population across all seasons is 287,333 (2,045,078 x 0.1405). The addition of a combined maximum of 4,231 (70% x 10%) individuals to this increases the background mortality rate by 1.472%, or of 846 (70% x 2%) to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.294%. While the worst case estimate is above the 1% threshold for detectability, using the Natural England advised rates for other wind farms³ (70% x 2%) is below this level. Indeed, even if displacement occurred at rate of 47% (with 10% mortality) or mortality was 6.7% (at 70% displaced), this figure would be below the 1% of detectability.
- 621. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 579,563 (4,125,000 x 0. 1405). The addition of a maximum of 4,231 (70% x 10%) to this increases the mortality rate by 0.73%, or of 846 (70% x 2%) to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.146%, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 622. Therefore, the magnitude of impact for guillemot assessed against both the BDMPS population and biogeographic population is considered to be negligible to low.
- 623. The sensitivity of guillemot to operational displacement is considered to be medium and the magnitude of annual impact at the Array Areas is negligible to low when assessed against either the largest BDMPS or the biogeographic population. Therefore, the significance of the annual effect on guillemot due to operational displacement at the Array Areas is assessed as **minor adverse**.
- 624. A table summarising the guillemot operational displacement assessment is provided below (**Table 12-50**). There are no changes in any conclusions from the original ES, revised values from original ES are highlighted in yellow for reference.



12.8.1.1.3.4 Summary of Operational Displacement Assessment - Guillemot

Table 12-50 Summary of Guillemot Operational Displacement Assessment for DBS East, DBS West and Combined (Projects).

Guillemot		DBS East	DBS West	Projects		
Baseline av	erage annual mortality			<mark>0.1405</mark>		
	Reference population (breeding BDMPS)	2,045,078				
Breeding	Displacement mortality (@70% x 2%)	<mark>126</mark>	<mark>123</mark>	<mark>249</mark>		
Seuson	Increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.044</mark>	<mark>0.043</mark>	<mark>0.087</mark>		
	Significance	Minor	Minor	Minor		
<mark>Chick</mark> rearing	Reference population (breeding BDMPS)			<mark>1,617,305</mark>		
and moult	Displacement mortality (@70% x 2%)	<mark>107</mark>	<mark>175</mark>	<mark>282</mark>		
	Increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.047</mark>	<mark>0.077</mark>	<mark>0.124</mark>		
	Significance	<mark>Minor</mark>	<mark>Minor</mark>	<mark>Minor</mark>		
	Reference population	<mark>1,617,305</mark>				
Non brooding	Displacement mortality (@70% x 2%)	<mark>176</mark>	<mark>139</mark>	<mark>314</mark>		
season	Increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.077</mark>	<mark>0.061</mark>	<mark>0.138</mark>		
0000011	Significance	Minor	Minor	Minor		
	Reference population	2,045,078				
Annual	Displacement mortality (@70% x 2%)	<mark>410</mark>	<mark>436</mark>	<mark>846</mark>		
(BDMPS)	Increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.143</mark>	<mark>0.152</mark>	<mark>0.294</mark>		
	Significance	Minor	Minor	Minor		
	Biogeographical population	4,125,000				
Annual (biogeo- araphic)	Displacement mortality (@70% x 2%)	<mark>410</mark>	<mark>436</mark>	<mark>846</mark>		
	Increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.071</mark>	<mark>0.075</mark>	<mark>0.146</mark>		
3. 0. p 0/	Significance	Minor	Minor	Minor		



12.8.1.1.4 Razorbill

12.8.1.1.4.1 Significance of Effect – DBS East in Isolation

12.8.1.1.4.1.1 Breeding Season

625. During the breeding season, the maximum mean peak abundance in the DBS East Array Area and 2km buffer was 555 individuals. The estimated number of razorbills subject to mortality during the breeding period due to displacement at 30-70% displaced and 1-10% mortality from DBS East (and 2km buffer; **Table 12-51**) is between two and 39 individuals, with eight at 70% displaced and 2% mortality.

Table 12-51 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Razorbills in the DBS East Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Breeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

Mortality	Displacement (%)										
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	
1	1	1	2	2	3	3	4	4	5	6	
2	1	2	3	4	6	7	8	9	10	11	
3	2	3	5	7	8	10	12	13	15	17	
4	2	4	7	9	11	13	16	18	20	22	
5	3	6	8	11	14	17	19	22	25	28	
6	3	7	10	13	17	20	23	27	30	33	
7	4	8	12	16	19	23	27	31	35	39	
8	4	9	13	18	22	27	31	36	40	44	
9	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	
10	6	11	17	22	28	33	39	44	50	56	
20	11	22	33	44	56	67	78	89	100	111	
30	17	33	50	67	83	100	117	133	150	167	
50	28	56	83	111	139	167	194	222	250	278	
75	42	83	125	167	208	250	291	333	375	416	
100	56	111	167	222	278	333	389	444	500	555	

626. At the average baseline mortality rate for razorbill of 0.1302 the number of individuals expected to die in the breeding season is 20,576 (158,031 x 0.1302). The addition of a maximum of 39 (70% x 10%) to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.189%, or of 8 (70% x 2%) to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.038%, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability.



627. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.8.1.1.4.1.2 Autumn Migration

628. During the autumn migration period, the maximum mean peak abundance in the DBS East Array Area and 2km buffer was 4,686 individuals. The estimated number of razorbills subject to mortality during the autumn migration period due to displacement at 30-70% displaced and 1-10% mortality from DBS East (and 2km buffer; **Table 12-52**) is between 14 and 328 individuals, with 66 at 70% displaced and 2% mortality.

Table 12-52 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Razorbills in the DBS East Array Area (and 2km buffer) During Autumn Migration That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

Mortality	Displacement (%)										
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	
1	5	9	14	19	23	28	33	37	42	47	
2	9	19	28	37	47	56	66	75	84	94	
3	14	28	42	56	70	84	98	112	127	141	
4	19	37	56	75	94	112	131	150	169	187	
5	23	47	70	94	117	141	164	187	211	234	
6	28	56	84	112	141	169	197	225	253	281	
7	33	66	98	131	164	197	230	262	295	328	
8	37	75	112	150	187	225	262	300	337	375	
9	42	84	127	169	211	253	295	337	380	422	
10	47	94	141	187	234	281	328	375	422	469	
20	94	187	281	375	469	562	656	750	843	937	
30	141	281	422	562	703	843	984	1125	1265	1406	
50	234	469	703	937	1172	1406	1640	1874	2109	2343	
75	351	703	1054	1406	1757	2109	2460	2812	3163	3515	
100	469	937	1406	1874	2343	2812	3280	3749	4217	4686	

629. At the average baseline mortality rate for razorbill of0.1302 the number of individuals expected to die in the autumn migration period is 77,062 (591,875 x 0.1302). The addition of a maximum of 328 (70% x 10%) to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.426%, or of 66 (70% x 2%) to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.085%, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability.

Unrestricted 004300153


630. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the autumn migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.8.1.1.4.1.3 Winter

631. During the winter period, the maximum mean peak abundance in the DBS East Array Area and 2km buffer was 3,377 individuals. The estimated number of razorbills subject to mortality during the winter period due to displacement at 30-70% displaced and 1-10% mortality from DBS East (and 2km buffer; **Table 12-53**) is between 10 and 236 individuals, with 47 at 70% displaced and 2% mortality.

Table 12-53 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Razorbills in the DBS East Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Winter Period That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

Mortality	Disp	lacen	nent (%)							
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	3	7	10	14	17	20	24	27	30	34
2	7	14	20	27	34	41	47	54	61	68
3	10	20	30	41	51	61	71	81	91	101
4	14	27	41	54	68	81	95	108	122	135
5	17	34	51	68	84	101	118	135	152	169
6	20	41	61	81	101	122	142	162	182	203
7	24	47	71	95	118	142	165	189	213	236
8	27	54	81	108	135	162	189	216	243	270
9	30	61	91	122	152	182	213	243	274	304
10	34	68	101	135	169	203	236	270	304	338
20	68	135	203	270	338	405	473	540	608	675
30	101	203	304	405	507	608	709	810	912	1013
50	169	338	507	675	844	1013	1182	1351	1520	1689
75	253	507	760	1013	1266	1520	1773	2026	2279	2533
100	338	675	1013	1351	1689	2026	2364	2702	3039	3377

632. At the average baseline mortality rate for razorbill of 0.1302 the number of individuals expected to die in the winter is 28,464 (218,621 x 0.1302). The addition of a maximum of 236 (70% x 10%) to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.830%, or of 47 (70% x 2%) to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.166%, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability.

Unrestricted 004300153



633. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the winter period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.8.1.1.4.1.4 Spring Migration

634. During spring migration period, the maximum mean peak abundance in the DBS East Array Area and 2km buffer was 3,579 individuals. The estimated number of razorbills subject to mortality during the spring migration period due to displacement at 30-70% displaced and 1-10% mortality from DBS East (and 2km buffer; **Table 12-54**) is between 11 and 251 individuals, with 50 at 70% displaced and 2% mortality.

Table 12-54 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Razorbills in the DBS East Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During Spring Migration That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

Mortality	Disp	lacen	nent (%)							
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	4	7	11	14	18	21	25	29	32	36
2	7	14	21	29	36	43	50	57	64	72
3	11	21	32	43	54	64	75	86	97	107
4	14	29	43	57	72	86	100	115	129	143
5	18	36	54	72	89	107	125	143	161	179
6	21	43	64	86	107	129	150	172	193	215
7	25	50	75	100	125	150	175	200	225	251
8	29	57	86	115	143	172	200	229	258	286
9	32	64	97	129	161	193	225	258	290	322
10	36	72	107	143	179	215	251	286	322	358
20	72	143	215	286	358	429	501	573	644	716
30	107	215	322	429	537	644	752	859	966	1074
50	179	358	537	716	895	1074	1253	1432	1611	1790
75	268	537	805	1074	1342	1611	1879	2147	2416	2684
100	358	716	1074	1432	1790	2147	2505	2863	3221	3579

635. At the average baseline mortality rate for razorbill of 0.1302 the number of individuals expected to die in the spring migration season is 77,062 (591,875 x 0.1302). The addition of a maximum of 251 (70% x 10%) to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.325%, or of 50 (70% x 2%) to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.065%, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability.

Unrestricted 004300153



636. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the spring migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.8.1.1.4.1.5 Annual

637. Summed across the year the maximum mean peak abundance in the DBS East Array Area and 2km buffer was 12,197 individuals. The estimated number of razorbills subject to mortality combined across all seasons due to displacement at 30-70% displaced and 1-10% mortality from DBS East (and 2km buffer; **Table 12-55**) is between 37 and 854 individuals, with 171 at 70% displaced and 2% mortality.

Table 12-55 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Razorbills in the DBS East Array Area (and 2km Buffer) Combined Across the Breeding, Autumn Migration, Winter and Spring Migration Periods That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

Mor-	Displacement (%)													
tality (%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100				
1	12	24	37	49	61	73	85	98	110	122				
2	24	49	73	98	122	146	171	195	220	244				
3	37	73	110	146	183	220	256	293	329	366				
4	49	98	146	195	244	293	342	390	439	488				
5	61	122	183	244	305	366	427	488	549	610				
6	73	146	220	293	366	439	512	585	659	732				
7	85	171	256	342	427	512	598	683	768	854				
8	98	195	293	390	488	585	683	781	878	976				
9	110	220	329	439	549	659	768	878	988	1098				
10	122	244	366	488	610	732	854	976	1098	1220				
20	244	488	732	976	1220	1464	1708	1952	2195	2439				
30	366	732	1098	1464	1830	2195	2561	2927	3293	3659				
50	610	1220	1830	2439	3049	3659	4269	4879	5489	6099				
75	915	1830	2744	3659	4574	5489	6403	7318	8233	9148				
100	1220	2439	3659	4879	6099	7318	8538	9758	10977	12197				



- 638. At the average baseline mortality rate for razorbill of 0.1302 the number of individuals from the largest BDMPS population expected to die across all seasons is 77,062 (591,875 x 0.1302). The addition of a maximum of 854 (70% x 10%) to this increases the background mortality rate by 1.108%, or of 171 (70% x 2%) to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.222%. While the worst case estimate is above the 1% threshold for detectability, using the Natural England advised rates for other wind farms³ (70% x 2%) the impact is below this level. Indeed, even if displacement occurred at rate of 63% (with 10% mortality) or mortality was 9% (at 70% displaced), this figure would be below the 1% threshold of detectability.
- 639. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 222,251 (1,707,000 x 0.1302). The addition of a maximum of 854 (70% x 10%) to this increases the mortality rate by 0.384%, or of 171 (70% x 2%) to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.077%, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 640. Therefore, the magnitude of impact for razorbill assessed against both the BDMPS population and biogeographic population is considered to be negligible to low.
- 641. The sensitivity of razorbill to operational displacement is considered to be medium and the magnitude of annual impact at DBS East is negligible, therefore the annual significance of effect on razorbill due to operational displacement at DBS East is assessed as **minor adverse**.

12.8.1.1.4.2 Significance of Effect – DBS West in Isolation

12.8.1.1.4.2.1 Breeding Season

642. During the breeding season, the maximum mean peak abundance in the DBS West Array Area and 2km buffer was 2,281 individuals. The estimated number of razorbills subject to mortality during the breeding period due to displacement at 30-70% displaced and 1-10% mortality from DBS West (and 2km buffer; **Table 12-56**) is between seven and 160 individuals, with 32 at 70% displaced and 2% mortality.



Mortality	Disp	lacem	nent (%)							
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	2	5	7	9	11	14	16	18	21	23
2	5	9	14	18	23	27	32	36	41	46
3	7	14	21	27	34	41	48	55	62	68
4	9	18	27	36	46	55	64	73	82	91
5	11	23	34	46	57	68	80	91	103	114
6	14	27	41	55	68	82	96	109	123	137
7	16	32	48	64	80	96	112	128	144	160
8	18	36	55	73	91	109	128	146	164	182
9	21	41	62	82	103	123	144	164	185	205
10	23	46	68	91	114	137	160	182	205	228
20	46	91	137	182	228	274	319	365	411	456
30	68	137	205	274	342	411	479	547	616	684
50	114	228	342	456	570	684	798	912	1026	1141
75	171	342	513	684	855	1026	1198	1369	1540	1711
100	228	456	684	912	1141	1369	1597	1825	2053	2281

Table 12-56 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Razorbills in the DBS West Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Breeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

- 643. At the average baseline mortality rate for razorbill of 0.1302 the number of individuals expected to die in the breeding season is 20,576 (158,031 x 0.1302). The addition of a maximum of 160 (70 x 10%) to this increases the background mortality rate by .0.776%, or of 32 (70% x 2%) to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.155%, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 644. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.8.1.1.4.2.2 Autumn Migration

645. During the autumn migration period, the maximum mean peak abundance in the DBS West Array Area and 2km buffer was 4,887 individuals. The estimated number of razorbills subject to mortality during the autumn migration period due to displacement at 30-70% displaced and 1-10% mortality from DBS West (and 2km buffer; **Table 12-57**) is between 15 and 342 individuals, with 68 at 70% displaced and 2% mortality.

Unrestricted 004300153



Mortality	Disp	lacem	1ent (%)							
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	5	10	15	20	24	29	34	39	44	49
2	10	20	29	39	49	59	68	78	88	98
3	15	29	44	59	73	88	103	117	132	147
4	20	39	59	78	98	117	137	156	176	195
5	24	49	73	98	122	147	171	195	220	244
6	29	59	88	117	147	176	205	235	264	293
7	34	68	103	137	171	205	239	274	308	342
8	39	78	117	156	195	235	274	313	352	391
9	44	88	132	176	220	264	308	352	396	440
10	49	98	147	195	244	293	342	391	440	489
20	98	195	293	391	489	586	684	782	880	977
30	147	293	440	586	733	880	1026	1173	1319	1466
50	244	489	733	977	1222	1466	1710	1955	2199	2444
75	367	733	1100	1466	1833	2199	2566	2932	3299	3665
100	489	977	1466	1955	2444	2932	3421	3910	4398	4887

Table 12-57 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Razorbills in the DBS West Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During Autumn Migration That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

- 646. At the average baseline mortality rate for razorbill of 0.1302 the number of individuals expected to die in the autumn migration period is 77,062 (591,875 x 0.1302). The addition of a maximum of 342 to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.444%, or of 68 (70% x 2%) to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.089%, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 647. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the autumn migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.8.1.1.4.2.3 Winter

648. During the winter period, the maximum mean peak abundance in the DBS West Array Area and 2km buffer was 5,066 individuals. The estimated number of razorbills subject to mortality during the winter period due to displacement at 30-70% displaced and 1-10% mortality from DBS West (and 2km buffer; **Table 12-58**) is between 15 and 355 individuals, with 71 at 70% displaced and 2% mortality.

Unrestricted 004300153



Mortality	Disp	laceme	ent (%)							
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	41	46	51
2	10	20	30	41	51	61	71	81	91	101
3	15	30	46	61	76	91	106	122	137	152
4	20	41	61	81	101	122	142	162	182	203
5	25	51	76	101	127	152	177	203	228	253
6	30	61	91	122	152	182	213	243	274	304
7	35	71	106	142	177	213	248	284	319	355
8	41	81	122	162	203	243	284	324	365	405
9	46	91	137	182	228	274	319	365	410	456
10	51	101	152	203	253	304	355	405	456	507
20	101	203	304	405	507	608	709	811	912	1013
30	152	304	456	608	760	912	1064	1216	1368	1520
50	253	507	760	1013	1267	1520	1773	2026	2280	2533
75	380	760	1140	1520	1900	2280	2660	3040	3420	3800
100	507	1013	1520	2026	2533	3040	3546	4053	4559	5066

Table 12-58 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Razorbills in the DBS West Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Winter Period That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

- 649. At the average baseline mortality rate for razorbill of 0.1302 the number of individuals expected to die in the winter is 28,464 (218,621 x 0.1302). The addition of a maximum of 355 (70% x 10%) to this increases the background mortality rate by 1.246%, or of 71 (70% x 2%) to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.249%. While the worst case estimate is above the 1% threshold for detectability, using the Natural England advised rates for other wind farms³ (70% x 2%) the impact is below this level. Indeed, even if displacement occurred at rate of 56% (with 10% mortality) or mortality was 8% (at 70% displaced), this figure would be below the 1% threshold of detectability.
- 650. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the winter period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.



12.8.1.1.4.2.4 Spring Migration

651. During the spring migration period, the maximum mean peak abundance in the DBS West Array Area and 2km buffer was 4,455 individuals. The estimated number of razorbills subject to mortality during the spring migration period due to displacement at 30-70% displaced and 1-10% mortality from DBS West (and 2km buffer; **Table 12-59**) is between 13 and 312 individuals, with 62 at 70% displaced and 2% mortality.

Mortality	Disp	lacen	nent (%)							
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	4	9	13	18	22	27	31	36	40	45
2	9	18	27	36	45	53	62	71	80	89
3	13	27	40	53	67	80	94	107	120	134
4	18	36	53	71	89	107	125	143	160	178
5	22	45	67	89	111	134	156	178	200	223
6	27	53	80	107	134	160	187	214	241	267
7	31	62	94	125	156	187	218	249	281	312
8	36	71	107	143	178	214	249	285	321	356
9	40	80	120	160	200	241	281	321	361	401
10	45	89	134	178	223	267	312	356	401	446
20	89	178	267	356	446	535	624	713	802	891
30	134	267	401	535	668	802	936	1069	1203	1337
50	223	446	668	891	1114	1337	1559	1782	2005	2228
75	334	668	1002	1337	1671	2005	2339	2673	3007	3341
100	446	891	1337	1782	2228	2673	3119	3564	4010	4455

Table 12-59 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Razorbill in the DBS West Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During Spring Migration That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

- 652. At the average baseline mortality rate for razorbill of 0.1302 the number of individuals expected to die in the spring migration season is 77,062 (591,875 x 0.1302). The addition of a maximum of 312 to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.405%, or of 62 (70% x 2%) to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.081%, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 653. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the spring migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

Unrestricted 004300153



12.8.1.1.4.2.5 Annual

654. Summed across the year the maximum mean peak abundance in the DBS West Array Area and 2km buffer was 16,689 individuals. The estimated number of razorbills subject to mortality combined across all seasons due to displacement at 30-70% displaced and 1-10% mortality from the DBS West (and 2km buffer; **Table 12-60**) is between 50 and 1,168 individuals, with 234 at 70% displaced and 2% mortality.

Table 12-60 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Razorbill in the DBS West Array Area (and 2km Buffer) Combined Across the Breeding, Autumn Migration, Winter and Spring Migration Periods That May Be Subject to Mortality (highlighted).

Mortality	Disp	lacem	ent (%)							
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	17	33	50	67	83	100	117	134	150	167
2	33	67	100	134	167	200	234	267	300	334
3	50	100	150	200	250	300	350	401	451	501
4	67	134	200	267	334	401	467	534	601	668
5	83	167	250	334	417	501	584	668	751	834
6	100	200	300	401	501	601	701	801	901	1001
7	117	234	350	467	584	701	818	935	1051	1168
8	134	267	401	534	668	801	935	1068	1202	1335
9	150	300	451	601	751	901	1051	1202	1352	1502
10	167	334	501	668	834	1001	1168	1335	1502	1669
20	334	668	1001	1335	1669	2003	2336	2670	3004	3338
30	501	1001	1502	2003	2503	3004	3505	4005	4506	5007
50	834	1669	2503	3338	4172	5007	5841	6676	7510	8345
75	1252	2503	3755	5007	6258	7510	8762	10013	11265	12517
100	1669	3338	5007	6676	8345	10013	11682	13351	15020	16689

655. At the average baseline mortality rate for razorbill of 0.1302 the number of individuals from the largest BDMPS population expected to die across all seasons is 77,062 (591,875 x 0.1302). The addition of a maximum of 1,168 (70% x 10%) to this increases the background mortality rate by 1.516%, or of 234 (70% x 2%) to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.303%. While the worst case estimate is above the 1% threshold for detectability, using the Natural England advised rates for other wind farms³ (70% x 2%) the impact is below this level. Indeed, even if displacement occurred at rate of 46% (with 10% mortality) or mortality was 6.5% (at 70% displaced), this figure would fall below the 1% threshold of detectability.

Unrestricted 004300153



- 656. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 222,251 (1,707,000 x 0.1302). The addition of a maximum of 1,168 (70 x 10%) to this increases the mortality rate by 0.526%, or of 234 (70% x 2%) to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.105%, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability .
- 657. The sensitivity of razorbill to operational displacement is considered to be medium and the magnitude of annual impact at DBS West is negligible, therefore the significance of the annual effect on razorbill due to operational displacement at DBS West is assessed as **minor adverse**.

12.8.1.1.4.3 Significance of Effect – DBS East and DBS West Together 12.8.1.1.4.3.1 Breeding Season

658. During the breeding season, the combined maximum mean peak abundance in the Array Areas and 2km buffer was 2,836 individuals. The estimated number of razorbills subject to mortality during the breeding period due to displacement at 30-70% displaced and 1-10% mortality from the Array Areas (and 2km buffer; **Table 12-61**) is between nine and 199 individuals, with 40 at 70% displaced and 2% mortality.

Mortality	Disp	lacen	າent (%	6)						
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	3	6	9	11	14	17	20	23	26	28
2	6	11	17	23	28	34	40	45	51	57
3	9	17	26	34	43	51	60	68	77	85
4	11	23	34	45	57	68	79	91	102	113
5	14	28	43	57	71	85	99	113	128	142
6	17	34	51	68	85	102	119	136	153	170
7	20	40	60	79	99	119	139	159	179	199
8	23	45	68	91	113	136	159	182	204	227
9	26	51	77	102	128	153	179	204	230	255
10	28	57	85	113	142	170	199	227	255	284
20	57	113	170	227	284	340	397	454	510	567
30	85	170	255	340	425	510	596	681	766	851
50	142	284	425	567	709	851	993	1134	1276	1418
75	213	425	638	851	1064	1276	1489	1702	1914	2127
100	284	567	851	1134	1418	1702	1985	2269	2552	2836

Table 12-61 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Razorbills in the Array Areas (and 2km Buffer) During the Breeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

Unrestricted 004300153



- 659. At the average baseline mortality rate for razorbill of 0.1302 the number of individuals expected to die in the breeding season is 20,576 (158,031 x 0.1302). The addition of a combined maximum of 199 (70 x 10%) individuals to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.965%, or of 40 (70% x 2%) to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.193%, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 660. Therefore, the magnitude of increase in mortality would be very unlikely to materially alter the background mortality of the population and would almost certainly be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible to low. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.8.1.1.4.3.2 Autumn Migration

661. During the autumn migration period, the combined maximum mean peak abundance in the Array Areas and 2km buffer was 9,573 individuals. The estimated number of razorbills subject to mortality during the autumn migration period due to displacement at 30-70% displaced and 1-10% mortality from the Array Areas (and 2km buffer; **Table 12-62)** is between 29 and 670 individuals, with 134 at 70% displaced and 2% mortality.

Mortality	Disp	laceme	ent (%)							
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	10	19	29	38	48	57	67	77	86	96
2	19	38	57	77	96	115	134	153	172	191
3	29	57	86	115	144	172	201	230	258	287
4	38	77	115	153	191	230	268	306	345	383
5	48	96	144	191	239	287	335	383	431	479
6	57	115	172	230	287	345	402	460	517	574
7	67	134	201	268	335	402	469	536	603	670
8	77	153	230	306	383	460	536	613	689	766
9	86	172	258	345	431	517	603	689	775	862
10	96	191	287	383	479	574	670	766	862	957
20	191	383	574	766	957	1149	1340	1532	1723	1915
30	287	574	862	1149	1436	1723	2010	2298	2585	2872
50	479	957	1436	1915	2393	2872	3351	3829	4308	4787
75	718	1436	2154	2872	3590	4308	5026	5744	6462	7180
100	957	1915	2872	3829	4787	5744	6701	7658	8616	9573

Table 12-62 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Razorbill in the Array Areas (and 2km Buffer) During Autumn Migration That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

Unrestricted 004300153



- 662. At the average baseline mortality rate for razorbill of 0.1302 the number of individuals expected to die in the autumn migration period is 77,062 (591,875 x 0.1302). The addition of a combined maximum of 670 (70% x 10%) individuals to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.870%, or of 134 (70% x 2%) to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.174%, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 663. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the autumn migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.8.1.1.4.3.3 Winter

664. During the winter period, the combined maximum mean peak abundance in the Array Areas and 2km buffer was 8,443 individuals. The estimated number of razorbills subject to mortality during the winter period due to displacement at 30-70% displaced and 1-10% mortality from the Array Areas (and 2km buffer; **Table 12-63**) is between 25 and 591 individuals, with 118 at 70% displaced and 2% mortality.

Mortality	Disp	laceme	ent (%)							
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	8	17	25	34	42	51	59	68	76	84
2	17	34	51	68	84	101	118	135	152	169
3	25	51	76	101	127	152	177	203	228	253
4	34	68	101	135	169	203	236	270	304	338
5	42	84	127	169	211	253	296	338	380	422
6	51	101	152	203	253	304	355	405	456	507
7	59	118	177	236	296	355	414	473	532	591
8	68	135	203	270	338	405	473	540	608	675
9	76	152	228	304	380	456	532	608	684	760
10	84	169	253	338	422	507	591	675	760	844
20	169	338	507	675	844	1013	1182	1351	1520	1689
30	253	507	760	1013	1266	1520	1773	2026	2280	2533
50	422	844	1266	1689	2111	2533	2955	3377	3799	4222
75	633	1266	1900	2533	3166	3799	4433	5066	5699	6332
100	844	1689	2533	3377	4222	5066	5910	6754	7599	8443

Table 12-63 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Razorbill in the Array Areas (and 2km Buffer) During the Winter Period That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

Unrestricted 004300153



- 665. At the average baseline mortality rate for razorbill of 0.1302 the number of individuals expected to die in the winter is 28,464 (218,621 x 0.1302). The addition of a combined maximum of 591 (70% x 10%) individuals to this increases the background mortality rate by 2.076%, or of 118 (70% x 2%) to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.415%. While the worst case estimate is above the 1% threshold for detectability, using the Natural England advised rates for other wind farms³ (70% x 2%) the impact is below this level. Indeed, even if displacement occurred at rate of 33% (with 10% mortality) or mortality was 4.8% (at 70% displaced), this figure would be below the 1% of detectability.
- 666. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the winter period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.8.1.1.4.3.4 Spring migration

667. During the spring migration period, the combined maximum mean peak abundance in the Array Areas and 2km buffer was 8,033 individuals. The estimated number of razorbills subject to mortality during the spring migration period due to displacement at 30-70% displaced and 1-10% mortality from the Array Areas (and 2km buffer;) is between 24 and 562 individuals, with 112 at 70% displaced and 2% mortality.

Mortality	Disp	laceme	ent (%)							
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	8	16	24	32	40	48	56	64	72	80
2	16	32	48	64	80	96	112	129	145	161
3	24	48	72	96	121	145	169	193	217	241
4	32	64	96	129	161	193	225	257	289	321
5	40	80	121	161	201	241	281	321	362	402
6	48	96	145	193	241	289	337	386	434	482
7	56	112	169	225	281	337	394	450	506	562
8	64	129	193	257	321	386	450	514	578	643
9	72	145	217	289	362	434	506	578	651	723
10	80	161	241	321	402	482	562	643	723	803
20	161	321	482	643	803	964	1125	1285	1446	1607

Table 12-64 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Razorbill in the Array Areas (and 2km Buffer) During Spring Migration That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).



Mortality	Disp	laceme	ent (%)							
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
30	241	482	723	964	1205	1446	1687	1928	2169	2410
50	402	803	1205	1607	2009	2410	2812	3214	3615	4017
75	603	1205	1808	2410	3013	3615	4218	4820	5423	6026
100	803	1607	2410	3214	4017	4820	5624	6427	7231	8033

- 668. At the average baseline mortality rate for razorbill of 0.1302 the number of individuals expected to die in the spring migration season is 77,062 (591,875 x 0.1302). The addition of a combined maximum of 562 (70% x 10%) individuals to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.730%, or of 112 (70% x 2%) to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.146%, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 669. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the spring migration period, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of medium sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **minor adverse**.

12.8.1.1.4.3.5 Annual

670. Summed across the year the combined maximum mean peak abundance in the Array Areas and 2km buffer was 28,886 individuals. The estimated number of razorbills subject to mortality combined across all seasons due to displacement at 30-70% displaced and 1-10% mortality from the Array Areas (and 2km buffer; **Table 12-65**) is between 87 and 2,022 individuals, with 404 at 70% displaced and 2% mortality.

Table 12-65 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Razorbill in the Array Areas (and 2km buffer) Combined Across the Breeding, Autumn Migration, Winter and Spring Migration Periods That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

Mort	Displa	Displacement (%)													
ality (%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100					
1	29	58	87	116	144	173	202	231	260	289					
2	58	116	173	231	289	347	404	462	520	578					
3	87	173	260	347	433	520	607	693	780	867					
4	116	231	347	462	578	693	809	924	1040	1155					
5	144	289	433	578	722	867	1011	1155	1300	1444					
6	173	347	520	693	867	1040	1213	1387	1560	1733					
7	202	404	607	809	1011	1213	1415	1618	1820	2022					

Unrestricted 004300153



Mort	Displa	cement	(%)							
ality (%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
8	231	462	693	924	1155	1387	1618	1849	2080	2311
9	260	520	780	1040	1300	1560	1820	2080	2340	2600
10	289	578	867	1155	1444	1733	2022	2311	2600	2889
20	578	1155	1733	2311	2889	3466	4044	4622	5199	5777
30	867	1733	2600	3466	4333	5199	6066	6933	7799	8666
50	1444	2889	4333	5777	7222	8666	10110	11554	12999	14443
75	2166	4333	6499	8666	10832	1299 9	15165	17332	19498	21665
100	2889	5777	8666	11554	14443	1733 2	20220	23109	25997	28886

- 671. At the average baseline mortality rate for razorbill of 0.1302 the number of individuals from the largest BDMPS population expected to die across all seasons is 77,062 (591,875 x 0.1302). The addition of a combined maximum of 2,022 (70% x 10%) individuals to this increases the background mortality rate by 2.624%, or of 404 (70% x 2%) to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.525%. While the worst case estimate is above the 1% threshold for detectability, using the Natural England advised rates for other wind farms³ (70% x 2%) the impact is below this level. Indeed, even if mortality was 3.8% (at 70% displaced), this figure would be below the 1% of detectability.
- 672. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 222,251 (1,707,000 x 0.1302). The addition of a maximum of 2,022 (70% x 10%) to this increases the mortality rate by 0.91%, or of 404 (70% x 2%) to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.182%, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability..
- 673. The sensitivity of razorbill to operational displacement is considered to be medium and the magnitude of annual impact at the Array Areas is negligible to low. Therefore the significance of the annual effect on razorbill due to operational displacement at the Array Areas is assessed as **minor adverse**.
- 674. A table summarising the razorbill operational displacement assessment is provided below (**Table 12-66**). There are no changes in any conclusions from the original ES, revised values from original ES are highlighted in yellow for reference.

Unrestricted 004300153



12.8.1.1.4.4 Summary of Operational Displacement Assessment - Razorbill

Table 12-66 Summary of Razorbill Operational Displacement Assessment for DBS East, DBS West and Combined (Projects).

Razorbills		DBS East	DBS West	Projects
Baseline average	e annual mortality			<mark>0.1302</mark>
	Reference population (subadult component of nonbreeding BDMPS)			<mark>158,031</mark>
Breeding	Displacement mortality (@70% x 2%)	8	<mark>32</mark>	<mark>40</mark>
3603011	Increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.038</mark>	<mark>0.155</mark>	<mark>0.193</mark>
	Significance	Minor	Minor	Minor
	Reference population			<mark>591,875</mark>
Autumn	Displacement mortality (@70% x 2%)	<mark>66</mark>	<mark>68</mark>	<mark>134</mark>
Autumn	Increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.085</mark>	<mark>0.089</mark>	<mark>0.174</mark>
	Significance	Minor	Minor	Minor
	Reference population			<mark>218,621</mark>
Winter	Displacement mortality (@70% x 2%)	<mark>47</mark>	<mark>71</mark>	<mark>118</mark>
winter	Increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.166</mark>	<mark>0.249</mark>	<mark>0.415</mark>
	Significance	Minor	Minor	Minor
	Reference population			<mark>591,875</mark>
Carries	Displacement mortality (@70% x 2%)	<mark>50</mark>	<mark>62</mark>	<mark>112</mark>
Spring	Increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.065</mark>	<mark>0.081</mark>	<mark>0.146</mark>
	Significance	Minor	Minor	Minor
Annual (RDMDS)	Reference population (Nonbreeding season BDMPS)			<mark>591,875</mark>
	Displacement mortality (@70% x 2%)	<mark>171</mark>	<mark>234</mark>	<mark>404</mark>

Unrestricted 004300153



Razorbills		DBS East	DBS West	Projects
	Increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.222</mark>	<mark>0.303</mark>	<mark>0.525</mark>
	Significance	Minor	Minor	Minor
	Biogeographical population		-	L,707,000
Annual	Displacement mortality (@70% x 10%)	<mark>171</mark>	<mark>234</mark>	<mark>404</mark>
(biogeographic)	Increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.077</mark>	<mark>0.105</mark>	<mark>0.182</mark>
	Significance	Minor	Minor	Minor

12.8.1.1.5 Puffin

12.8.1.1.5.1 Significance of Effect - DBS East in Isolation

12.8.1.1.5.1.1 Breeding Season

675. During the breeding season, the maximum mean peak abundance in the DBS East Array Area and 2km buffer was 63 individuals. The estimated number of puffins subject to mortality during the breeding period due to displacement at 30-70% displaced and 1-10% mortality from DBS East (and 2km buffer; **Table 12-67**) is between zero and four individuals, with 1 at 70% displaced and 2% mortality.

Table 12-67 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Puffins in the DBS East Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Breeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

Mortality	Displ	aceme	nt (%)							
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
2	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
3	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2
4	0	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	3
5	0	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	3
6	0	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	4
7	0	1	1	2	2	3	3	4	4	4
8	1	1	2	2	3	3	4	4	5	5
9	1	1	2	2	3	3	4	5	5	6
10	1	1	2	3	3	4	4	5	6	6
20	1	3	4	5	6	8	9	10	11	13
30	2	4	6	8	9	11	13	15	17	19

Unrestricted 004300153



Mortality	Displ	aceme	nt (%)							
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
50	3	6	9	13	16	19	22	25	28	32
75	5	9	14	19	24	28	33	38	43	47
100	6	13	19	25	32	38	44	50	57	63

- 676. At the average baseline mortality rate for adult puffin of 0.119 the number of individuals expected to die in the breeding season is 103,374 (868,689 x 0.119). The addition of a maximum of 4 (70% x 10%) to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.004%, or of 1 (70% x 2%) to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.001%, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 677. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible**.

12.8.1.1.5.1.2 Nonbreeding Season

678. During the nonbreeding season, the maximum mean peak abundance in the DBS East Array Area and 2km buffer was 179 individuals. The estimated number of puffins subject to mortality during the nonbreeding period due to displacement at 30-70% displaced and 1-10% mortality from DBS East (and 2km buffer; **Table 12-68**) is between 1 and 13 individuals, with three at 70% displaced and 2% mortality.

Mortality **Displacement (%)** (%)

Table 12-68 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Puffins in the DBS East Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Nonbreeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

Unrestricted



Mortality	Dis	place	ment (%	%)						
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
20	4	7	11	14	18	21	25	29	32	36
30	5	11	16	21	27	32	38	43	48	54
50	9	18	27	36	45	54	63	72	81	90
75	13	27	40	54	67	81	94	107	121	134
100	18	36	54	72	90	107	125	143	161	179

- 679. At the average baseline mortality rate for puffin of 0.119 the number of individuals expected to die in the nonbreeding period is 27,603 (231,958 x 0.119). The addition of a maximum of 13 (70% x 10%) to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.045%, or of 3 (70% x 2%) to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.009%, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 680. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the nonbreeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible**.

12.8.1.1.5.1.3 Annual

681. Summed across the year the maximum mean peak abundance in the DBS East Array Area and 2km buffer was 242 individuals. The estimated number of puffins subject to mortality combined across all seasons due to displacement at 30-70% displaced and 1-10% mortality from the DBS East (and 2km buffer; **Table 12-69**) is between 1 and 17 individuals, with three at 70% displaced and 2% mortality.

Unrestricted 004300153



Table 12-69 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Puffins in the DBS East Array Area (and 2km Buffer) Combined Across the Breeding and Nonbreeding Seasons That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

Mortality	Dis	placem	ent (%)							
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2
2	0	1	1	2	2	3	3	4	4	5
3	1	1	2	3	4	4	5	6	7	7
4	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
5	1	2	4	5	6	7	8	10	11	12
6	1	3	4	6	7	9	10	12	13	15
7	2	3	5	7	8	10	12	14	15	17
8	2	4	6	8	10	12	14	15	17	19
9	2	4	7	9	11	13	15	17	20	22
10	2	5	7	10	12	15	17	19	22	24
20	5	10	15	19	24	29	34	39	44	48
30	7	15	22	29	36	44	51	58	65	73
50	12	24	36	48	61	73	85	97	109	121
75	18	36	54	73	91	109	127	145	163	182
100	24	48	73	97	121	145	169	194	218	242

- 682. At the average baseline mortality rate for puffin of 0.119 the number of individuals from the largest BDMPS population expected to die across all seasons is 103,374 (868,689 x 0. 119). The addition of a maximum of 17 (70% x 10%) to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.016%, or of 3 (70% x 2%) to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.003%, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 683. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 1,408,960 (11,840,000 x 0. 119). The addition of a maximum of 17 (70% x 10%) to this increases the mortality rate by 0.001%, or of 3 (70% x 2%) to this increases the background mortality rate by <0.001%, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 684. The sensitivity of puffin to operational displacement is considered to be low and the magnitude of annual impact at DBS East is negligible, therefore the annual effect on puffin due to operational displacement at DBS East is assessed as **negligible**.



12.8.1.1.5.2 Significance of Effect – DBS West in Isolation 12.8.1.1.5.2.1 Breeding Season

685. During the breeding season, the maximum mean peak abundance in the DBS West Array Area and 2km buffer was 109 individuals. The estimated number of puffins subject to mortality during the breeding period due to displacement at 30-70% displaced and 1-10% mortality from DBS West (and 2km buffer; **Table 12-70**) is between zero and eight individuals, with 2 at 70% displaced and 2% mortality.

Mortality **Displacement (%)** (%)

Table 12-70 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Puffins in the DBS West Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Breeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

686. At the average baseline mortality rate for adult puffin of 0.119 the number of individuals expected to die in the breeding season is 103,374 (868,689 x 0.119). The addition of a maximum of eight (70 x 10%) to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.007%, or of 2 (70% x 2%) to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.001%, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability.



687. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the breeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible**.

12.8.1.1.5.2.2 Nonbreeding Season

688. During the nonbreeding season, the maximum mean peak abundance in the DBS West Array Area and 2km buffer was 198 individuals. The estimated number of puffins subject to mortality during the nonbreeding period due to displacement at 30-70% displaced and 1-10% mortality from DBS West (and 2km buffer; **Table 12-71**) is between 1 and 14 individuals, with three at 70% displaced and 2% mortality.

Table 12-71 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Puffins in the DBS West Array Area (and 2km Buffer) During the Nonbreeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

Mortality	Dis	place	ment (%	6)						
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2
2	0	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	4	4
3	1	1	2	2	3	4	4	5	5	6
4	1	2	2	3	4	5	6	6	7	8
5	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
6	1	2	4	5	6	7	8	10	11	12
7	1	3	4	6	7	8	10	11	12	14
8	2	3	5	6	8	10	11	13	14	16
9	2	4	5	7	9	11	12	14	16	18
10	2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20
20	4	8	12	16	20	24	28	32	36	40
30	6	12	18	24	30	36	42	48	53	59
50	10	20	30	40	50	59	69	79	89	99
75	15	30	45	59	74	89	104	119	134	149
100	20	40	59	79	99	119	139	158	178	198

689. At the average baseline mortality rate for puffin of 0.119 the number of individuals expected to die in the nonbreeding period is 27,603 (231,958 x 0.119). The addition of a maximum of 14 (70% x 10%) to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.050%, or of 3 (70% x 2%) to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.010%, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability.



690. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the nonbreeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible**.

12.8.1.1.5.2.3 Annual

691. Summed across the year the maximum mean peak abundance in the DBS West Array Area and 2km buffer was 307 individuals. The estimated number of puffins subject to mortality combined across all seasons due to displacement at 30-70% displaced and 1-10% mortality from DBS West (and 2km buffer; **Table 12-72**) is between one and 21 individuals, with four at 70% displaced and 2% mortality.

Table 12-72 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Puffins in the DBS West Array Area (and 2km Buffer) Across the Breeding and Nonbreeding Season That May Be Subject To Mortality (Highlighted).

Mortality	Dis	placem	ent (%)							
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	0	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	3	3
2	1	1	2	2	3	4	4	5	6	6
3	1	2	3	4	5	6	6	7	8	9
4	1	2	4	5	6	7	9	10	11	12
5	2	3	5	6	8	9	11	12	14	15
6	2	4	6	7	9	11	13	15	17	18
7	2	4	6	9	11	13	15	17	19	21
8	2	5	7	10	12	15	17	20	22	25
9	3	6	8	11	14	17	19	22	25	28
10	3	6	9	12	15	18	21	25	28	31
20	6	12	18	25	31	37	43	49	55	61
30	9	18	28	37	46	55	64	74	83	92
50	15	31	46	61	77	92	107	123	138	154
75	23	46	69	92	115	138	161	184	207	230
100	31	61	92	123	154	184	215	246	276	307



- 692. At the average baseline mortality rate for puffin of 0.119 the number of individuals from the largest BDMPS population expected to die across all seasons 103,374 (868,689 x 0. 119). The addition of a maximum of 21 (70% x 10%) to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.021%, or of 4 (70% x 2%) to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.004%, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 693. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is 1,408,960 (11,840,000 x 0. 1190). The addition of a maximum of 21 (70% x 10%) to this increases the mortality rate by 0.002%, or of 4 (70% x 2%) to this increases the background mortality rate by <0.001%, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 694. The sensitivity of puffin to operational displacement is considered to be low and the magnitude of annual impact at DBS West is negligible, therefore the annual effect on puffin due to operational displacement at DBS West is assessed as **negligible**.

12.8.1.1.5.3 Significance of Effect – DBS East and DBS West Together 12.8.1.1.5.3.1 Breeding Season

695. During the breeding season, the combined maximum mean peak abundance in the Array Areas and 2km buffer was 172 individuals. The estimated number of puffins subject to mortality during the breeding period due to displacement at 30-70% displaced and 1-10% mortality from the Array Areas (and 2km buffers; **Table 12-73**) is between one and 12 individuals, with two at 70% displaced and 2% mortality.

Mortality	Displacement (%)												
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100			
1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2			
2	0	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	3			
3	1	1	2	2	3	3	4	4	5	5			
4	1	1	2	3	3	4	5	6	6	7			
5	1	2	3	3	4	5	6	7	8	9			
6	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
7	1	2	4	5	6	7	8	10	11	12			
8	1	3	4	6	7	8	10	11	12	14			
9	2	3	5	6	8	9	11	12	14	15			
10	2	3	5	7	9	10	12	14	15	17			
20	3	7	10	14	17	21	24	28	31	34			

Table 12-73 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Puffins in the Array Areas (and 2km Buffer) During the Breeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

Unrestricted 004300153



Dogger	Bank So	uth Offsł	nore W	ind Fo	arms

Mortality	Dis	Displacement (%)								
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
30	5	10	15	21	26	31	36	41	46	52
50	9	17	26	34	43	52	60	69	77	86
75	13	26	39	52	65	77	90	103	116	129
100	17	34	52	69	86	103	120	138	155	172

- 696. At the average baseline mortality rate for adult puffin of 0.119 the number of individuals expected to die in the breeding season is 103,374 (868,689 x 0.119). The addition of a combined maximum of 12 (70% x 10%) individuals to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.012%, or the addition of 2 (70% x 2%) to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.002%, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 697. The sensitivity of puffin to operational displacement is considered to be low and the magnitude of effect in the breeding season at the Array Areas is negligible to low. Therefore, the significance of the breeding season effect on puffin due to operational displacement at the Array Areas is assessed as **negligible**.

12.8.1.1.5.3.2 Nonbreeding season

698. During the nonbreeding season, the combined maximum mean peak abundance in the Array Areas and 2km buffer was 377 individuals. The estimated number of puffins subject to mortality during the nonbreeding period due to displacement at 30-70% displaced and 1-10% mortality from the Array Areas (and 2km buffers; **Table 12-74**) is between one and 26 individuals, with five at 70% displaced and 2% mortality.

Mortality	Dis	placem	ent (%)							
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	0	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	4
2	1	2	2	3	4	5	5	6	7	8
3	1	2	3	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
4	2	3	5	6	8	9	11	12	14	15
5	2	4	6	8	9	11	13	15	17	19
6	2	5	7	9	11	14	16	18	20	23
7	3	5	8	11	13	16	18	21	24	26
8	3	6	9	12	15	18	21	24	27	30
9	3	7	10	14	17	20	24	27	31	34

Table 12-74 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Puffins in the Array Areas (and 2km Buffer) During the Nonbreeding Season That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

Unrestricted

004300153



Mortality	Dis	Displacement (%)								
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
10	4	8	11	15	19	23	26	30	34	38
20	8	15	23	30	38	45	53	60	68	75
30	11	23	34	45	57	68	79	90	102	113
50	19	38	57	75	94	113	132	151	170	189
75	28	57	85	113	141	170	198	226	254	283
100	38	75	113	151	189	226	264	302	339	377

- 699. At the average baseline mortality rate for puffin of 0.119 the number of individuals expected to die in the nonbreeding period is 27,603 (231,958 x 0.119). The addition of a combined maximum of 26 (70% x 10%) individuals to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.096%, or of 5 (70% x 2%) to this would increase the mortality rate by 0.019%, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 700. This magnitude of increase in mortality would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable. Therefore, during the nonbreeding season, the magnitude of impact is assessed as negligible. As the species is of low sensitivity to displacement, the effect significance is **negligible**.

12.8.1.1.5.3.3 Annual

701. Summed across the year the combined maximum mean peak abundance in the Array Areas and 2km buffer was 549 individuals. The estimated number of puffins subject to mortality combined across all seasons due to displacement at 30-70% displaced and 1-10% mortality from the Array Areas (and 2km buffers; **Table 12-75**) is between two 2 and 38 individuals, with eight at 70% displaced and 2% mortality.

Unrestricted 004300153



Table 12-75 Displacement Matrix Presenting the Number of Puffins in the Array Areas (and 2km Buffer) Combined Across the Breeding and Nonbreeding Seasons That May Be Subject to Mortality (Highlighted).

Mortality	Displ	aceme	ent (%)							
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	0	1	2	2	3	3	4	4	5	5
2	1	2	3	4	5	7	8	9	10	11
3	2	3	5	7	8	10	12	13	15	16
4	2	4	7	9	11	13	15	18	20	22
5	3	5	8	11	14	16	19	22	25	27
6	3	7	10	13	16	20	23	26	30	33
7	4	8	12	15	19	23	27	31	35	38
8	4	9	13	18	22	26	31	35	40	44
9	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	44	49
10	5	11	16	22	27	33	38	44	49	55
20	11	22	33	44	55	66	77	88	99	110
30	16	33	49	66	82	99	115	132	148	165
50	27	55	82	110	137	165	192	220	247	275
75	41	82	124	165	206	247	288	329	371	412
100	55	110	165	220	275	329	384	439	494	549

- 702. At the average baseline mortality rate for puffin of 0.119 the number of individuals from the largest BDMPS population expected to die across all seasons is 103,374 (868,689 x 0.119). The addition of a combined maximum of 38 (70% x 10%) individuals to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.037%, or of 8 (70% x 2%) to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.007%, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 703. The number of individuals from the biogeographic population expected to die across all seasons is $1,408,960 (11,840,000 \times 0.119)$. The addition of a maximum of $38 (70\% \times 10\%)$ to this increases the mortality rate by 0.003%, or of $8 (70\% \times 2\%)$ to this increases the background mortality rate by 0.001%, both of which are below the 1% threshold for detectability.
- 704. The sensitivity of puffin to displacement is considered to be low and the magnitude of annual impact at the Array Areas is negligible, therefore the annual effect on puffin due to operational displacement at the Array Areas is assessed as **negligible**.



705. A table summarising the puffin operational displacement assessment is provided below (**Table 12-76**). There are no changes in any conclusions from the original ES, revised values from original ES are highlighted in yellow for reference.

12.8.1.1.5.4 Summary of Operational Displacement Assessment - Puffin

Table 12-76 Summary of Puffin Operational Displacement Assessment for DBS East, DBS West and Combined (Projects).

Puffin		DBS East	DBS West	Projects
Baseline average ar	nual mortality			<mark>0.119</mark>
Breeding season	Reference population			868,689
	Displacement mortality (@70% x 2%)	1	2	2
	Increase in background mortality (%)	0.001	0.001	<mark>0.002</mark>
	Significance	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible
Non breeding	Reference population			<mark>231,958</mark>
season	Displacement mortality (@70% x 2%)	<mark>3</mark>	3	5
	Increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.009</mark>	<mark>0.010</mark>	<mark>0.019</mark>
	Significance	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible
Annual (BDMPS)	Reference population (Nonbreeding season BDMPS)			868,689
	Displacement mortality (@70% x 2%)	<mark>3</mark>	<mark>4</mark>	8
	Increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.003</mark>	<mark>0.004</mark>	<mark>0.007</mark>
	Significance	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible
Annual	Biogeographical population		1	1,840,000
(biogeographic)	Displacement mortality (@70% x 2%)	<mark>3</mark>	4	8
	Increase in background mortality (%)	<mark><0.001</mark>	<mark><0.001</mark>	<mark>0.001</mark>
	Significance	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible

Unrestricted 004300153



12.8.2 Impact 4 Indirect Impacts Through Effects on Habitats and Prey Species During Operation

- 706. Indirect disturbance and displacement of birds may occur during the operational phase of the Projects if there are impacts on prey species and the habitats of prey species. These indirect effects include those resulting from the production of underwater noise (e.g. the turning of the wind turbines), electro-magnetic fields (EMF) and the generation of suspended sediments (e.g. due to scour or maintenance activities) that may alter the behaviour or availability of bird prey species.
- 707. Underwater noise and EMF may cause fish and mobile invertebrates to avoid the operational area and also affect their physiology and behaviour. Suspended sediments may cause fish and mobile invertebrates to avoid the operational area and may smother and hide immobile benthic prey. These mechanisms could result in less prey being available within the operational area to foraging seabirds. Changes in fish and invertebrate communities due to changes in presence of hard substrate (resulting in colonisation by epifauna) may also occur, and changes in fishing activity could influence the communities present.
- 708. With regard to noise impacts on fish, **Volume 7, Chapter 10 Fish and Shellfish Ecology (application ref: 7.10)** discusses the potential impacts upon fish relevant to ornithology as prey species. With regard to behavioural changes related to underwater noise impacts on fish during the operation of the Projects, **Volume 7, Chapter 10 Fish and Shellfish Ecology (application ref: 7.10)** concludes that the effects on fish and shellfish species to operational noise is considered to be of minor adverse significance. With a non-significant effect on fish that are bird prey species, it is concluded that the indirect effects on seabirds occurring in or around the Array Areas and Offshore Export Cable Corridor during the operational phase would result in no more than low magnitude effects for species of no more than medium sensitivity and would thus result in effects of **minor adverse** significance. This would be the case irrespective of whether just one of DBS East or DBS West is built, or both.



- 709. With regard to changes to the seabed and to suspended sediment levels, **Volume 7, Chapter 9 Benthic and Intertidal Ecology (application ref: 7.9)** discusses the nature of any change and impact. It identifies that changes in physical processes and temporary habitat disturbance would be of negligible significance. With negligible effects on benthic habitats and species, it is concluded that the indirect impact on seabirds occurring in or around the Array Areas and Offshore Export Cable Corridor during the operational phase would result in no more than negligible magnitude effects for species of no more than medium sensitivity and would thus result in a **negligible** to **minor adverse** effect. This would be the case irrespective of whether just one of DBS East or DBS West is built, or both.
- 710. With regard to EMF effects, these are identified as very localised (<10m; Gill *et al.* 2005) with the majority of cables being buried to up to 1m depth, further reducing the effect of EMF. The magnitude of impact is considered negligible on benthic communities, and so it is concluded that the indirect effect on seabirds occurring in or around the Array Areas and Offshore Export Cable Corridor during the operational phase would result in no more than negligible magnitude effects for species of no more than medium sensitivity and would thus result in a **negligible** effect.
- 711. Very little is known about potential long-term changes in invertebrate and fish communities due to colonisation of hard substrate and changes in fishing pressures associated with offshore wind farms. Whilst the impact of the colonisation of introduced hard substrate is seen as a minor adverse effect in terms of benthic ecology (as it is a change from the baseline conditions), the consequences for seabirds may be positive or negative locally (i.e. this may increase or decrease local prey abundance and availability) but are predicted to be of negligible magnitude and **negligible** significance (either beneficially or adversely) in EIA terms.

12.8.3 Impact 5 Collision Risk

712. There is a potential risk of collision with the wind turbine rotors and associated infrastructure resulting in injury or fatality to birds which fly through the Array Areas whilst foraging for food or commuting between breeding sites and foraging areas.



713. Initial screening for species to include in the collision risk assessment is presented in **Table 12-77**. Species where risk of collision was assessed as very low were screened out (e.g. low flying species such as auks). Species where risk of collision was assessed as low were screened out if their abundance in flight was very low or low (e.g. species associated with nearshore waters such as terns). To be precautionary, all species where the risk of collision was assessed as medium or high were screened in, even if their abundance in flight was very low.

Fhree.							
Receptor	Risk of collisions ¹	Estimated density of birds in flight at the Array Areas	Screening Result (IN or OUT)				

Table 12-77 Collision Risk Screening. Species Were Screened in on the Basis of Columns Two and Three.

		Array Areas	OUT)
Fulmar	Low	High	IN
Gannet	Medium	Medium	IN
Arctic skua	Medium	Very low	IN
Great skua	Medium	Very low	IN
Puffin	Very low	Low	Ουτ
Razorbill	Very low	High	ουτ
Common guillemot	Very low	High	ουτ
Common tern	Medium	Very low	IN
Arctic tern	Medium	Very low	IN
Kittiwake	Medium	High	IN
Little gull	Medium	Very low	IN
Common gull	Medium	Very low	IN
Lesser black-backed gull	High	Low	IN
Herring gull	High	Low	IN
Great black-backed gull	High	Low	IN

Unrestricted 004300153



Receptor	Risk of collisions ¹	Estimated density of birds in flight at the Array Areas	Screening Result (IN or OUT)			
¹ Garthe and Hüppop, 2004; Furness and Wade, 2012, Wade <i>et al.</i> , 2016						

- 714. Collision Risk Modelling (CRM) has been used in this assessment to estimate the risk to birds associated with the Projects' Array Areas. CRM, using the Band model (Band, 2012) has been used to produce predictions of mortality for particular species across biological seasons and annually. The approach to CRM is summarised here and further details are provided in **Volume 7**, **Appendix 12-9 Collision Risk Modelling Inputs and Outputs (application ref: 7.12.12.9**).
- 715. The assessment is based on collision risk for each key seabird species from the Band CRM Option 2. This option uses generic estimates of flight height for each species based on the percentage of birds flying at Potential Collision Height (PCH) derived from data from a number of offshore wind farms, presented in Johnston *et al.* (2014a, 2014b).
- 716. Modelling was undertaken based on the two indicative wind turbine maximum design scenarios described in Volume 7, Chapter 5 Project Description (application ref: 7.5), i.e. the 200 small wind turbines scenario (turbine parameter set 1, Volume 7, Appendix 12-9 Collision Risk Modelling Inputs and Outputs (application ref: 7.12.12.9) and the 113 large wind turbines scenario (turbine parameter set 2, Volume 7, Appendix 12-9 Collision Risk Modelling Inputs and Outputs and Outputs (application ref: 7.12.12.9).
- 717. CRM has been run using the stochastic Band model (Band, 2012; Caneco et al. 2022), incorporating uncertainty in flight densities, flight height, bird dimensions (wingspan, body length, flight speed), avoidance rates and nocturnal activity. Input parameters used for the CRM were those advised by Natural England (**Table 12-78**); and proportions at collision height (based on the generic dataset in Johnston *et al.* 2014a, 2014b).



Table 12-78 Parameters Used in CRM

Species	Avoidance Rate (± SD)	Nocturnal activity factor % (± SD)	
Arctic skua	99.0	0%	
Great skua	99.0	0%	
Common gull / Little gull	99.5 (±0.0002)	50%	
Fulmar	99.0	75%	
Gannet (@ 70% macro- avoidance)	99.79*# (±0.0003)		
Gannet (@ 65% macro- avoidance)	99.755 (±0.0003)	8% (±0.10)	
Gannet (@ 85% macro- avoidance)	99.895 (±0.0003)		
Great black-backed gull	99.4 (±0.0004)	37.5% (±0.0637)	
Herring gull	99.4 (±0.0004)	37.5% (±0.0637)	
Kittiwake	99.3 (±0.0003)	37.5% (±0.0637)	
Lesser black-backed gull	99.4 (±0.0004)	37.5% (±0.0637)	
Arctic tern	99.0	0	
Common tern	99.0	0	

*Natural England [RR-039] requested gannet collisions be presented across the range of macro avoidance from 65% to 85% in addition to the central value of 70%.

RSPB requested gannet collision estimates using their preferred avoidance rate of 99.3%. These are presented in **Volume 7, Appendix 12-9 Collision Risk Modelling Inputs and Outputs** (application ref: 7.12.12.9).

718. Seasonal mortality predictions (seasons defined in **Table 12-15**) have been compared to the relevant BDMPS populations and the predicted increases in background mortality which could result have been estimated.



719. An overview of annual collision risk estimates for all species is presented in **Table 12-79** for the Small and Large wind turbine scenarios. Species initially screened in but for which very low collisions were predicted (i.e. <=3) were screened out of further assessment as there was no justification for further analysis (Arctic skua, great skua, fulmar, common gull, little gull, Arctic tern, common tern and commic tern). The exceptions to this screening on the basis of low collision estimates were the large gulls which are considered for the Projects alone in order to be included in the cumulative section, in line with recent offshore wind farm assessments.



Table 12-79 Annual Collision Risk Estimates for the Array Areas Combined (Deterministic Band Model Option 2, Avoidance Rates as per Table 12-78). Values are the Mean Number of Birds and 95% Confidence Intervals. For Species Screened in for Assessment, the 200 Small Turbine Scenario Gave the Highest Collision Risks.

Species	200 Small wind turbine scenario	114 Large wind turbine scenario
Arctic skua	0.02 (0-0.10)	0.01 (0-0.09)
Great skua	0.13 (0-0.74)	0.10 (0-0.57)
Fulmar	1.5 (0-5.62)	1.15 (0-4.31)
Gannet (@ 70% macro- avoidance)	12.22 (3.97-24.48)	8.67 (2.98-17.1)
Gannet (@ 65% macro- avoidance)	14.26 (4.63-28.56)	10.11 (3.48-19.95)
Gannet (@ 85% macro- avoidance)	6.11 (1.99-12.24)	4.34 (1.49-8.55)
Kittiwake	299.94 (150.92-540.51)	222.07 (110.04-396.99)
Lesser black-backed gull	1.21 (0-4.37)	0.87 (0-3.05)
Herring gull	2.18 (0-5.18)	1.52 (0-3.57)
Great black-backed gull	4.84 (0.74-11.5)	3.35 (0.5-8.16)
Common gull	2.52 (0-7.84)	1.88 (0-5.76)
Little gull	0	0
Arctic tern	0.41 (0-2.23)	0.30 (0-1.61)
Common tern	0.09 (0-0.3)	0.07 (0-0.22)
Commic tern*	1.25 (0.1-3.76)	0.94 (0.1-2.77)

* 'Commic tern' is used to include common terns and Arctic terns, for instances where these species were not readily identified to species level from the survey data



720. The annual collision risk estimates presented in **Table 12-79** were used to identify the worst-case scenario for each species scoped in. For all species, the worst-case design was the more numerous small wind turbine scenario. The species considered further in relation to worst case collision risks are gannet, kittiwake, lesser black-backed gull, great black-backed gull and herring gull. The seasonal collision estimates for these species are presented in **Table 12-80**. Impacts have been assessed in relation to the relevant BDMPS (Natural England [RR-039]).


Table 12-80 Seasonal Collision Risk Estimates for the Worst Case Scenario Wind Turbine (1). Values are the Mean Number of Predicted Collisions and 95% Confidence Intervals Derived From 5,000 stochastic simulations.

Species	Array Area	Breeding season	Autumn migration	Nonbreeding / Winter	Spring Migration	Annual
Gannet (@70% macro avoidance)	East	3.44 (0.76- 7.78)	1.61 (0.34- 3.81)	0 (0-0)	0.11 (0-0.55)	5.16 (1.15-11.44)
	West	4.81 (1.02- 11.39)	2.11 (0.31- 5.92)	0 (0-0)	0.14 (0-0.63)	7.06 (1.37-17.77)
	East+West	8.25 (2.71- 16.09)	3.72 (1.12- 8.13)	0 (0-0)	0.25 (0-0.88)	12.22 (3.97- 24.48)
Gannet (@65% macro avoidance)	East	4.01 (0.89- 9.08)	1.88 (0.40- 4.44)	0 (0-0)	0.13 (0-0.64)	6.02 (1.34-13.35)
	West	5.61 (1.19- 13.29)	2.46 (0.36- 6.91)	0 (0-0)	0.16 (0-0.73)	8.24 (1.60-20.73)
	East+West	9.62 (3.16- 18.77)	4.34 (1.31- 9.48)	0 (0-0)	0.29 (0-1.03)	14.26 (4.63- 28.56)
	East	1.72 (0.38- 3.89)	0.81 (0.17- 1.91)	0 (0-0)	0.06 (0-0.28)	2.58 (0.58-5.72)

Unrestricted 004300153



Species	Array Area	Breeding season	Autumn migration	Nonbreeding / Winter	Spring Migration	Annual
Gannet (@85% macro	West	2.41 (0.51- 5.70)	1.06 (0.16- 2.96)	0 (0-0)	0.07 (0-0.32)	3.53 (0.69-8.89)
avoidance)	East+West	4.13 (1.36- 8.05)	1.87 (0.56- 4.07)	0 (0-0)	0.13 (0-0.44)	6.11 (1.99-12.24)
Great black-	East	0.92 (0-4.42)	0.33 (0-2.05)	2.76 (0-7.66)	2.43 (0-7.35)	3.68 (0.58-9.83)
backed gull	West	0 (0-0)	0.82 (0-3.81)	1.16 (0-4.92)	0.34 (0-1.99)	1.16 (0-4.92)
	East+West	0.92 (0-4.42)	1.15 (0-4.43)	3.92 (0-9.76)	2.77 (0-7.95)	4.84 (0.74-11.5)
Herring gull	East	0 (0-0)	0.29 (0-1.79)	0.57 (0-2.08)	0.28 (0-1.78)	0.57 (0-2.08)
	West	0.76 (0-2.62)	0.55 (0-2.72)	0.85 (0-2.81)	0.3 (0-1.82)	1.61 (0-4.22)
	East+West	0.76 (0-2.62)	0.84 (0-3.26)	1.42 (0-3.78)	0.58 (0-2.43)	2.18 (0-5.18)
Kittiwake	East	83.31 (42.28- 168.51)	41.39 (14.65- 82.93)	0 (0-0)	14.59 (6.83- 28.02)	139.3 (66.87- 261.27)
	West	107.83 (36.94- 280.76)	37.92 (9.54- 81.91)	0 (0-0)	14.88 (7.07- 26.47)	160.64 (55.88- 372.05)

Unrestricted

Page 254



Species	Array Area	Breeding season	Autumn migration	Nonbreeding / Winter	Spring Migration	Annual
	East+West	191.14 (96.22- 378.38)	79.31 (30.47- 143.14)	0 (0-0)	29.47 (16.89- 47.35)	299.94 (150.92- 540.51)
Lesser black- backed gull	East	0.93 (0-3.82)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0.93 (0-3.82)
	West	0.28 (0-1.7)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0.28 (0-1.7)
	East+West	1.21 (0-4.37)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	1.21 (0-4.37)

Unrestricted 004300153



12.8.3.1 Collision Impacts

- 721. The impacts of mortality caused by collisions on the populations are assessed in terms of the change in the baseline mortality rate which could result. The baseline mortality rates used in the collision risk impact assessment are those recommended by Natural England in their updated guidance (**Table 12-17**).
- 722. The percentage increases in background mortality rates of seasonal and annual populations due to predicted collisions with the Projects' wind turbines (presented in **Table 12-80**), summed across DBS East and DBS West, are shown in **Table 12-81** to **Table 12-87** for all species using avoidance rates recommended by Natural England (**Table 12-78**). Revised values from the original ES are highlighted in yellow for reference.
- 723. The sensitivity of gannet to collision risk is considered to be medium and collision estimates in all seasons, considered separately on DBS East and DBS West and together, generated increases in background mortality of less than 1% for either BDMPS or biogeographic populations (Table 12-81, Table 12-82 and Table 12-83), resulting in impact magnitudes assessed as negligible. Therefore, the significance of the effect of collisions on gannet at the Array Areas is assessed as minor adverse.
- 724. The sensitivity of kittiwake to collision risk is considered to be medium and the collision estimates in all seasons, considered separately on DBS East and DBS West and together, generated increases in background mortality of less than 1% for either BDMPS or biogeographic populations (**Table 12-84**), resulting in impact magnitudes assessed as negligible. Therefore, the significance of the effect of collisions on kittiwake at the Array Areas is assessed as **minor adverse**.
- 725. The sensitivity of lesser black-backed gull to collision risk is considered to be high and the collision estimates in all seasons, considered separately on DBS East and DBS West and together, generated increases in background mortality of less than 1% for either BDMPS or biogeographic populations (**Table 12-85**), resulting in impact magnitudes assessed as negligible. Therefore, the significance of the effect of collisions on lesser black-backed gull at the Array Areas is assessed as **minor adverse**.



- 726. The sensitivity of herring gull to collision risk is considered to be high and the collision estimates in all seasons, considered separately on DBS East and DBS West and together, generated increases in background mortality of less than 1% for either BDMPS or biogeographic populations (), resulting in impact magnitudes assessed as negligible. Therefore, the significance of the effect of collisions on herring gull at the Array Areas is assessed as **minor adverse**.
- 727. The sensitivity of great black-backed gull to collision risk is considered to be high and the collision estimates in all seasons, considered separately on DBS East and DBS West and together, generated increases in background mortality of less than 1% for either BDMPS or biogeographic populations (), resulting in impact magnitudes assessed as negligible. Therefore, the significance of the effect of collisions on great black-backed gull at the Array Areas is assessed as **minor adverse**.



Table 12-81 Predicted Gannet Collisions at 70% macro-avoidance at DBS East and DBS West combined and Percentage Increases in the Background Mortality Rate of Seasonal and Annual Populations for the Worst Case Wind Turbine Scenario (1). Note that the Annual Mortalities Have Been Assessed Against Both the Biogeographic Populations and the Largest BDMPS in Order to Indicate the Range of Likely Effects

Species			Collisions			
Gannet	Gannet			Upper c.i.		
Baseline average annual mor	tality			<mark>0.1866</mark>		
	Reference population			<mark>400,326</mark>		
Breeding season	Seasonal mortality	8.25	2.71	16.09		
	Increase in background mortality (%)	0.011	<mark>0.004</mark>	<mark>0.022</mark>		
	Reference population			<mark>456,299</mark>		
Autumn	Seasonal mortality	3.72	1.12	8.13		
	Increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.004</mark>	<mark>0.001</mark>	<mark>0.010</mark>		
	Reference population	248,385				
Spring	Seasonal mortality	0.25	0	0.88		
	Increase in background mortality (%)	0.001	<mark>0.000</mark>	<mark>0.002</mark>		
	Reference population	456		<mark>456,299</mark>		
Annual largest BDMPS	Annual mortality	12.22	3.97	24.48		
	Increase in background mortality (%)	0.014	<mark>0.005</mark>	<mark>0.029</mark>		
	Reference population	1,180,000				
Annual bio-geographic	Annual mortality	12.22	3.97	24.48		
	Increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.006</mark>	<mark>0.002</mark>	<mark>0.011</mark>		

Unrestricted

Page 258



Table 12-82 Predicted Gannet Collisions at 65% macro-avoidance at DBS East and DBS West combined and Percentage Increases in the Background Mortality Rate of Seasonal and Annual Populations for the Worst Case Wind Turbine Scenario (1). Note that the Annual Mortalities Have Been Assessed Against Both the Biogeographic Populations and the Largest BDMPS in Order to Indicate the Range of Likely Effects

Species			Collisions			
Gannet			Lower c.i.	Upper c.i.		
Baseline average annual mor	tality			<mark>0.1866</mark>		
	Reference population			<mark>400,326</mark>		
Breeding season	Seasonal mortality	<mark>9.62</mark>	<mark>3.16</mark>	<mark>18.77</mark>		
	Increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.013</mark>	<mark>0.004</mark>	<mark>0.025</mark>		
	Reference population	456,299				
Autumn	Seasonal mortality	<mark>4.34</mark>	<mark>1.31</mark>	<mark>9.48</mark>		
	Increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.005</mark>	0.002	<mark>0.011</mark>		
	Reference population	248,385				
Spring	Seasonal mortality	<mark>0.29</mark>	O	<mark>1.03</mark>		
	Increase in background mortality (%)	0.001	<mark>O</mark>	<mark>0.002</mark>		
	Reference population			<mark>456,299</mark>		
Annual largest BDMPS	Annual mortality	<mark>14.26</mark>	<mark>4.63</mark>	<mark>28.56</mark>		
	Increase in background mortality (%)		<mark>0.005</mark>	<mark>0.034</mark>		
	Reference population	1,180,000				
Annual bio-geographic	Annual mortality	<mark>14.26</mark>	<mark>4.63</mark>	<mark>28.56</mark>		
	Increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.006</mark>	0.002	0.013		

Unrestricted 004300153



Table 12-83 Predicted Gannet Collisions at 85% macro-avoidance at DBS East and DBS West combined and Percentage Increases in the Background Mortality Rate of Seasonal and Annual Populations for the Worst Case Wind Turbine Scenario (1). Note that the Annual Mortalities Have Been Assessed Against Both the Biogeographic Populations and the Largest BDMPS in Order to Indicate the Range of Likely Effects

Species	Collisions			
Gannet	Mean	Lower c.i.	Upper c.i.	
Baseline average annual r	nortality			<mark>0.1866</mark>
	Reference population			<mark>400,326</mark>
Breeding season	Seasonal mortality	<mark>4.13</mark>	<mark>1.36</mark>	<mark>8.08</mark>
	Increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.006</mark>	<mark>0.002</mark>	<mark>0.011</mark>
	Reference population	456,299		
Autumn	Seasonal mortality	<mark>1.86</mark>	<mark>0.56</mark>	<mark>4.07</mark>
	Increase in background mortality (%)	0.002	<mark>0.001</mark>	<mark>0.005</mark>
	Reference population	248,835		
Spring	Seasonal mortality	0.13	<mark>O</mark>	<mark>0.44</mark>
	Increase in background mortality (%)	< <u>0.001</u>	<mark>O</mark>	<mark>0.001</mark>
	Reference population			<mark>456,299</mark>
Annual largest BDMPS	Annual mortality	<mark>6.11</mark>	<mark>1.99</mark>	<mark>12.24</mark>
	Increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.007</mark>	<mark>0.002</mark>	<mark>0.014</mark>
	Reference population			1,180,000
Annual bio-geographic	Annual mortality	<mark>6.11</mark>	<mark>1.99</mark>	<mark>12.24</mark>
	Increase in background mortality (%)	0.003	<mark>0.001</mark>	<mark>0.006</mark>

Unrestricted

Page 260



Table 12-84 Predicted Kittiwake Collisions at DBS East and DBS West combined and Percentage Increases in the Background Mortality Rate of Seasonal and Annual Populations for the Worst Case Wind Turbine Scenario (1). Note that the Annual Mortalities Have Been Assessed Against Both the Biogeographic Populations and the Largest BDMPS in Order to Indicate the Range of Likely Effects

Species	Collisions			
Kittiwake	Mean	Lower c.i.	Upper c.i.	
Baseline average annual	mortality			<mark>0.1577</mark>
	Reference population			839,456
Breeding season	Seasonal mortality	<mark>191.14</mark>	<mark>96.22</mark>	<mark>378.38</mark>
	Increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.144</mark>	<mark>0.073</mark>	<mark>0.286</mark>
	Reference population			<mark>829,938</mark>
Autumn	Seasonal mortality	<mark>79.32</mark>	<mark>30.47</mark>	<mark>143.14</mark>
	Increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.061</mark>	<mark>0.023</mark>	<mark>0.109</mark>
	Reference population	627,816		
Spring	Seasonal mortality	<mark>29.48</mark>	<mark>16.89</mark>	<mark>47.35</mark>
	Increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.030</mark>	<mark>0.017</mark>	<mark>0.048</mark>
	Reference population	839,		
Annual largest BDMPS	Annual mortality	<mark>299.94</mark>	<mark>150.92</mark>	<mark>540.51</mark>
	Increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.227</mark>	<mark>0.114</mark>	<mark>0.408</mark>
	Reference population			5,100,000
Annual bio-geographic	Annual mortality	<mark>299.94</mark>	<mark>150.92</mark>	<mark>540.51</mark>
	Increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.037</mark>	<mark>0.019</mark>	<mark>0.067</mark>

Unrestricted 004300153



Table 12-85 Predicted Lesser Black-Backed Gull Collisions at DBS East and DBS West combined and Percentage Increases in the Background Mortality Rate of Seasonal and Annual Populations for the Worst Case Wind Turbine Scenario (1). Note that the Annual Mortalities Have Been Assessed Against Both the Biogeographic Populations and the Largest BDMPS in Order to Indicate the Range of Likely Effects

Species	Collisions				
Lesser Black-backed Gull		Mean	Lower c.i.	Upper c.i.	
Baseline average annual mortality				<mark>0.1237</mark>	
	Reference population			<mark>51,233</mark>	
Breeding season	Seasonal mortality	1.21	0	4.37	
	Increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.0019</mark>	<mark>0.000</mark>	<mark>0.069</mark>	
	Reference population			<mark>209,006</mark>	
Autumn	Seasonal mortality	0	0	0	
	Increase in background mortality (%)	0	0	0	
	Reference population	<mark>39,313</mark>			
Winter / nonbreeding	Seasonal mortality	0	0	0	
	Increase in background mortality (%)	0	0	0	
	Reference population			<mark>197,482</mark>	
Spring	Seasonal mortality	0	0	0	
	Increase in background mortality (%)	0	0	0	
	Reference population	209,006			
Annual largest BDMPS	Annual mortality	1.21	0	4.37	
	Increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.005</mark>	<mark>0.000</mark>	<mark>0.017</mark>	
	Reference population			864,000	
Annual bio-geographic	Annual mortality	1.21	0	4.37	
	Increase in background mortality (%)	0.001	0.000	0.004	

Unrestricted

Page 262



Table 12-86 Predicted Herring Gull Collisions at DBS East and DBS West combined and Percentage Increases in the Background Mortality Rate of Seasonal and Annual Populations for the Worst Case Wind Turbine Scenario (1). Note that the Annual Mortalities Have Been Assessed Against Both the Biogeographic Populations and the Largest BDMPS in Order to Indicate the Range of Likely Effects

Species	Collisions			
Herring gull	Mean	Lower c.i.	Upper c.i.	
Baseline average annual mor			<mark>0.1724</mark>	
	Reference population			<mark>324,887</mark>
Breeding season	Seasonal mortality	0.76	0	2.62
	Increase in background mortality (%)	0.001	<mark>0.000</mark>	<mark>0.005</mark>
	Reference population	<mark>466,510</mark>		
Winter / nonbreeding	Seasonal mortality	1.42	0	3.78
	Increase in background mortality (%)	0.002	<mark>0.000</mark>	<mark>0.005</mark>
	Reference population			<mark>466,510</mark>
Annual largest BDMPS	Annual mortality	2.18	0	5.18
	Increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.003</mark>	<mark>0.000</mark>	<mark>0.006</mark>
	Reference population			1,098,000
Annual bio-geographic	Annual mortality	2.18	0	5.18
	Increase in background mortality (%)	0.001	<mark>0.000</mark>	<mark>0.003</mark>



Table 12-87 Predicted Great Black-Backed Gull Collisions at DBS East and DBS West combined and Percentage Increases in the Background Mortality Rate of Seasonal and Annual Populations for the Worst Case Wind Turbine Scenario (1). Note that the Annual Mortalities Have Been Assessed Against Both the Biogeographic Populations and the Largest BDMPS in Order to Indicate the Range of Likely Effects

Species		Collisions			
Great black-backed gull	Mean	Lower c.i.	Upper c.i.		
Baseline average annual mo	ortality			<mark>0.0969</mark>	
	Reference population			<mark>25,915</mark>	
Breeding season	Seasonal mortality	0.92	0	4.42	
	Increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.037</mark>	<mark>0.000</mark>	<mark>0.176</mark>	
	Reference population	<mark>91,398</mark>			
Winter / nonbreeding	Seasonal mortality	3.92	0	9.76	
	Increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.044</mark>	<mark>0.000</mark>	<mark>0.110</mark>	
	Reference population			<mark>91,398</mark>	
Annual largest BDMPS	Annual mortality	4.84	0.74	11.5	
	Increase in background mortality (%)	<mark>0.055</mark>	<mark>0.008</mark>	<mark>0.130</mark>	
	Reference population			235,000	
Annual bio-geographic	Annual mortality	4.84	0.74	11.5	
	Increase in background mortality (%)	0.021	<mark>0.003</mark>	0.051	



12.8.4 Impact 6 Combined Operational Collision Risk and Displacement

12.8.4.1 Gannet

- 728. Being the only species that has been scoped in for collision and displacement impacts from the Projects, it is possible that these impacts could combine to adversely affect gannet populations. Obviously, they would not act on the same individuals, as birds which do not enter a windfarm cannot be subject to mortality from collision, and vice versa. Avoidance rates for offshore wind farms, used in Collision Risk Modelling, take account of macro-avoidance (where birds avoid entering a wind farm), meso-avoidance (avoidance of the rotor swept zone within a windfarm), and micro-avoidance (avoiding wind turbine blades). Thus, birds which exhibit macro-avoidance could be subject to mortality from displacement.
- 729. As noted above (**Table 12-82**), the estimated annual gannet collision mortality at a worst case macro-avoidance rate of 65% for associated with the Projects is 14.26 (4.63-28.56). The estimated mortality for gannet displacement is up to 27 birds at a displacement rate of 80% and mortality of 1% (**Table 12-37**).
- 730. Based on the largest Annual BDMPS for the UK North Sea and Channel, of 456,299 (Natural England [RR-039]) and baseline mortality of 0.1866, 85,145 individual gannets would be expected to die each year; the addition of a maximum of 41.26 (14.26+27) individuals would represent a 0.048% increase in annual mortality. Based on the annual biogeographic population with connectivity to UK waters of 1,180,000 (Furness, 2015), 220,188 individuals would be expected to die; the addition of a maximum of 41.26 individuals would represent a 0.0187% increase in mortality. These magnitudes of increase would not materially alter the background mortality of the population and would be undetectable.
- 731. The sensitivity of gannet to combined displacement and collision risk is considered to be medium and the magnitude of annual impact at the Projects is negligible when assessed against the BDMPS population and negligible when assessed against the biogeographic population. Therefore, the significance of the annual effect on gannet due to combined displacement and collision risk from the Projects is assessed as **minor adverse**.
- 732. The same conclusion can also be made with respect to the potential individual effects from DBS East and DBS West, since these would necessarily be of lower magnitude.

Unrestricted 004300153



12.9 Potential Effects During Decommissioning

- 733. There are two potential impacts that may affect bird populations during the decommissioning phase of the Projects that have been screened in. These are:
 - Impact 7: Direct disturbance and displacement; and
 - Impact 8: Indirect impacts through effects on habitats and prey species.
- 734. Any impacts generated during the decommissioning phase of the Projects are expected to be similar, or of reduced magnitude, to those generated during the construction phase, as certain activities such as piling would not be required. This is because it would generally involve a reverse of the construction phase through the removal of some structures and materials installed.
- 735. It is anticipated that any future activities would be programmed in close consultation with the relevant statutory marine and nature conservation bodies, to allow any future guidance and best practice to be incorporated to minimise any potential impacts.

12.9.1 Impact 7 Direct Disturbance and Displacement

- 736. Disturbance and displacement may occur due to the presence of working vessels and crews and the movement, noise and light associated with these. Such activities have already been assessed for relevant bird species in the construction section above and have been found to be of negligible to minor negative magnitude. Any impacts generated during the decommissioning phase of the Projects are expected to be similar, but likely of reduced magnitude compared to those generated during the construction phase; therefore, the magnitude of impact is predicted to be negligible. The resultant effect on a range of species of low to medium sensitivity to disturbance is of **negligible** to **minor adverse** significance.
- 737. Disturbance and displacement may also occur due to the presence of the wind turbines themselves, albeit to a decreasing extent as turbines are removed from the sites. Thus during decommissioning the magnitudes of disturbance and displacement due to wind turbines would decline from those assessed for operational displacement (section 12.8.1) to zero, passing through the magnitude levels assessed for construction displacement (section 12.7.1). Both of these assessments assessed impacts to be of **negligible** or **minor adverse** significance, and therefore the same conclusions apply to decommissioning.

Unrestricted 004300153



12.9.2 Impact 8 Indirect Impacts Through Effects on Habitats and Prey Species

- 738. Indirect impacts such as displacement of seabird prey species are likely to occur as structures are removed. Such activities have already been assessed for relevant bird species in the construction section above and have been found to be of negligible magnitude.
- 739. Any impacts generated during the decommissioning phase of the Projects are expected to be similar, but likely of reduced magnitude compared to those generated during the construction phase; therefore, the magnitude of impact is predicted to be negligible. The resultant effect on a range of species of low to high sensitivity to disturbance is of **negligible** to **minor adverse** significance.



12.10Cumulative Effects Assessment

- 740. Cumulative effects can be defined as incremental effects on that same receptor from other proposed and reasonably foreseeable schemes and developments in combination with the Projects. This includes all schemes that result in a comparative effect that is not intrinsically considered as part of the existing environment and is not limited to offshore wind schemes.
- 741. The Cumulative Effects Assessment for offshore ornithology has been undertaken in accordance with the approach that has been applied by the Secretary of State when consenting offshore wind farms and confirmed in recent consent decisions. It has also followed the approach set out in guidance from the Planning Inspectorate (Planning Inspectorate, 2015) and from the renewables industry (RenewableUK, 2013 and The Crown Estate, 2019) and Natural England (Parker *et al.* 2022).
- 742. Wherever possible the cumulative assessment is quantitative (i.e. where data in an appropriate format have been obtained). However, the level of data available and the ease with which impacts can be combined across the wind farms is variable, reflecting the availability of relevant data for older schemes and the approach to assessment taken. Where this has not been possible (e.g. for older schemes), a qualitative assessment has been undertaken.
- 743. The effects for the Projects have been added to the totals from the published cumulative assessment for the most recently agreed and / or consented wind farms. At the time of producing the original ES, the most recent cumulative wind farm totals were for the Dudgeon and Sheringham Extension Projects, Hornsea Project Four and Rampion 2, but for this update North Falls, Five Estuaries and Outer Dowsing have also submitted their applications. Hence those assessments have been reviewed and form the basis of the assessment provided here.

12.10.1 Screening for Cumulative Effects

744. The potential impacts arising from the Projects that were screened in for assessment for the project alone have also been considered in **Table 12-88** for the potential for cumulative impacts with other schemes (as defined below).



Table 12-88 Screening for Potential Cumulative Effects.

Impact	Potential for Cumulative impact	Data Confidence ¹	Rationale
Construction			
Impact 1: Direct Disturbance and Displacement	No (except red- throated diver)	High	There is a very low likelihood of temporal and spatial coincidence of disturbance / displacement from other schemes in the area acting on the same populations. However, Natural England [RR-039] requested consideration of potential cumulative construction effects on red- throated diver. This has now been added.
Impact 2: Indirect Impacts Through Effects on Habitats and Prey Species	No	Low	The likelihood that there would be a cumulative impact is low because the contribution from the Projects is small and it is dependent on a temporal and spatial co-incidence of disturbance / displacement from other schemes.
Operation	1	1	
Impact 3: Direct Disturbance and Displacement	Yes	High	There is a sufficient likelihood of a cumulative impact to justify a detailed, quantitative cumulative impact assessment.
Impact 4: Indirect Impacts Through Effects on Habitats and Prey Species	No	Low	The likelihood that there would be a cumulative impact is low because the contribution from the Projects is small.

Unrestricted 004300153



Impact	Potential for Cumulative impact	Data Confidence ¹	Rationale		
Impact 5: Collision Risk	Yes	High	There is a sufficient likelihood of a cumulative impact to justify a detailed, quantitative cumulative impact assessment.		
Impact 6: Combined Operational Collision Risk and Displacement	Yes	Medium	There is a sufficient likelihood of a cumulative impact to justify quantitative cumulative impact assessment.		
Decommissioni	ng				
Impact 7: Direct Disturbance and Displacement	No	Low	The likelihood that there would be a cumulative impact is low because the contribution from the Projects is small and it is dependent on a temporal and spatial co-incidence of disturbance / displacement from other schemes.		
Impact 8: Indirect Impacts Through Effects on Habitats and Prey Species	No	Low	The likelihood that there would be a cumulative impact is low because the contribution from the Projects is small and it is dependent on a temporal and spatial co-incidence of disturbance / displacement from other schemes.		
¹ Indicates the degree of confidence; medium / low reflects lower confidence in older					

assessments which used variable methods.

12.10.2 Schemes Considered for Cumulative Impacts

745. The schemes selected as relevant to the assessment of impacts to offshore ornithology are based upon an initial screening exercise undertaken on a long list. Each scheme has been considered and scoped in or out on the basis of effect-receptor pathway, data confidence and the temporal and spatial scales involved.

Unrestricted

Page 270



- 746. In addition, other offshore wind farms, the classes of schemes that could potentially be considered for the cumulative assessment of offshore ornithological receptors include:
 - Marine aggregate extraction;
 - Oil and gas exploration and extraction;
 - Sub-sea cables and pipelines; and
 - Commercial shipping.
- 747. With respect to these activities, the cumulative assessment takes into account the fact that birds are expected to already be habituated to long-term, on-going activities and therefore these may be considered to be part of the baseline conditions, and therefore it is important to avoid double-counting or exaggeration of potential impacts. On this basis it is not expected that the Projects will contribute to cumulative effects with the activities in the above list, and therefore these have been scoped out and the cumulative assessment is focused on offshore wind farms.
- 748. The identification of offshore wind farms to include in the cumulative assessment of offshore ornithological receptors has been based on:
 - Approved schemes;
 - Constructed schemes;
 - Approved but as yet unconstructed schemes; and
 - Schemes for which an application has been submitted, determination is currently under consideration and which may be consented before the proposed Projects.
- 749. The wind farms listed in **Table 12-89** (which are those located in the North Sea or English Channel and are therefore functionally within the same area of potential effect as the Projects) have been assigned to four tiers as follows:
 - Tier 1: Schemes that are built and operational;
 - Tier 2: Schemes under construction;
 - Tier 3: Consented schemes; and
 - Tier 4: Application submitted or in planning.



Table 12-89 Schemes Considered Within the Offshore Ornithology Cumulative Effect Assessment.

Tier	Scheme Name	Distance to Array Areas (km)
1	Beatrice	460
1	Beatrice Demonstrator	460
1	Blyth Demonstration Project	174
1	Dudgeon	122
1	East Anglia ONE	230
1	European Offshore Wind Deployment Centre	346
1	Galloper	263
1	Greater Gabbard	265
1	Gunfleet Sands	294
1	Hornsea Project One	44
1	Hornsea Project Two	41
1	Humber Gateway	120
1	Hywind	349
1	Kentish Flats	335
1	Kentish Flats Extension	326
1	Kincardine	327
1	Lincs	154
1	London Array	297
1	Lynn and Inner Dowsing (LID)	164
1	Methil	320
1	Moray East	450
1	Race Bank	134
1	Rampion	431
1	Scroby Sands	189
1	Sheringham Shoal	143
1	Teesside	155
1	Thanet	323
1	Triton Knoll	114
1	Westermost Rough	112
2	Dogger Bank A & B	8
2	Moray West	456
2	Neart na Gaoithe	277
2	Seagreen A and B	269
3	Dogger Bank C and Sofia	35

Unrestricted 004300153



Tier	Scheme Name	Distance to Array Areas (km)
3	East Anglia One North	216
3	East Anglia Three	188
3	East Anglia Two	230
3	Hornsea Project Three – revised	45
3	Inch Cape	288
3	Norfolk Boreas	143
3	Norfolk Vanguard	153
3	Hornsea Project Four	41
4	Berwick Bank	229
4	Sheringham and Dudgeon Extension Projects	116
4	Rampion 2	437
4	ForthWind Offshore Wind Demonstration Project - phase 1	318
4	North Falls	262
4	Five Estuaries	263
4	Outer Dowsing	81
4	Dogger Bank D	68

750. Dogger Bank D has been included, but is scoped out as there is insufficient information to include this in the assessment.

12.10.3 Impact 9 Cumulative Assessment of Construction Effects on Red-throated Diver

751. Natural England [RR-039] advised that cumulative disturbance and displacement impacts should be considered for red-throated diver. As discussed in section 12.7.1.4.1, the estimated magnitude of impact on red-throated divers in the Greater Wash SPA as a result of construction vessel disturbance from the Projects alone was 0.2 mortalities per year.



- 752. Outer Dowsing Wind Farm presented a cumulative estimate for wind farms in the southern North Sea which predicted that up to 64 red-throated divers could be at risk of construction displacement mortality, based on a 10% mortality rate⁴. However, a mortality rate of 1% is considered to be suitably precautionary for this species, hence a cumulative mortality of 6.6 (including the Projects' impact of 0.2) would be appropriate. The BDMPS population was estimated to be 13,276 and the biogeographic population was estimated to be 27,000 (Furness 2015). The increases in background mortality (at a baseline rate of 0.2277) for these two populations from the addition of 6.6 would be 0.218% and 0.107% respectively.
- 753. These increases in mortality due to construction displacement would be undetectable and are assessed as negligible. As the species is of high sensitivity to disturbance, the impact significance is **minor adverse**.
- Natural England [RR-039] stated an additional concern in relation to 754. disturbance and displacement of red-throated divers from 'the more persistent presence of offshore wind farm related vessels'. Natural England also advised that appropriate mitigation (should an impact be identified) would be to use existing shipping lanes until 2km beyond the edge of the Greater Wash SPA. Given the existing levels of shipping within the relevant region of the Greater Wash SPA (see Volume 7, Figure 12-1 Existing Shipping Traffic Through the Greater Wash SPA (application ref: 7.12.1)) it is clear that the addition of a small number of additional vessel movements through the SPA in relation to the Projects will not make any meaningful difference to the levels of disturbance already occurring. Nonetheless, the Applicants are prepared to commit to Natural England's request to use existing shipping lanes whilst crossing the SPA and as far as 2km beyond the SPA boundary for vessel movements which are transiting the SPA, as well as standard practice mitigation for this species (e.g. avoiding flocks of divers, etc.). The embedded mitigation measures detailed in **Table 12-4** have been updated to include this commitment.

12.10.4 Impact 10 Cumulative Assessment of Operational Displacement

755. The species assessed for project alone operational displacement impacts were gannet, guillemot, razorbill and puffin.

⁴ https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-content/ipc/uploads/projects/EN010130/EN010130-000354-6.1.12%20Chapter%2012%200ffshore%20and%20Intertidal%20Ornithology.pdf



756. A review of the BDMPS regions for each species indicated that for gannet, guillemot, razorbill and puffin all the wind farms identified for inclusion in the cumulative assessment in **Table 12-89** have the potential to contribute to cumulative effects.

12.10.4.1 Gannet

757. The annual total of gannets at risk of displacement from the Projects' Array Areas was estimated as 3,295 individuals (summing the seasonal peak means within the arrays and 2km buffers) for the annual period (Table 12-36). Table 12-90 provides the annual number of birds at risk of displacement from offshore wind farms in the UK North Sea and Channel BDMPS, which has been calculated as 59,007. When the estimated numbers at risk due to the Projects are included, this would increase to 62,302 birds. Values updated from the original ES are highlighted in yellow.

Table 12-90 Cumulative Numbers of Gannets at Risk of Displacement from Offshore Wind farms i	n
the North Sea.	

Tier	Wind farm	Breeding season	Autumn migration	Spring migration	Annual
1	Beatrice	151	0	0	151
1	Beatrice Demonstrator	-	-	-	-
1	Blyth Demonstration Project	-	-	-	-
1	Dudgeon	53	25	11	89
1	East Anglia ONE	161	3638	76	3875
1	European Offshore Wind De- ployment Centre	35	5	0	40
1	Galloper	360	907	276	1543
1	Greater Gabbard	252	69	105	426
1	Gunfleet Sands	0	12	9	21
1	Hornsea Project One	671	694	250	1615
1	Hornsea Project Two	457	1140	124	1721
1	Humber Gateway	-	-	-	-
1	Hywind	10	0	4	14
1	Kentish Flats	-	-	-	-
1	Kentish Flats Extension	0	13	0	13
1	Kincardine	120	0	0	120
1	Lincs & LID	-	-	-	-
1	London Array	-	-	-	-
1	Methil	23	0	0	23
1	Moray East	564	292	27	883
1	Race Bank	92	32	29	153
1	Rampion	0	590	0	590

Unrestricted 004300153



Tier	Wind farm	Breeding season	Autumn migration	Spring migration	Annual
1	Scroby Sands	-	-	-	-
1	Sheringham Shoal	47	31	2	80
1	Teesside	1	0	0	1
1	Thanet	-	-	-	-
1	Triton Knoll	211	15	24	250
1	Westermost Rough	-	-	-	-
2	Dogger Bank A and B	1155	2048	394	3597
2	Moray West	2827	439	144	3410
2	Neart na Gaoithe	1987	552	281	2820
2	Seagreen A and B	2956	664	332	3952
3	Dogger Bank C and Sofia	2250	887	464	3601
3	East Anglia One North	149	468	44	661
3	East Anglia Three	412	1269	524	2205
3	East Anglia Two	192	891	192	1275
3	Hornsea Project Three (re- vised)	1333	984	524	2841
3	Inch Cape	2398	703	212	3313
3	Norfolk Boreas	1229	1723	526	3478
3	Norfolk Vanguard	271	2453	437	3161
3	Hornsea Project Four	976	790	401	2167
4	Sheringham and Dudgeon Ex- tension Projects	440	638	58	1136
4	Berwick Bank	4735	1500	269	6504
4	ForthWind Offshore Wind Demonstration Project - phase 1	64	26	44	134
4	Rampion 2	111	102	123	336
4	North Falls (ES)	<mark>69</mark>	<mark>287</mark>	<mark>290</mark>	<mark>646</mark>
4	Five Estuaries (ES)	233	640	67	<mark>940</mark>
4	Outer Dowsing (ES)	<mark>635</mark>	<mark>496</mark>	91	<mark>1222</mark>
	Total (other schemes)	27630	<mark>25023</mark>	<mark>6354</mark>	<mark>59007</mark>
	DBS East	755	776	75	1606
	DBS West	805	798	86	1689
	DBS East and West together	<mark>1560</mark>	<mark>1574</mark>	<mark>161</mark>	<mark>3295</mark>
	Total (all schemes + DBS East)	<mark>28385</mark>	<mark>25799</mark>	<mark>6429</mark>	<mark>60613</mark>
	Total (all schemes + DBS West)	<mark>28435</mark>	<mark>25821</mark>	<mark>6440</mark>	<mark>60696</mark>
	Total (all schemes + DBS East and West together)	<mark>29190</mark>	<mark>26597</mark>	<mark>6515</mark>	<mark>62302</mark>

Unrestricted

Page 276



758. At displacement rates of 60-80% and 1% mortality of displaced birds, between 374 and 498 gannets would be predicted to die from cumulative displacement (**Table 12-91**).

Mortalit	Disp	laceme	ent (%)							
y (%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	62	125	187	249	312	374	436	498	561	623
2	125	249	374	498	623	748	872	997	1121	1246
3	187	374	561	748	935	1121	1308	1495	1682	1869
4	249	498	748	997	1246	1495	1744	1994	2243	2492
5	312	623	935	1246	1558	1869	2181	2492	2804	3115
6	374	748	1121	1495	1869	2243	2617	2990	3364	3738
7	436	872	1308	1744	2181	2617	3053	3489	3925	4361
8	498	997	1495	1994	2492	2990	3489	3987	4486	4984
9	561	1121	1682	2243	2804	3364	3925	4486	5046	5607
10	623	1246	1869	2492	3115	3738	4361	4984	5607	6230
20	124 6	2492	3738	4984	6230	7476	8722	9968	1121 4	1246 0
30	186 9	3738	5607	7476	9345	1121 4	1308 3	1495 2	1682 2	1869 1
50	311 5	6230	9345	1246 0	1557 6	1869 1	2180 6	2492 1	2803 6	3115 1
75	467	9345	1401 8	1869 1	2336	2803 6	3270 9	3738 1	4205 4	4672 7
100	623 0	1246 0	1869 1	2492 1	3115 1	3738 1	4361 1	4984 2	5607 2	6230 2

Table 12-91 Cumulative Annual Displacement Matrix for Gannet.

759. Based on the largest Annual BDMPS of 456,299 and baseline mortality of 0.1866, 85,145 individual gannets would be expected to die each year; the addition of a maximum of 498 (80% displaced 1% mortality) individuals would represent a 0.586% increase in annual mortality. Based on the annual biogeographic population with connectivity to UK waters of 1,180,000 (Furness 2015), 220,188 individuals would be expected to die; the addition of a maximum of 498 individuals would represent a 0.227% increase in mortality.



- 760. Thus, precautionary estimates of the number of gannets which might die as a result of cumulative displacement from offshore wind farms in the UK North Sea and Channel BDMPS represent a change in mortality rate of no more than 0.586%, which would not be detectable at the population level. In reality, given the wide-ranging behaviour of gannets and their flexibility in foraging behaviour, displacement from offshore wind farms is considered unlikely to cause any increase in the population mortality rate.
- 761. The magnitude of cumulative displacement for gannet is considered to be negligible and the impact significance of cumulative displacement on a receptor of low to medium sensitivity is **negligible** to **minor adverse**.

12.10.4.2 Guillemot

- The annual total of guillemots at risk of displacement from the Projects' 762. Array Areas is estimated as 60,438 individuals (summing the seasonal peak means within the arrays and 2km buffers) for the annual period (Table **12-49**).
- 763. The estimates of the total numbers of guillemots at risk of displacement from other offshore wind farms in the North Sea are included in **Table 12-92**. These totals omit wind farms for which no data are available (as indicated in the table), but they are also likely to over-estimate the numbers present due to the precautionary use of seasonal peak numbers at each site rather than average numbers, which is likely to lead to double counting as birds move through the North Sea. Values updated from the original ES are highlighted in yellow.

Table 12-92 Cumulative Numbers of Guillemots at Risk of Displacement from Offshore Wind farms in the North Sea.

Tier	Wind farm	Breeding season	Non- breeding season	Annual
1	Beatrice	13610	2755	16365
1	Beatrice Demonstrator	-	-	-
1	Blyth Demonstration Project	1220	1321	2541
1	Dudgeon	334	542	876
1	East Anglia ONE	274	640	914
1	European Offshore Wind Deployment Centre	547	225	772
1	Galloper	305	593	898
1	Greater Gabbard	345	548	893
1	Gunfleet Sands	0	363	363
1	Hornsea Project One	9836	8097	17933

Unrestricted 004300153



Tier	Wind farm	Breeding season	Non- breeding season	Annual
1	Hornsea Project Two	7735	13164	20899
1	Humber Gateway	99	138	237
1	Hywind	249	2136	2385
1	Kentish Flats	0	3	3
1	Kentish Flats Extension	0	4	4
1	Kincardine	632	0	632
1	Lincs & LID	582	814	1396
1	London Array	192	377	569
1	Methil	25	0	25
1	Moray East	9820	547	10367
1	Race Bank	361	708	1069
1	Rampion	10887	15536	26423
1	Scroby Sands	-	-	-
1	Sheringham Shoal	390	715	1105
1	Teesside	267	901	1168
1	Thanet	18	124	142
1	Triton Knoll	425	746	1171
1	Westermost Rough	347	486	833
2	Dogger Bank A and B	14886	16763	31649
2	Moray West	24426	38174	62600
2	Neart na Gaoithe	1755	3761	5516
2	Seagreen A and B	24724	8800	33524
3	Dogger Bank C and Sofia	8494	5969	14463
3	East Anglia One North	4183	1888	6071
3	East Anglia Three	1744	2859	4603
3	East Anglia Two	2077	1675	3752
3	Hornsea Project Three (revised)	13374	17772	31146
3	Inch Cape	4371	3177	7548
3	Norfolk Boreas	7767	13777	21544
3	Norfolk Vanguard	4320	4776	9096
3	Hornsea Project Four	9382	36965	46347
4	Sheringham and Dudgeon Extension Pro- jects	4924	15982	20906
4	, Berwick Bank	74154	44171	118325
4	ForthWind Offshore Wind Demonstration	417	401	818
4	Project - phase 1	174	F707	
4		154	5725	
4	North Falls (ES)		<u>5365</u>	6231
4	FIVE ESTUARIES (ES)		<mark>3698</mark>	<mark>4899</mark>

Unrestricted

Page 279



Tier	Wind farm	Breeding season	Non- breeding season	Annual
4	Outer Dowsing (ES)	<mark>16445</mark>	<mark>11208</mark>	<mark>27653</mark>
	Total (other schemes)	<mark>278164</mark>	<mark>294387</mark>	<mark>572531</mark>
	DBS East	<mark>9031</mark>	<mark>20530</mark>	<mark>29260</mark>
	DBS West	<mark>8783</mark>	<mark>22393</mark>	<mark>31177</mark>
	DBS East and West together	<mark>17814</mark>	<mark>42923</mark>	<mark>60438</mark>
	Total (all schemes + DBS East)	<mark>287195</mark>	<mark>314917</mark>	<mark>601791</mark>
	Total (all schemes + DBS West)	<mark>286947</mark>	<mark>316780</mark>	<mark>603708</mark>
	Total (all schemes + DBS East and West together)	<mark>295978</mark>	<mark>337310</mark>	<mark>632969</mark>

- 764. The estimated annual cumulative total of guillemots at risk of displacement from wind farms in the North Sea is 572,531 individuals, which rises to 632,969 individuals when including the Projects (**Table 12-92**).
- 765. Considering a range of displacement of 30 to 70%, and mortality of displaced individuals from 1 to 10%, the estimated number of guillemots subject to mortality from displacement throughout the year is between 1,899 and 44,308 (Table 12-93), and at 70% displaced and 2% mortality would be 8,862.

Mortality	Disp	laceme	ent (%)							
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	633	1266	1899	2532	3165	3798	4431	5064	5697	6330
2	1266	2532	3798	5064	6330	7596	8862	1012 7	1139 3	1265 9
3	1899	3798	5697	7596	9495	1139 3	1329 2	1519 1	1709 0	1898 9
4	2532	5064	7596	1012 7	1265 9	1519 1	1772 3	2025 5	2278 7	2531 9
5	3165	6330	9495	1265 9	1582 4	1898 9	2215 4	2531 9	2848 4	3164 8
6	3798	7596	1139 3	1519 1	1898 9	2278 7	2658 5	3038 2	3418 0	3797 8
7	4431	8862	1329 2	1772 3	2215 4	2658 5	3101 5	3544 6	3987 7	4430 8

Table 12-93 Cumulative Annual Displacement Matrix for Guillemot.

Unrestricted 004300153



Mortality	Disp	laceme	ent (%)							
(//)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
8	5064	1012 7	1519 1	2025 5	2531 9	3038 2	3544 6	4051 0	4557 4	5063 7
9	5697	1139 3	1709 0	2278 7	2848 4	3418 0	3987 7	4557 4	5127 0	5696 7
10	6330	1265 9	1898 9	2531 9	3164 8	3797 8	4430 8	5063 7	5696 7	6329 7
20	1265	2531	3797	5063	6329	7595	8861	1012	1139	1265
	9	9	8	7	7	6	6	75	34	94
30	1898	3797	5696	7595	9494	1139	1329	1519	1709	1898
	9	8	7	6	5	34	23	12	01	90
50	3164	6329	9494	1265	1582	1898	2215	2531	2848	3164
	8	7	5	94	42	90	39	87	36	84
75	4747	9494	1424	1898	2373	2848	3323	3797	4272	4747
	3	5	18	90	63	36	08	81	53	26
100	6329	1265	1898	2531	3164	3797	4430	5063	5696	6329
	7	94	90	87	84	81	78	74	71	68

- 766. The largest BDMPS for guillemot in UK North Sea and Channel waters is 2,045,078 (as advised by Natural England in their response to the Projects PEIR, see Volume 7, Appendix 12-1 Offshore Ornithology Consultation Responses (application ref: 7.12.12.1) for further information). At the average baseline mortality rate of 0.1405 the number of individuals expected to die in a year is 287,333 (2,045,078 x 0.1405). The addition of between 1,899 (30% x 1%) and 44,308 (70% x 10%) individuals to this increases the background mortality rate by between 0.66% and 15.42%, while 8,862 (70% x 2%) would increase the background mortality by 3.08%.
- 767. Based on the annual biogeographic population with connectivity to UK waters of 4,125,000 (Furness 2015), 579,563 individuals would be expected to die; the addition of between 1,899 (30% x 1%) and 44,308 (70% x 10%) individuals would increase this rate by 0.32% and 7.65%,while 8,862 (70% x 2%) would increase the background mortality by 1.53%.
- 768. This is a wide range of potential impacts, therefore further consideration has been given below to the evidence for displacement impacts in auks and the most likely realistic values within this range.

Unrestricted 004300153



- 769. Reviews of post-construction monitoring of auks at offshore wind farms have found evidence of avoidance behaviour, although avoidance was incomplete and variable between sites and was considered overall to be less than an average of 50% reduction in density compared to pre-construction data; it was also considered that auks might habituate to the presence of operational wind farms and there is some indication that displacement may decrease with wider spacing between turbines (Norfolk Vanguard Ltd 2019, Dierschke *et al.* 2016). This is particularly relevant since many of the reported studies have been conducted in older wind farms where turbines were separated by much smaller distances (e.g. 500-600m) than in current wind farm designs (>=1km separation).
- 770. Post-construction monitoring over two breeding seasons of the Beatrice wind farm in Scotland has found little indication that guillemots and razorbills avoid wind turbines, with spatial distributions within the wind farm no different from those that might be expected by chance (Trinder *et al.*, 2024).
- A detailed review of the potential effects of displacement from offshore 771. wind farms on auks (Norfolk Vanguard Ltd 2019) acknowledged that, while the impact of displacement of razorbills and guillemots by offshore wind farms is uncertain, the existing information indicates that 'natural' annual mortality of adults of these species (including due to impacts of existing human activities) is very low (10% and 6% per annum respectively), and that displacement of razorbills and guillemots by offshore wind farms is likely to be incomplete, may reduce with habituation, and offshore wind farms may in the long-term increase food availability to guillemots and razorbills through providing enhanced habitat for fish populations. This suggests that impacts of displacement from offshore wind farms are unlikely to represent levels of mortality anywhere near to the 6% or 10% total annual mortality that occurs due to the combination of many natural factors plus existing human activities. This evidence-based review recommended a displacement rate of 50% for auks within an offshore wind farm and 30% within a 1km buffer, to be combined with what was considered a highly precautionary maximum mortality rate of 1%.
- 772. Using these rates (displacement and mortality of 50% and 1% respectively), derived from a thorough review of evidence, would result in a predicted total mortality of 3,165 annually due to cumulative displacement. Assessed against the largest BDMPS 287,333 (2,045,078 x 0.1405) would increase the background mortality rate by 1.101% and against the biogeographic population 579,563 (4,125,000 x 1.405) by 0.546%.

Unrestricted 004300153



773. On the basis of these impact sizes, combined with the various additive sources of precaution in this assessment, indicates there is a very high likelihood that cumulative displacement would be at most around 1%. The magnitude of cumulative displacement for guillemots is assessed as low to medium. Therefore, as the species is of medium sensitivity to disturbance, the cumulative effect significance would be **minor to moderate adverse**. There is no change to the conclusion from the original ES.

12.10.4.3 Razorbill

- 774. This section provides the update to section 12.7.3.3 of the original ES.
- 775. The annual total of razorbills at risk of displacement from the Projects' Array Areas is estimated as 28,886 individuals across the migration-free breeding, autumn migration, winter, and spring migration periods; **Table 12-65**).
- 776. Estimates of the number of razorbills at risk of displacement from other offshore wind farms included in the cumulative assessment are given in **Table 12-94**. The cumulative totals omit wind farms for which no data are available (as indicated in table), but they are also likely to over-estimate the numbers present due to the precautionary use of seasonal peak numbers at each site rather than average numbers, which is likely to lead to double counting as birds move through the North Sea. Values updated from the original ES are highlighted in yellow.

Tier	Wind farm	Breeding season	Autumn migration	Nonbreed ing season	Spring migration	Annual
1	Beatrice	873	833	555	833	3094
1	Beatrice Demonstrator	-	-	-	-	-
1	Blyth Demonstration Project	121	91	61	91	364
1	Dudgeon	256	346	745	346	1693
1	East Anglia ONE	16	26	155	336	533
1	European Offshore Wind Deployment Centre	161	64	7	26	258
1	Galloper	44	43	106	394	587
1	Greater Gabbard	0	0	387	84	471

Table 12-94 Cumulative Numbers of Razorbills at Risk of Displacement from Offshore Wind farms in the North Sea.

Unrestricted

Page 283



Tier	Wind farm	Breeding season	Autumn migration	Nonbreed ing season	Spring migration	Annual
1	Gunfleet Sands	0	0	30	0	30
1	Hornsea Project One	1109	4812	1518	1803	9242
1	Hornsea Project Two	2511	4221	720	1668	9120
1	Humber Gateway	27	20	13	20	80
1	Hywind	30	719	10	-	759
1	Kentish Flats	-	-	-	-	-
1	Kentish Flats Extension	-	-	-	-	-
1	Kincardine	22	-	-	-	22
1	Lincs & LID	45	34	22	34	135
1	London Array	14	20	14	20	68
1	Methil	4	0	0	0	4
1	Moray East	2423	1103	30	168	3724
1	Race Bank	28	42	28	42	140
1	Rampion	630	66	1244	3327	5267
1	Scroby Sands	-	-	-	-	-
1	Sheringham Shoal	106	1343	211	30	1690
1	Teesside	16	61	2	20	99
1	Thanet	3	0	14	21	38
1	Triton Knoll	40	254	855	117	1266
1	Westermost Rough	91	121	152	91	455
2	Dogger Bank A and B	2788	3673	3871	9268	19600
2	Moray West	2808	3544	184	3585	10121
2	Neart na Gaoithe	331	5492	508	-	6331
2	Seagreen A and B	9574	0	2375	0	11949
3	Dogger Bank C and Sofia	1987	902	2385	4872	10146
3	East Anglia One North	403	85	54	207	749
3	East Anglia Three	1807	1122	1499	1524	5952
3	East Anglia Two	281	44	136	230	691
3	Hornsea Project Three (revised)	630	2020	3649	2105	8404
3	Inch Cape	1436	2870	651	-	4957
3	Norfolk Boreas	630	263	1065	345	2303

Unrestricted

Page 284



Tier	Wind farm	Breeding season	Breeding Autumn in season migration		Spring migration	Annual
3	Norfolk Vanguard	879	866 839		924	3508
3	Hornsea Project Four	386	4311	455	449	5601
4	Sheringham and Dudgeon Extension Projects	4500	1239	464	1534	7737
4	Berwick Bank	4040	8849	1399	7480	21768
4	ForthWind Offshore Wind Demonstration Project - phase 1	57	40	58	41	196
4	Rampion 2	32	26	1193	6303	7554
4	North Falls (ES)	<mark>104</mark>	<mark>248</mark>	<mark>1781</mark>	<mark>1741</mark>	<mark>3874</mark>
4	<mark>Five Estuaries (ES)</mark>	<mark>90</mark>	<mark>284</mark>	<mark>1046</mark>	<mark>756</mark>	<mark>2176</mark>
4	Outer Dowsing (ES)	<mark>3596</mark>	<mark>2390</mark>	<mark>1956</mark>	<mark>5537</mark>	<mark>13479</mark>
-	Total (other schemes)	<mark>44929</mark>	<mark>52487</mark>	<mark>32447</mark>	<mark>56372</mark>	<mark>186235</mark>
-	DBS East	<mark>555</mark>	<mark>4686</mark>	<mark>3377</mark>	<mark>3579</mark>	<mark>12197</mark>
-	DBS West	2281	<mark>4887</mark>	<mark>5066</mark>	<mark>4455</mark>	<mark>16689</mark>
-	DBS East and West together	<mark>2836</mark>	<mark>9573</mark>	<mark>8443</mark>	<mark>8034</mark>	<mark>28886</mark>
-	Total (all schemes + DBS East)	<mark>45484</mark>	<mark>57173</mark>	<mark>35824</mark>	<mark>59951</mark>	<mark>198432</mark>
-	Total (all schemes + DBS West)	<mark>47210</mark>	<mark>57374</mark>	<mark>37513</mark>	<mark>60827</mark>	<mark>202924</mark>
-	Total (all schemes + DBS East and West together)	<mark>47765</mark>	<mark>62060</mark>	<mark>40890</mark>	<mark>64406</mark>	<mark>215121</mark>

777. The estimated annual cumulative total of razorbills at risk of displacement from wind farms in the North Sea is 186,235 individuals, which rises to 215,121 individuals when including the Projects (**Table 12-94**).

Unrestricted 004300153



778. Considering a range of displacement of 30-70%, and mortality of displaced individuals from 1-10%, based on advice from Natural England, the estimated number of razorbills subject to mortality from displacement throughout the year is between 645 and 15,058 (**Table 12-95**), and at 70% displaced and 2% mortality would be 3,012.

Mortali	Displacement (%)									
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	215	430	645	860	1076	1291	1506	1721	1936	2151
2	430	860	1291	1721	2151	2581	3012	3442	3872	4302
3	645	1291	1936	2581	3227	3872	4518	5163	5808	6454
4	860	1721	2581	3442	4302	5163	6023	6884	7744	8605
5	1076	2151	3227	4302	5378	6454	7529	8605	9680	10756
6	1291	2581	3872	5163	6454	7744	9035	10326	11617	12907
7	1506	3012	4518	6023	7529	9035	10541	12047	13553	15058
8	1721	3442	5163	6884	8605	10326	12047	13768	15489	17210
9	1936	3872	5808	7744	9680	11617	13553	15489	17425	19361
10	2151	4302	6454	8605	10756	12907	15058	17210	19361	21512
20	4302	8605	1290 7	1721 0	21512	25815	30117	34419	38722	43024
30	6454	1290 7	1936 1	2581 5	32268	38722	45175	51629	58083	64536
50	1075 6	2151 2	3226 8	4302 4	53780	64536	75292	86048	96804	10756 1
75	1613 4	3226 8	4840 2	6453 6	80670	96804	11293 9	12907 3	14520 7	16134 1
100	2151 2	4302 4	6453 6	8604 8	10756 1	12907 3	15058 5	17209 7	19360 9	21512 1

Table 12-95 Cumulative Annual Displacement Matrix for Razorbill.

779. The largest BDMPS for razorbill in UK North Sea waters is 591,875 (Furness 2015). At the average baseline mortality rate of 0.1302 the number of individuals expected to die in a year is 77,062 (591,875 x 0.1302). The addition of between 645 (30% X 1%) and 15,058 (70% X 10%) individuals to this increases the background mortality rate by respectively 0.837% and 19.541%, while 3,012 (70% x 2%) would increase the background mortality by 3.908%.

Unrestricted 004300153



- 780. Based on the annual biogeographic population with connectivity to UK waters of 1,707,000 (Furness 2015), 222,251 individuals would be expected to die; the addition 645 ($30\% \times 1\%$) and 15,058 ($70\% \times 10\%$) individuals would represent increase between 0.290 and 6.775%, while 3,012 ($70\% \times 2\%$) would increase the background mortality by 1.355%.
- 781. This is a wide range, so the assessment considers the most realistic value within this range. Recommendations of an evidence-based review (Norfolk Vanguard 2019) are for a displacement rate of 50% for auks within an offshore wind farm and 30% within a 1km buffer, both combined with a highly precautionary maximum mortality of 1%.
- 782. Using these rates (displacement and mortality of 50% and 1% respectively), derived from a thorough review of evidence would result in a predicted total mortality of 1,076 annually due to cumulative displacement . Assessed against the BDMPS 77,062 (591,875 x 0.1302) this would increase the background mortality rate by 1.395% and against the biogeographic population 222,251 (1,707,000 x 0.1302) by 0.484%.
- 783. On the basis of these impact sizes, combined with the various additive sources of precaution in this assessment, indicates there is a very high likelihood that cumulative displacement would be less than 1%.
- 784. The magnitude of cumulative displacement for razorbills is assessed as low. Therefore, as the species is of medium sensitivity to disturbance, the cumulative effect significance would be **minor adverse**.

12.10.4.4 Puffin

- 785. The annual total of puffins at risk of displacement from the Projects Array Areas is estimated as 549 individuals for breeding and nonbreeding periods; **Table 12-75**).
- 786. Estimates of the number of puffins at risk of displacement from other offshore wind farms included in the cumulative assessment are given in **Table 12-96**. The cumulative totals omit wind farms for which no data are available (as indicated in table), but they are also likely to over-estimate the numbers present due to the precautionary use of seasonal peak numbers at each site rather than average numbers, which is likely to lead to double counting as birds move through the North Sea. Values updated from the original ES are highlighted in yellow.

Unrestricted 004300153



Table 12-96 Cumulative Numbers of Puffins at Risk of Displacement from Offshore Wind farms in the North Sea.

Tier	Wind farm	Breeding season	Non-breeding season	Annual
1	Beatrice	2858	2435	5293
1	Beatrice Demonstrator	-	-	
1	Blyth Demonstration Project	235	123	358
1	Dudgeon	1	3	4
1	East Anglia ONE	16	32	48
1	European Offshore Wind Deployment Centre	42	82	124
1	Galloper	1115	3966	5081
1	Greater Gabbard	0	1	1
1	Gunfleet Sands	-	-	
1	Hornsea Project One	1070	1257	2327
1	Hornsea Project Two	468	2039	2507
1	Humber Gateway	15	10	25
1	Hywind	119	85	204
1	Kentish Flats	-	-	
1	Kentish Flats Extension	3	6	9
1	Kincardine	19	0	19
1	Lincs & LID	3	6	9
1	London Array	0	1	1
1	Methil	8	0	8
1	Moray East	2795	656	3451
1	Race Bank	1	10	11
1	Rampion	7	0	7
1	Scroby Sands	-	-	
1	Sheringham Shoal	4	26	30
1	Teesside	35	18	53
1	Thanet	0	0	0
1	Triton Knoll	23	71	94
1	Westermost Rough	61	35	96
2	Dogger Bank A and B	0	1	1
2	Moray West	2562	2103	4665
2	Neart na Gaoithe	6154	5389	11543
3	Seagreen A and B	139	1038	1177
3	Dogger Bank C and Sofia	104	930	1034
3	East Anglia One North	-	-	
3	East Anglia Three	181	307	488
3	East Anglia Two	15	0	15
3	Hornsea Project Three (revised)	253	127	380

Unrestricted

Page 288


Tier	Wind farm	Breeding season	Non-breeding season	Annual
3	Inch Cape	2956	2688	5644
3	Norfolk Boreas	0	23	23
3	Norfolk Vanguard	67	112	179
3	Hornsea Project Four	78	373	451
4	Sheringham and Dudgeon Extension Projects	0	28	28
4	Berwick Bank	4513	-	4513
4	ForthWind Offshore Wind Demonstra- tion Project - phase 1	-	-	-
4	Rampion 2	-	-	-
4	North Falls (ES)	<mark>O</mark>	<mark>3</mark>	<mark>3</mark>
4	Five Estuaries (ES)	-	-	-
4	Outer Dowsing (ES)	<mark>784</mark>	<mark>645</mark>	<mark>1429</mark>
	Total (other schemes)	<mark>26704</mark>	<mark>24628</mark>	<mark>51332</mark>
	DBS East	<mark>63</mark>	<mark>179</mark>	<mark>242</mark>
	DBS West	<mark>109</mark>	<mark>198</mark>	<mark>307</mark>
	DBS East and West together	<mark>172</mark>	<mark>377</mark>	<mark>549</mark>
	Total (all schemes + DBS East)	<mark>26767</mark>	<mark>24807</mark>	<mark>51574</mark>
	Total (all schemes + DBS West)	<mark>26813</mark>	<mark>24826</mark>	<mark>51639</mark>
	Total (all schemes + DBS East and West together)	<mark>26876</mark>	<mark>25005</mark>	<mark>51881</mark>

- 787. The estimated annual cumulative total of puffins at risk of displacement from wind farms in the North Sea is 51,332 individuals, which rises to 51,881 individuals when including the Projects.
- 788. Considering a range of displacement of 30-70%, and mortality of displaced individuals from 1-10%, based on advice from Natural England, the estimated number of puffins subject to mortality from displacement throughout the year is between 156 and 3,632 (**Table 12-97**), and at 70% displaced and 2% mortality would be 726.



Mortality	Disp	lacem	ent (%)							
(%)	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
1	52	104	156	208	259	311	363	415	467	519
2	104	208	311	415	519	623	726	830	934	1038
3	156	311	467	623	778	934	1090	1245	1401	1556
4	208	415	623	830	1038	1245	1453	1660	1868	2075
5	259	519	778	1038	1297	1556	1816	2075	2335	2594
6	311	623	934	1245	1556	1868	2179	2490	2802	3113
7	363	726	1090	1453	1816	2179	2542	2905	3269	3632
8	415	830	1245	1660	2075	2490	2905	3320	3735	4150
9	467	934	1401	1868	2335	2802	3269	3735	4202	4669
10	519	1038	1556	2075	2594	3113	3632	4150	4669	5188
20	103 8	2075	3113	4150	5188	6226	7263	8301	9339	1037 6
30	155 6	3113	4669	6226	7782	9339	1089 5	1245 1	1400 8	1556 4
50	259 4	5188	7782	1037 6	1297 0	1556 4	1815 8	2075 2	2334 6	2594 1
75	389 1	7782	1167 3	1556 4	1945 5	2334 6	2723 8	3112 9	3502 0	3891 1
100	518 8	1037 6	1556 4	2075 2	2594 1	3112 9	3631 7	4150 5	4669 3	5188 1

Table 12-97 Cumulative Annual Displacement Matrix for Puffin.

- 789. The largest BDMPS for puffin in UK North Sea waters is 868,689 (as advised by Natural England in their response to the Projects PEIR, see Volume 7, Appendix 12-1 Offshore Ornithology Consultation Responses (application ref: 7.12.12.1) for further information). At the average baseline mortality rate of 0.119 the number of individuals expected to die in a year is 103,374 (868,689 x 0.119). The addition of between 156 (30% x 1%) and 3,632 (70% x 10%) individuals to this increases the background mortality rate by respectively 0.151% and 3.513%, while 726 (70% x 2%) would increase the background mortality by 0.703%.
- 790. Based on the annual biogeographic population with connectivity to UK waters of 11,840,000 (Furness 2015), 1,408,960 individuals would be expected to die; the addition 156 (30% x 1%) and 3,632 (70% x 10%) individuals would represent an increase of between 0.011% and 0.258%, while 726 (70% x 2%) would increase the background mortality by 0.052%.

Unrestricted 004300153



- 791. This is a wide range, so the assessment considers the most realistic value within this range. Recommendations of an evidence-based review (Norfolk Vanguard 2019) are for a displacement rate of 50% for auks (although this review focussed on guillemot and razorbill, it is considered that puffin will exhibit similar responses) within an offshore wind farm and 30% within a 1km buffer, both combined with a highly precautionary maximum mortality of 1%.
- 792. Using these rates (displacement and mortality of 50% and 1% respectively), derived from a thorough review of evidence would result in a predicted total mortality of 259 annually due to cumulative displacement . Assessed against the BDMPS 103,374 (868,689 x 0.1190) this would increase the background mortality rate by 0.251% and against the biogeographic population 1,408,960 (11,840,000 x 0.1190) by 0.018%.
- 793. On the basis of these impact sizes, combined with the various additive sources of precaution in this assessment, indicates there is a very high likelihood that cumulative displacement would be less than 1%.
- 794. The magnitude of cumulative displacement for puffins is assessed as low. Therefore, as the species is of low sensitivity to disturbance, the cumulative effect significance would be **minor adverse**. There is no change to the conclusion from the ES.

12.10.5 Impact **11** Cumulative Assessment of Operational Collision Risk

- 795. This section provides the update to section 12.7.4.1 of the original ES.
- 796. Cumulative annual collision risk was assessed for gannet, kittiwake, lesser black-backed gull, herring gull and great black-backed gull.
- 797. It is considered that all of the wind farms identified for inclusion in the cumulative assessment in **Table 12-89** have the potential to contribute to a cumulative effect.

12.10.5.1 Gannet

798. The cumulative gannet collision risk prediction is set out in **Table 12-98**. This collates collision predictions from other wind farms, which may contribute to the cumulative total. Values updated from the original ES are highlighted in yellow.



Table 12-98 Cumulative Collision Risk Assessment for Gannet unless otherwise indicated an avoidance rate of 98.9% was used.

Tier	Wind farm	Breeding season	Autumn migration	Spring migration	Annual
1	Beatrice	37.4	48.8	9.5	95.7
1	Beatrice Demonstrator	0.6	0.9	0.7	2.2
1	Blyth Demonstration Project	3.5	2.1	2.8	8.4
1	Dudgeon	22.3	38.9	19.1	80.3
1	East Anglia ONE	3.4	131	6.3	140.7
1	European Offshore Wind Deployment Centre	4.2	5.1	0.1	9.4
1	Galloper	18.1	30.9	12.6	61.6
1	Greater Gabbard	14	8.8	4.8	27.6
1	Gunfleet Sands	-	-	-	-
1	Hornsea Project One	11.5	32	22.5	66
1	Hornsea Project Two	7	14	6	27
1	Humber Gateway	1.9	1.1	1.5	4.5
1	Hywind	5.6	0.8	0.8	7.2
1	Kentish Flats	1.4	0.8	1.1	3.3
1	Kentish Flats Extension	-	-	-	-
1	Kincardine	3	0	0	3
1	Lincs & LID	2.3	1.4	1.9	5.6
1	London Array	2.3	1.4	1.8	5.5
1	Methil	6	0	0	6
1	Moray East	80.6	35.4	8.9	124.9
1	Race Bank	33.7	11.7	4.1	49.5
1	Rampion	36.2	63.5	2.1	101.8
1	Scroby Sands	-	-	-	-
1	Sheringham Shoal	14.1	3.5	0	17.6
1	Teesside	4.9	1.7	0	6.6
1	Thanet	1.1	0	0	1.1
1	Triton Knoll	26.8	64.1	30.1	121
1	Westermost Rough	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.5
2	Dogger Bank A and B	81.1	83.5	54.4	219
2	Moray West	10	2	1	13
2	Neart na Gaoithe	143	47	23	213
2	Seagreen A and B	800.8	49.3	65.8	915.9
3	Dogger Bank C and Sofia	14.8	10.1	10.8	35.7
3	East Anglia One North	12.4	11	1.1	24.5
3	East Anglia Three	6.1	33.3	9.6	49
3	East Anglia Two	12.5	23.1	4	39.6

Unrestricted 004300153



Tier	Wind farm	Breeding season	Autumn migration	Spring migration	Annual
3	Hornsea Project Three (revised)	10	5	4	19
3	Inch Cape	336.9	29.2	5.2	371.3
3	Norfolk Boreas	14.1	12.7	3.9	30.7
3	Norfolk Vanguard	8.2	18.6	5.3	32.1
3	Hornsea Project Four ¹	14.3	0.3	0.1	14.7
4	Sheringham and Dudgeon Extension Projects	0.4	0.6	0	1
4	Berwick Bank	170	18	3	191
4	ForthWind Offshore Wind Demonstration Project - phase 1 ²	1	0	0	1
4	Rampion 2 ³	2.9	1.4	0.6	4.9
4	North Falls (ES)	<mark>0.6</mark>	<mark>0.9</mark>	<mark>0.6</mark>	<mark>2.1</mark>
4	Five Estuaries (ES) ⁴	2	<mark>2.3</mark>	<mark>0.2</mark>	<mark>4.5</mark>
4	Outer Dowsing (ES)	<mark>3.6</mark>	<mark>1.2</mark>	<mark>0.3</mark>	<mark>5.1</mark>
	Total (other schemes)	<mark>1986.8</mark>	<mark>847.5</mark>	<mark>329.8</mark>	<mark>3164.1</mark>
	DBS East	<mark>3.4</mark>	<mark>1.6</mark>	<mark>0.1</mark>	<mark>5.1</mark>
	DBS West	<mark>4.9</mark>	<mark>2.1</mark>	<mark>0.1</mark>	<mark>7.1</mark>
	DBS East and West together	<mark>8.3</mark>	<mark>3.7</mark>	<mark>0.2</mark>	<mark>12.2</mark>
	<mark>Total (all schemes + DBS</mark>	<mark>1990.2</mark>	<mark>849.1</mark>	<mark>329.9</mark>	<mark>3169.2</mark>
	East)				
	Total (all schemes + DBS West)	<mark>1991.7</mark>	<mark>849.6</mark>	<mark>329.9</mark>	<mark>3171.2</mark>
	Total (all schemes + DBS East and West together)	<mark>1995.1</mark>	<mark>851.2</mark>	<mark>330.0</mark>	<mark>3176.3</mark>

¹ An avoidance rate of 98.7% was used;

² An avoidance rate of 99% was used;

³ An avoidance rate of 99.3% was used;

⁴An avoidance rate of 99.79% was used.



- 799. The estimates for other wind farms were calculated under previous Natural England guidance on parameter rates to use. Specifically, these have used an avoidance rate of 98.9%, which generates collision risks approximately 4 to 10 times higher than that obtained using the latest advised rates of 99.72% to 99.88% (99.79%), which incorporates 65% to 85% (70%) macro avoidance (**Table 12-78**). Expressed as the collision rate (rather than the avoidance rate), this means that collisions predicted with the 98.9% avoidance rate are reduced from 1.1% to 0.2% (i.e a five-fold reduction). The nocturnal activity rates used will also typically have been higher for older wind farms in most instances, further increasing the precaution in collision estimates for previous schemes.
- 800. The annual cumulative total estimated collision mortality for existing wind farm schemes is 3,164, which would be reduced to approximately 604 on application of the revised avoidance rates (99.79%; note that some recent wind farms have already applied similarly high avoidance rates, but as these wind farms add comparatively few collisions this does not affect the overall conclusions). To this, the Projects add 12 birds.
- 801. 743. Based on the largest Annual BDMPS of 456,299 (Furness 2015) and baseline mortality of 0.1866, 85,145 individual gannets would be expected to die each year; the addition of 3,176 collisions (including the Projects) would represent a preliminary 3.730% increase in annual mortality. Based on the annual biogeographic population with connectivity to UK waters of 1,180,000 (Furness 2015), 220,188 individuals would be expected to die; the addition of 3,176 collisions would represent 1.442% increase in mortality.
- 802. However, using the lower collision mortality range obtained with the current Natural England advised methods, the calculated collision mortality including the Projects would be 616, which would represent a 0.724% increase in annual mortality for the largest BDMPS population.
- 803. Based on the annual biogeographic population with connectivity to UK waters of 1,180,000 (Furness 2015), 220,188 individuals would be expected to die; the addition of 616 collisions would represent 0.280% increase in mortality.



- 804. Furthermore, many of the collision estimates for older wind farms were calculated for designs with higher numbers of wind turbines (and total rotor swept areas) than have been installed (or are currently planned). These design changes almost always result in reduced collision risks. A method for updating collision estimates for changes in windfarm design such as this was presented in MacArthur Green (2017). This uses ratios of consented and as-built turbine parameters to robustly adjust the collision risk mortality estimates for a consented wind farm. Updating the collision estimates for wind farms in the North Sea using the built wind farm designs rather than the consented worst-case designs reduces the cumulative annual mortality by around 7%. It is clear from all of the above, that the current cumulative collision total is a considerable overestimate.
- 805. In conclusion, based on the above information and realistic reductions in predicted collision rates due to (i) post-consent windfarm design revisions; (ii) the increase in avoidance rate and (iii) the reduction in nocturnal activity factor, the cumulative impact on the gannet population due to collisions is considered very unlikely to be significant, and the contribution to the total from the Projects is very small.
- 806. The cumulative impact on the gannet population due to collisions year round is considered to be negligible when assessed using the most recent avoidance rate. Gannets are considered to be of low to medium sensitivity to collision mortality and the effect significance is therefore **negligible** to **minor adverse**. There is no change to the conclusion from the ES.

12.10.5.2 Kittiwake

- 807. This section provides the update to section 12.7.4.2 of the original ES.
- 808. The cumulative kittiwake collision risk prediction is set out in **Table 12-99**. This collates collision predictions from other wind farms, which may contribute to the cumulative total. Values updated from the original ES are highlighted in yellow.

Unrestricted 004300153



Table 12-99 Cumulative Collision Risk Assessment for Kittiwake unless otherwise indicated an avoidance rate of 98.9% was used.

Tier	Wind farm	Breeding season	Autumn migration	Spring migration	Annual
1	Beatrice	94.7	10.7	39.8	145.2
1	Beatrice Demonstrator	0	2.1	1.7	3.8
1	Blyth Demonstration Project	1.7	2.3	1.4	5.4
1	Dudgeon	-	-	-	-
1	East Anglia ONE	1.8	160.4	46.8	209
1	European Offshore Wind De- ployment Centre	11.8	5.8	1.1	18.7
2	Galloper	6.3	27.8	31.8	65.9
1	Greater Gabbard	1.1	15	11.4	27.5
1	Gunfleet Sands	-	-	-	-
1	Hornsea Project One	44	55.9	20.9	120.8
1	Hornsea Project Two	16	9	3	28
1	Humber Gateway	1.9	3.2	1.9	7
1	Hywind	16.6	0.9	0.9	18.4
1	Kentish Flats	0	0.9	0.7	1.6
1	Kentish Flats Extension	0	0	2.7	2.7
1	Kincardine	22	9	1	32
1	Lincs & LID	0.7	1.2	0.7	2.6
1	London Array	1.4	2.3	1.8	5.5
1	Methil	0.4	0	0	0.4
1	Moray East	43.6	2	19.3	64.9
1	Race Bank	1.9	23.9	5.6	31.4
1	Rampion	54.4	37.4	29.7	121.5
1	Scroby Sands	-	-	-	-
1	Sheringham Shoal	-	-	-	-
1	Teesside	38.4	24	2.5	64.9
1	Thanet	0.2	0.5	0.4	1.1
1	Triton Knoll	24.6	139	45.4	209
1	Westermost Rough	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.4
2	Dogger Bank A and B	288.6	135	295.4	719
2	Moray West	79	24	7	110
2	Neart na Gaoithe	32.9	56.1	4.4	93.4
2	Seagreen A and B	153.1	313.1	247.6	713.8
3	Dogger Bank C and Sofia	136.9	90.7	216.9	444.5
3	East Anglia One North	40.4	8.1	3.5	52
3	East Anglia Three	6.1	69	37.6	112.7
3	East Anglia Two	29.5	5.4	7.4	42.3

Unrestricted 004300153



Tier	Wind farm	Breeding season	Autumn migration	Spring migration	Annual
3	Hornsea Project Three (re- vised)	77	38	8	123
3	Inch Cape	13.1	224.8	63.5	301.4
3	Norfolk Boreas	13.3	32.2	11.9	57.4
3	Norfolk Vanguard	21.8	16.4	19.3	57.5
3	Hornsea Project Four ¹	74.5	13.9	4.6	93
4	Sheringham and Dudgeon Ex- tension Projects	7.2	4.3	0.9	12.4
4	Berwick Bank	617	190	179	986
4	ForthWind Offshore Wind Demonstration Project - phase 1 ²	-	-	-	-
4	Rampion 2 ³	1.2	9.8	17.3	28.3
4	<mark>North Falls (ES)⁵</mark>	<mark>8.8</mark>	<mark>3.6</mark>	<mark>7.8</mark>	<mark>20.2</mark>
4	Five Estuaries (ES) ⁴	<mark>11.9</mark>	<mark>7.9</mark>	<mark>5.5</mark>	<mark>25.3</mark>
4	Outer Dowsing (ES)	<mark>25.5</mark>	<mark>2.8</mark>	<mark>2.6</mark>	<mark>30.9</mark>
	Total (other schemes)	<mark>2021.4</mark>	<mark>1778.6</mark>	<mark>1410.8</mark>	<mark>5210.8</mark>
	DBS East	<mark>83.3</mark>	<mark>41.4</mark>	<mark>14.6</mark>	<mark>139.3</mark>
	DBS West	<mark>107.8</mark>	<mark>37.9</mark>	<mark>14.9</mark>	<mark>160.6</mark>
	DBS East and West together	<mark>191.1</mark>	<mark>79.3</mark>	29.5	<mark>299.9</mark>
	<mark>Total (all schemes + DBS</mark> East)	<mark>2104.7</mark>	<mark>1820.0</mark>	<mark>1425.4</mark>	<mark>5350.1</mark>
	Total (all schemes + DBS West)	<mark>2129.2</mark>	<mark>1816.5</mark>	<mark>1425.7</mark>	<mark>5371.4</mark>
	Total (all schemes + DBS East and West together)	<mark>2212.5</mark>	<mark>1857.9</mark>	<mark>1440.3</mark>	<mark>5510.7</mark>

¹ Hornsea 4 used an avoidance rate of 98.7%;

² ForthWind Offshore used an avoidance rate of 99%;

³ Rampion 2 used an avoidance rate of 99.3%;

⁴ Five Estuaries used an avoidance rate of 99.2%.

5 North Falls used an avoidance rate of 99.28%.

809. The estimates for other wind farms were calculated under previous Natural England guidance on the parameter rates to use. Specifically, these have used an avoidance rate of 98.9% rather than the rate of 99.2% in the latest guidance used in this assessment. Expressed as the collision rate (rather than the avoidance rate), this means that the predicted collision risk is reduced from 1.1% to 0.8%, and estimates for older wind farms are 37.5% higher than those obtained using the latest advice.

Unrestricted 004300153



- 810. The annual cumulative total, not including the Projects, is 5,211, which would be reduced to approximately 3,790 on application of the revised avoidance rate (99.2%). To this, the Projects add a maximum of 300 birds. Based on the largest Annual BDMPS of 839,456 (as advised by Natural England in their response to the Projects PEIR, see Volume 7, Appendix 12-1 Offshore Ornithology Consultation Responses (application ref: 7.12.12.1) for further information) and baseline mortality of 0.1577, 132,382 individual kittiwakes would be expected to die each year. The addition of 5,511 collisions (including the Projects) would represent a 4.163% increase in annual mortality. Based on the annual biogeographic population with connectivity to UK waters of 5,100,000 (Furness 2015), 804,720 individuals would be expected to die. The addition of 5,511 collisions would represent 0.648% increase in mortality.
- 811. Applying the lower calculated collision mortality (including the Projects, 4,090) would represent a 3.09% increase in annual mortality. Based on the annual biogeographic population with connectivity to UK waters of 5,100,000 (Furness 2015), 804,720 individuals would be expected to die; the addition of 4,090 collisions would represent 0.51% increase in mortality.
- 812. Reviews of nocturnal activity in seabirds have led Natural England to advise that rates between 25% and 50% are appropriate for kittiwake (whilst still retaining precaution) for assessment of collisions. These lower rates have been applied to more recent wind farm assessments (i.e. over the last year), but previously the higher rate of 50% will have been used in most cases. Retrospective application of lower nocturnal activity rates for older wind farms would reduce the cumulative collision estimate, potentially by a significant amount (due to variations in daylength over the annual cycle at different latitudes this cannot be simply calculated but reductions are likely to be in the order of approximately 10%). This further emphasises the precautionary nature of the current assessment.
- 813. The cumulative impact on the kittiwake population due to collisions year round is considered to be of low to medium magnitude, and the relative contribution of the proposed Projects to this cumulative total is very small. Kittiwakes are considered to be of low to medium sensitivity to collision mortality and the effect significance is therefore **minor** to **moderate adverse**. There is no change to the conclusion from the ES.

Unrestricted 004300153



12.10.5.3 Lesser Black-backed Gull

- 814. This section provides the update to section 12.7.4.3 of the ES.
- 815. The cumulative collision risk prediction for lesser black-backed gull is set out in **Table 12-100**. This collates collision predictions from other wind farms which may contribute to the cumulative total. Values updated from the original ES are highlighted in yellow.

Table 12-100 Cumulative Collision Risk Assessment for Lesser black-backed gull unless otherwise indicated an avoidance rate of 99.5% was used.

Tier	Wind farm	Breeding season	Nonbreeding season	Annual
1	Beatrice	0	0	0
1	Beatrice Demonstrator	-	-	-
1	Blyth Demonstration Project	0	0	0
1	Dudgeon	7.7	30.6	38.3
1	East Anglia ONE	5.9	33.8	39.7
1	European Offshore Wind Deploy- ment Centre	0	0	0
1	Galloper	27.8	111	138.8
1	Greater Gabbard	12.4	49.6	62
1	Gunfleet Sands	1	0	1
1	Hornsea Project One	4.4	17.4	21.8
1	Hornsea Project Two	2	2	4
1	Humber Gateway	0.3	1.1	1.4
1	Hywind	0	0	0
1	Kentish Flats	-	-	-
1	Kentish Flats Extension	0.3	1.3	1.6
1	Kincardine	0	0	0
1	Lincs & LID	1.7	6.8	8.5
1	London Array	-	-	-
1	Methil	0.5	0	0.5
1	Moray East	0	0	0
1	Race Bank	43.2	10.8	54
1	Rampion	1.6	6.3	7.9
1	Scroby Sands	-	-	-
1	Sheringham Shoal	1.7	6.6	8.3
1	Teesside	0	0	0
1	Thanet	3.2	12.8	16
1	Triton Knoll	7.4	29.6	37
1	Westermost Rough	0.1	0.3	0.4
2	Dogger Bank A and B	2.6	10.4	13

Unrestricted 004300153



Tier	Wind farm	Breeding season	Nonbreeding season	Annual
2	Moray West	0	0	0
2	Neart na Gaoithe	0.3	1.2	1.5
2	Seagreen A and B	2.1	8.4	10.5
3	Dogger Bank C and Sofia	2.4	9.6	12
3	East Anglia One North	0.9	0.6	1.5
3	East Anglia Three	1.8	8.2	10
3	East Anglia Two	4.2	0.5	4.7
3	Hornsea Project Three (revised)	8	1	9
3	Inch Cape	0	0	0
3	Norfolk Boreas	6.2	8.1	14.3
3	Norfolk Vanguard	8.4	3.6	12
3	Hornsea Project Four ¹	0.3	0.1	0.4
4	Sheringham and Dudgeon Extension Projects	1.9	0.3	2.2
4	Berwick Bank	9	0	9
4	ForthWind Offshore Wind Demon- stration Project - phase 1 ²	0	0	0
4	Rampion 2 ¹	1.5	2.9	4.4
4	North Falls (ES)	<mark>6.5</mark>	2	<mark>8.6</mark>
4	Five Estuaries (ES) ¹	<mark>35.1</mark>	<mark>5.5</mark>	<mark>40.6</mark>
4	Outer Dowsing (ES)	<mark>1.5</mark>	<mark>0.2</mark>	<mark>1.7</mark>
	Total (other schemes)	<mark>213.9</mark>	<mark>382.6</mark>	<mark>596.6</mark>
	DBS East	<mark>0.9</mark>	<mark>O</mark>	<mark>0.9</mark>
	DBS West	<mark>0.3</mark>	0	0.3
	DBS East and West together	<mark>1.2</mark>	0	<mark>1.2</mark>
	Total (all schemes + DBS East)	<mark>214.8</mark>	<mark>382.6</mark>	<mark>597.5</mark>
	Total (all schemes + DBS West)	<mark>214.</mark> 2	<mark>382.6</mark>	<mark>596.9</mark>
	Total (all schemes + DBS East and West together)	<mark>215.1</mark>	<mark>382.6</mark>	<mark>597.8</mark>

¹ An avoidance rate of 99.4% was used.

²An avoidance rate of 99% was used.

816. The annual number of lesser black-backed gull at risk of collision from offshore wind farms in the UK North Sea and Channel BDMPS has been calculated as 597. When the estimated numbers at risk due to the Projects are included (1.2), this would increase to 597.8 collisions.

Unrestricted 004300153



- 817. The estimates for other wind farms were calculated under previous Natural England guidance on the parameter rates to use. Specifically, these have used an avoidance rate of 99.5% rather than the rate of 99.4% in the latest guidance used in this assessment. Expressed as the collision rate (rather than the avoidance rate), this means that the predicted collision risk is increased from 0.5% to 0.6%, and that the older estimates for other wind farms are 20% lower than those obtained using the latest advice.
- 818. The annual cumulative total, not including the Projects, is 596.6, which would be increased to approximately 716 on application of the revised avoidance rate. To this, the Projects add a maximum of 1.2 birds. Based on the largest Annual BDMPS of 209,006 (Furness 2015) and baseline mortality of 0.1237, 25,854 individual lesser black-backed gulls would be expected to die each year; the addition of 597.8 collisions (including the Projects) would represent a 2.312% increase in annual mortality. Based on the annual biogeographic population with connectivity to UK waters of 864,000 (Furness 2015), 106,877 individuals would be expected to die; the addition of 597.8 collisions would represent 0.559% increase in mortality.
- 819. Applying the higher calculated collision mortality (including the Projects, 717.1) would represent a 2.774% increase in annual mortality for the BDMPS population. Based on the annual biogeographic population with connectivity to UK waters of 864,000 (Furness 2015), 106,877 individuals would be expected to die; the addition of 717.1 collisions would represent 0.671% increase in mortality.
- 820. Reviews of nocturnal activity in seabirds have led Natural England to advise that rates between 25% and 50% are appropriate for lesser black-backed gull (whilst still retaining precaution) for assessment of collisions. These lower rates have been applied to more recent wind farm assessments (i.e. over the last year), but previously the higher rate of 50% will have been used. Retrospective application of lower nocturnal activity rates for older wind farms would reduce the cumulative collision estimate by a similar amount.
- 821. Applying reductions for lowered nocturnal activity to predictions for older wind farms would reduce the cumulative collision estimate further emphasising the precautionary nature of the current assessment.
- 822. The cumulative impact on the lesser black-backed gulls population due to collisions year round is considered to be of low magnitude, and the relative contribution of the proposed Projects to this cumulative total is very small. Lesser black-backed gulls are considered to be of low to medium sensitivity to collision mortality and the effect significance is therefore **minor adverse**. There is no change to the conclusion from the ES.

Unrestricted 004300153



12.10.5.4 Herring Gull

- 823. This section provides the update to section 12.7.4.4 of the ES.
- 824. The cumulative herring gull collision risk prediction is set out in **Table 12-101**. This collates collision predictions from other wind farms which may contribute to the cumulative total. Values updated from the original ES are highlighted in yellow.

Table 12-101 Cumulative Collision Risk Assessment for Herring gull unless otherwise indicated an avoidance rate of 99.5% was used.

Tier	Wind farm	Breeding season	Nonbreeding season	Annual
1	Beatrice	49.4	197.4	246.8
1	Beatrice Demonstrator	0	-	0
1	Blyth Demonstration Project	0.5	2.2	2.7
1	Dudgeon	-	-	-
1	East Anglia ONE	0	28	28
1	European Offshore Wind Deployment Centre	4.8	-	4.8
1	Galloper	27.2	-	27.2
1	Greater Gabbard	0	-	0
1	Gunfleet Sands		-	
1	Hornsea Project One	2.9	11.6	14.5
1	Hornsea Project Two	23.8		23.8
1	Humber Gateway	0.4	1.1	1.5
1	Hywind	0.6	7.8	8.4
1	Kentish Flats	0	0	0
1	Kentish Flats Extension	0.5	1.7	2.2
1	Kincardine	1	0	1
1	Lincs & LID	0	-	0
1	London Array	-	-	-
1	Methil	5.8	3.7	9.5
1	Moray East	52	-	52
1	Race Bank	0	-	0
1	Rampion	155	-	155
1	Scroby Sands	-	-	-
1	Sheringham Shoal	0		0
1	Teesside	8.7	34.5	43.2
1	Thanet	4.9	19.6	24.5
1	Triton Knoll	0		0
1	Westermost Rough	0.1	0	0.1
2	Dogger Bank A and B	0	-	0

Unrestricted 004300153



Tier	Wind farm	Breeding season	Nonbreeding season	Annual
2	Moray West	12	1	13
2	Neart na Gaoithe	5	12.5	17.5
2	Seagreen A and B	10	21	31
3	Dogger Bank C and Sofia	0	-	0
3	East Anglia One North	0	0	0
3	East Anglia Three	0	23	23
3	East Anglia Two	0	0.5	0.5
3	Hornsea Project Three (revised)	1	4	5
3	Inch Cape	0	13.5	13.5
3	Norfolk Boreas	1.5	5.4	6.9
3	Norfolk Vanguard	0.4	7.1	7.5
3	Hornsea Project Four ¹	0.5	0.3	0.8
4	Sheringham and Dudgeon Extension Projects	0.3	0.0	0.3
4	Berwick Bank	43	7	50
4	ForthWind Offshore Wind Demonstra- tion Project - phase 1 ²	0	0	0
4	Rampion 2 ¹	34.5	28.1	62.6
4	North Falls (ES)	<mark>0.7</mark>	<mark>0</mark>	<mark>0.7</mark>
4	Five Estuaries (ES) ¹	<mark>0.7</mark>	<mark>1.4</mark>	<mark>2.1</mark>
4	Outer Dowsing (ES)	<mark>1.5</mark>	<mark>0.7</mark>	<mark>2.2</mark>
	Total (other schemes)	<mark>448.7</mark>	<mark>433.1</mark>	<mark>881.8</mark>
	DBS East	<mark>O</mark>	<mark>0.6</mark>	<mark>0.6</mark>
	DBS West	<mark>0.8</mark>	<mark>0.8</mark>	<mark>1.6</mark>
	DBS East and West together	<mark>0.8</mark>	<mark>1.4</mark>	<mark>2.2</mark>
	Total (all schemes + DBS East)	<mark>448.7</mark>	<mark>433.7</mark>	<mark>882.4</mark>
	Total (all schemes + DBS West)	<mark>449.5</mark>	<mark>43</mark> 3.9	<mark>883.4</mark>
	Total (all schemes + DBS East and West together)	<mark>449.5</mark>	<mark>434.5</mark>	<mark>884.0</mark>

¹ An avoidance rate of 99.4% was used;

² An avoidance rate of 99% was used.

825. The annual number of herring gull at risk of collision from offshore wind farms in the UK North Sea and Channel BDMPS, which has been calculated as 881.8 When the estimated numbers at risk due to the Projects are included (2.2), this would increase to 884 collisions. The existing total is expected to increase when projects, which are not yet determined are included, however the contribution from those projects is not yet finalised and subject to change.

Unrestricted 004300153



- 826. The estimates for other wind farms were calculated under previous Natural England guidance on the parameter rates to use. Specifically, these have used an avoidance rate of 99.5% rather than the rate of 99.4% in the latest guidance used in this assessment. Expressed as the collision rate (rather than the avoidance rate), this means that the predicted collision risk is increased from 0.5% to 0.6%, and that the older estimates for other wind farms are 20% lower than those obtained using the latest advice.
- 827. The annual cumulative total, not including the Projects, is 881.8, which would be increased to approximately 1,058 on application of the revised avoidance rate. To this the Projects add a maximum of 2.2 birds. Based on the largest Annual BDMPS of 466,510 (Furness 2015) and baseline mortality of 0.1724, 80,426 individual herring gulls would be expected to die each year; the addition of 884 collisions (including the Projects) would represent a 1.010% increase in annual mortality. Based on the annual biogeographic population with connectivity to UK waters of 1,098,000 (Furness 2015), 189,295 individuals would be expected to die; the addition of 884 collisions would represent 0.467% increase in mortality.
- 828. Applying the higher calculated collision mortality (including the Projects, 1,060.4) would represent a 1.318% increase in annual mortality of the BDMPS population. Based on the annual biogeographic population with connectivity to UK waters of 1,098,000 (Furness 2015), 189,295 individuals would be expected to die; the addition of 1,060.4 collisions would represent 0.560% increase in mortality.
- 829. Reviews of nocturnal activity in seabirds have led Natural England to advise that rates between 25% and 50% are appropriate for herring gull (whilst still retaining precaution) for assessment of collisions. These lower rates have been applied to more recent wind farm assessments (i.e. over the last year), but previously the higher rate of 50% will have been used. Retrospective application of lower nocturnal activity rates for older wind farms would reduce the cumulative collision estimate by a similar amount.
- 830. Applying reductions for lowered nocturnal activity to predictions for older wind farms would reduce the cumulative collision estimate further emphasising the precautionary nature of the current assessment.
- 831. In conclusion, the cumulative impact on herring gull due to year round collisions includes precaution and is considered to be of negligible magnitude; and the relative contribution of the proposed Projects to this cumulative total is very small. Herring gulls are considered to be of low to medium sensitivity to collision mortality and the effect significance is therefore **minor adverse**. There is no change to the conclusion from the ES.

Unrestricted 004300153



12.10.5.5 Great Black-backed Gull

- 832. This section provides the update to section 12.7.4.5 of the ES.
- 833. The cumulative predicted collision risk for great black-backed gull is set out in **Table 12-102**. This collates collision predictions from other wind farms which may contribute to the cumulative total. Values updated from the original ES are highlighted in yellow.

Table 12-102 Cumulative Collision Risk Assessment for Great black-backed gull unless otherwise indicated an avoidance rate of 99.5% was used.

Tier	Wind farm	Breeding season	Nonbreeding season	Annual
1	Beatrice	30.2	120.8	151
1	Beatrice Demonstrator	0	0	0
1	Blyth Demonstration Project	1.3	5.1	6.4
1	Dudgeon	0	0	0
1	East Anglia ONE	0	46	46
1	European Offshore Wind De- ployment Centre	0.6	2.4	3
1	Galloper	4.5	18	22.5
1	Greater Gabbard	15	60	75
1	Gunfleet Sands	-	-	-
1	Hornsea Project One	17.2	68.6	85.8
1	Hornsea Project Two	3	20	23
1	Humber Gateway	1.3	5.1	6.4
1	Hywind	0.3	4.5	4.8
1	Kentish Flats	-	-	-
1	Kentish Flats Extension	0.1	0.2	0.3
1	Kincardine	0	0	0
1	Lincs & LID	0	0	0
1	London Array	-	-	-
1	Methil	0.8	0.8	1.6
1	Moray East	9.5	25.5	35
1	Race Bank	0	0	0
1	Rampion	5.2	20.8	26
1	Scroby Sands	-	-	-
1	Sheringham Shoal	0	0	0
1	Teesside	8.7	34.8	43.5
1	Thanet	0.1	0.4	0.5
1	Triton Knoll	24.4	97.6	122
1	Westermost Rough	0.1	0	0.1
2	Dogger Bank A and B	5.8	23.3	29.1

Unrestricted 004300153



Tier	Wind farm	Breeding season	Nonbreeding season	Annual
2	Moray West	4	5	9
2	Neart na Gaoithe	0.9	3.6	4.5
2	Seagreen A and B	13.4	53.4	66.8
3	Dogger Bank C and Sofia	6.4	25.5	31.9
3	East Anglia One North	3.7	1.2	4.9
3	East Anglia Three	4.6	34.4	39
3	East Anglia Two	3.5	3.4	6.9
3	Hornsea Project Three (revised)	8	28	36
3	Inch Cape	0	36.8	36.8
3	Norfolk Boreas	6.9	28.7	35.6
3	Norfolk Vanguard	4.5	21.5	26
3	Hornsea Project Four ¹	0.5	5.2	5.7
4	Sheringham and Dudgeon Ex- tension Projects	5.7	0.3	6
4	Berwick Bank	-	-	-
4	ForthWind Offshore Wind Demonstration Project - phase 1 ²	-	-	-
4	Rampion 2 ¹	6.3	13.6	19.9
4	North Falls (ES)	O	<mark>3</mark>	<mark>3</mark>
4	Five Estuaries (ES) ¹	<mark>0.7</mark>	<mark>0.6</mark>	<mark>1.3</mark>
4	Outer Dowsing (ES)	<mark>0.4</mark>	<mark>2.6</mark>	<mark>3</mark>
	Total (other schemes)	<mark>197.6</mark>	<mark>820.7</mark>	<mark>1018.3</mark>
	DBS East	<mark>0.9</mark>	<mark>2.8</mark>	<mark>3.7</mark>
	DBS West	O	<mark>1.2</mark>	<mark>1.2</mark>
	DBS East and West together	<mark>0.9</mark>	4	<mark>4.9</mark>
	Total (all schemes + DBS East)	<mark>198.5</mark>	<mark>823.5</mark>	1022.0
	Total (all schemes + DBS West)	<mark>197.6</mark>	<mark>821.9</mark>	1019.5
	Total (all schemes + DBS East and West together)	<mark>198.5</mark>	<mark>824.7</mark>	<mark>1023.2</mark>

¹ An avoidance rate of 99.4% was used;

² An avoidance rate of 99% was used.



- 834. The annual number of great black-backed gull at risk of collision from offshore wind farms in the UK North Sea and Channel BDMPS has been calculated as 1,018.3. When the estimated numbers at risk due to the Projects are included (4.9), this would increase to 1,023.2 collisions. The existing total is expected to increase when projects, which are not yet determined are included, however the contribution from those projects is not yet finalised and subject to change.
- 835. The estimates for other wind farms were calculated under previous Natural England guidance on the parameter rates to use. Specifically, these have used an avoidance rate of 99.5% rather than the rate of 99.4% in the latest guidance used in this assessment. Expressed as the collision rate (rather than the avoidance rate), this means that the predicted collision risk is increased from 0.5% to 0.6%, and that the older estimates for other wind farms are 20% lower than those obtained using the latest advice. The nocturnal activity rates used will also have been higher in most instances, further increasing the collision estimates for previous projects.
- 836. The annual cumulative total, not including the Projects, is 1,018.3, which would be increased to approximately 1,222 on application of the revised avoidance rate. To this the Projects add a maximum of 4.9 birds. Based on the largest Annual BDMPS of 91,398 (Furness 2015) and baseline mortality of 0.0969, 8,856 individual great black-backed gulls would be expected to die each year; the addition of 1,023.2 collisions (including the Projects) would represent a 11.55% increase in annual mortality. Based on the annual biogeographic population with connectivity to UK waters of 235,000 (Furness 2015), 22,772 individuals would be expected to die; the addition of 1,0232 collisions would represent 4.493% increase in mortality.
- 837. Applying the higher calculated collision mortality (including the Projects, 1,226.9) would represent a 13.853% increase in annual mortality of the BDMPS population. Based on the annual biogeographic population with connectivity to UK waters of 235,000 (Furness 2015), 22,772 individuals would be expected to die; the addition of 1,226.9 collisions would represent 5.388% increase in mortality.
- 838. Based on findings from population viability analyses for bird species, it would be considered that increases in mortality rates of less than 1% would be undetectable in terms of changes in population size, whereas above 1% there could be detectable effects.

Unrestricted 004300153



- 839. As with lesser black-backed gull described above, the avoidance rate for great black-backed gull has reduced from 99.5% to 99.4% on the advice from Natural England, leading to an increase in collision rates for projects by around 20% if they have used that previous avoidance rate. This is likely to be offset by implementation of a lower nocturnal activity factor from 50% to 25%.
- 840. Reviews of nocturnal activity in seabirds have led Natural England to advise that rates between 25% and 50% are appropriate for great black-backed gull (whilst still retaining precaution) for assessment of collisions. These lower rates have been applied to more recent wind farm assessments (i.e. over the last year), but previously the higher rate of 50% will have been used. Retrospective application of lower nocturnal activity rates for older wind farms would reduce the cumulative collision estimate by a similar amount.
- 841. Applying reductions for lowered nocturnal activity to predictions for older wind farms would reduce the cumulative collision estimate further emphasising the precautionary nature of the current assessment.
- 842. In conclusion, the cumulative impact on the great black-backed gull population due to predicted year-round collisions is considered to be of low to medium magnitude and great black-backed gull is considered to be of low to medium sensitivity, therefore the effect significance is **minor** to **moderate adverse**. There is no change to the conclusion from the ES.

12.10.6 Impact 12 Cumulative Assessment of Operational Collision Risk and Displacement

12.10.6.1 Gannet

- 843. This section provides the update to section 12.7.5 of the original ES.
- 844. As a species which has been scoped in for collision and displacement from offshore wind farms, it is possible that the impacts of cumulative collision risk and cumulative displacement could combine to adversely affect gannet populations. Obviously, they would not act on the same individuals, as birds which do not enter a windfarm cannot be subject to mortality from collision, and vice versa. Avoidance rates for offshore wind farms, used in collision risk monitoring, take account of macro-avoidance (where birds avoid entering a windfarm), meso-avoidance (avoidance of the rotor swept zone within a windfarm), and micro-avoidance (avoiding wind turbine blades). Thus, birds which exhibit macro-avoidance could be subject to mortality from displacement.

Unrestricted 004300153



- 845. As noted above, the estimated cumulative annual total for gannet collision mortality is 616 (at 99.79% avoidance). The estimated cumulative total for gannet displacement is between 374 (60% x 1%) and 498 (80% x 1%) (**Table 12-91**).
- 846. Based on the largest Annual BDMPS for the UK North Sea and Channel, of 456,299 (Furness 2015) and baseline mortality of 0.1866, 85,145 individual gannets would be expected to die each year; the addition of 990-1,114 individuals would represent a 1.63%–1.308% increase in annual mortality. Based on the annual biogeographic population with connectivity to UK waters of 1,180,000 (Furness 2015), 220,188 individuals would be expected to die; the addition of 990-1,114 individuals would represent 0.450%-0.506% increase in mortality.
- 847. As discussed in the cumulative assessment sections above, it is considered that the mortality of displaced gannets would in reality be at or very close to zero, and there would therefore be no increase in the mortality rate increases estimated for cumulative collision risk.
- 848. Thus, the combined impact of cumulative displacement and collision risk would be of low magnitude (as for the assessment of cumulative collision risk alone). Gannets are considered to be of low to medium sensitivity to collision mortality and the effect significance is therefore **negligible** to **minor adverse**. There is no change to the conclusion from the ES.

12.11Potential Monitoring Requirements

- 849. Monitoring requirements are described in **Volume 8, In-Principal Monitoring Plan (IPMP) (application ref: 8.23)** submitted alongside the DCO application and further developed and agreed with stakeholders prior to construction based on the IPMP and taking account of the final detailed design of the Projects.
- 850. It is important that monitoring studies should be designed to address both site specific concerns and also contribute to the wider understanding of offshore wind farm effects on seabirds. Since the monitoring should therefore build on existing and planned monitoring, it is too early to define what the focus should be, but it is likely to reflect the impacts discussed in this assessment, namely displacement and collision risks.

12.12Transboundary Effects

851. Collisions and displacement of offshore ornithology receptors will also be predicted to occur at offshore wind farms located outside UK territorial waters. This means that potential transboundary impacts are greater than that quantitatively assessed in the CEA presented above (section 12.10).

Unrestricted 004300153



- 852. It is considered that the spatial scale and hence seabird reference populations sizes against which transboundary assessment would be conducted are considerably larger than those against which UK cumulative impacts have been assessed. However, robust information on the sizes of these populations is not available. A further complication is that the methods used to assess potential offshore wind farm impacts varies by country, and the outputs of impact assessments are not directly comparable. As a result, quantitative transboundary impact assessment is not possible. A limited attempt at quantifying this was attempted recently as part of the Strategic Environmental Assessment North Seas Energy (SEANSE) project (DHI, 2020a, 2020b). It provides a useful indicator of the level of potential impacts on offshore ornithology receptors beyond UK waters, and suggests that in the majority of cases, impacts on offshore ornithology receptors are largest in UK waters. However, there are a range of limitations that make the approach taken in the SEANSE project unsuitable for quantitative impact assessment purposes.
- 853. However, overall the increase in the reference populations that would result from the expansion of the area of search is anticipated to exceed the increase in impacts from the inclusion of non-UK offshore wind farms, with the result that the magnitude of transboundary cumulative impacts would be reduced below those assessed for each species presented in section 12.7. Therefore, any potential transboundary effects are expected to be minimal and will not require any additional mitigation.

12.13 Interactions

- 854. This section considers the potential for the impacts on offshore ornithology receptors that have been identified and assessed in this ES chapter to interact with one other. These are presented in a matrix to simplify presentation in **Table 12-103**.
- 855. No potential interactions between the impacts assessed have been identified.
- 856. Within **Table 12-104**, a lifetime assessment is undertaken which considers the potential for an effect to affect receptors across all development phases.

RWE

Potential	Interactions	between l	mpacts
1 Occiliai		Scurcent	mpacts

Construction

	Impact 1: Direct disturbance and displacement from increased vessel activity	Impact 2: Indirect Impacts Through Eff Species During Construction
Impact 1: Direct disturbance and displacement from increased vessel activity		No interaction Birds that have been displaced cannot effects, which are highly localized to the
Impact 2: Indirect Impacts Through Effects on Habitats and Prey Species During Construction	No interaction Birds that are subject to prey availability effects, which are highly localized to the source of impact, can only be present if they have not been displaced by construction activities.	

Operation

	Impact 3: Disturbance and displacement from offshore infrastructure	Impact 4: Indirect Impacts Through Effects on Habitats and Prey Species During Operation	Impact 5: Coll
Impact 3: Disturbance and displacement from offshore infrastructure		No interaction Birds that are displaced from the wind farm would not be subject to prey availability effects as these will only occur within the wind farm.	No interaction Birds that are are not at risk which has bee
Impact 4: Indirect Impacts Through Effects on Habitats and Prey Species During Operation	No interaction Birds that are subject to reduced prey availability effects, which will only occur within the wind farm, have not been displaced.		No interaction Birds susceptil occur within th involved in col
Impact 5: Collision risk	No interaction Birds that are at risk of collisions have not been displaced from the wind farm (except gannet which has been considered separately).	No interaction Birds involved in collisions would not be susceptible to indirect effects which occur within the wind farm.	

Decommissioning

It is anticipated that the decommissioning impacts will be similar in nature to those of construction.

Dogger Bank South Offshore Wind Farms

fects on Habitats and Prey

be impacted by prey availability be source of impact.

lision risk

e displaced from the wind farm of collision (except gannet en considered separately).

ible to indirect effects which he wind farm have not been llisions.

RWE

Table 12-104 Potential Interactions Between Impacts on Offshore Ornithology

Receptor	Highest Level Significance			Phase Assessment	Lifetime Ass	
	Construction	Operational	Decommissioning			
All species	Minor Adverse	Minor Adverse	Minor Adverse	No greater than individually assessed impact	No greater th impact	
				Seabird impacts have been considered against each phase with respect to each species' respective ecology and consequent risks. With the exception of gannet, each species assessed is considered to only be at risk of individual impacts, either displacement (low flying species which dive to feed) or collision (higher flying species which forage on the wing). Thus, for the former (e.g. auks) and the latter (e.g. gulls) there is very little interaction in terms of risks. The only species considered to be at risk of both displacement and collisions (gannet) has been explicitly assessed for the combination of those potential impacts. Therefore potential interactions have already been assessed and no further combinations are predicted.	There is poten displacement including the c and other infre platforms, cor maintenance mast) and ass construction w the Array Arec but will be pha particular wind cable location wind turbines installed onto displacement the same level Effectively the simply extend impacts. It is therefore of Projects' lifetir combine and r significance level	

Dogger Bank South Offshore Wind Farms

essment

an individually assessed

ntial for disturbance and due to construction activities, construction of wind turbines astructure (offshore electrical nstruction operation and platforms and meteorological sociated vessel traffic. However, will not occur across the whole of as simultaneously or every day used, with activity focused on nd turbine, offshore platform or ns at any time. At such time as (and other infrastructure) are foundations the impact of would increase incrementally to els as operational impacts. refore the construction impacts the duration of the operational

considered that over the me these impacts would not represent an increase in the evel.



12.14 Inter-relationships

857. The construction, operation and decommissioning of the Projects has the potential to result in a range of effects on offshore ornithology receptors, and these may be inter-related with other receptor groups. With respect to the impacts assessed for offshore ornithology receptors in this ES chapter, only indirect effects on habitats and prey are considered to be relevant. The potential inter-relationships are summarised in , which indicates where assessments carried out in other ES chapters have been used to inform the offshore ornithology assessment.

Impact	Related topic	Basis for consideration	Section where addressed				
Construction							
Impact 2: Indirect impacts through effects on habitats and prey species during construction	Volume 7, Chapter 9 Benthic and Intertidal Ecology (application ref: 7.9) Volume 7, Chapter 10 Fish and Shellfish Ecology (application ref: 7.10)	Potential impacts on benthic and intertidal ecology and on fish and shellfish ecology during construction could affect prey resource for offshore ornithology receptors	12.7.1.3.3.8				
Operation							
Impact 4: Indirect impacts through effects on habitats and prey species during operation	Volume 7, Chapter 9 Benthic and Intertidal Ecology (application ref: 7.9) Volume 7, Chapter 10 Fish and Shellfish Ecology (application ref: 7.10)	Potential impacts on benthic and intertidal ecology and on fish and shellfish ecology during operation could affect prey resource for offshore ornithology receptors	12.8.2				
Decommissionin	Decommissioning						

Table 12-105 Summary of Potential inter-relationships



Impact	Related topic	Basis for consideration	Section where addressed
Impact 8: Indirect impacts through effects on habitats and prey species	As per construction	As per construction	12.9.2

12.15Summary

- 858. This chapter has provided a characterisation of the existing environment for offshore ornithology based on both existing and Array Area specific survey data which has established that there are potential risks to seabirds from cumulative displacement and collisions risk. The impact assessment is summarised in **Table 12-106**. This document also provides updated assessment for offshore ornithology to address requests made by in Natural England's Relevant Representation [RR-039]. There are no changes to any of the conclusions from the original ES submitted.
- 859. Construction effects assessed included those due to additional vessel movements, cable installation and the presence of 50% of the wind turbines and have been assessed as **negligible** to **minor adverse**,
- 860. Operation effects assessed included displacement from the Array Areas, collision risk with turbines and indirect effects mediated via fish prey species or benthic communities. These were assessed as **negligible** to **minor adverse.**
- 861. It is in the operation effects that the clearest changes to the assessment, following this update, were expressed. To illustrate the differences between the original and updated assessments, **Table 12-106** and **Table 12-107** summarise the annual impacts on species assessed for the two main effects of concern (i.e. displacement and collision risk). The largest difference (and only material) difference is for guillemot and razorbill displacement, although if the displacement mortality accepted by the Secretary of State for the Sheringham and Dudgeon Extensions assessment (i.e. 2%) is applied these numbers actually fall.



Table 12-106 Comparison of annual displacement mortalities and increase in background mortality from the Original ES and this update

Species / Population	ES		Update		Notes
	Mortality (no. individuals)	Increase in background mortality (%)	Mortality (no. individuals)	Increase in background mortality (%)	
Gannet	See Table 12 Original ES	-33 of	See Table 12	2-37	
Annual (BDMPS)	24	0.03	26	0.031	
Annual (biogeographic)	24	0.01	26	0.012	
Guillemot	See Table 12-43 of Original ES		See Table 12-50		
Annual (BDMPS)	2454	0.85	846 (4,231)	0.294 (1.472)	70%/10% values in parentheses
Annual (biogeographic)	2454	0.42	846 (4,231)	0.146 (0.73)	70%/10% values in parentheses
Razorbill	See Table 12- Original ES	-59 of	See Table 12-66		
Annual (BDMPS)	1491	1.45	404 (2,022)	0.525 (2.624)	70%/10% values in parentheses
Annual (biogeographic)	1491	0.5	404 (2,022)	0.182 (0.91)	70%/10% values in parentheses
Puffin	See Table 12-69 of Original ES		See Table 12	-76	

Unrestricted 004300153



Species / Population	ES		Update		Notes
Annual (BDMPS)	36	0.02	8	0.007	
Annual (biogeographic)	36	<0.01	8	0.001	

Table 12-107 Comparison of annual upper 95% confidence interval collision mortalities and increase in background mortality from the original ES and this update

Species / Population	ES		Update		Notes
	Mortality (no. individuals, upper 95% c.i.)	Increase in background mortality (%)	Mortality (no. individuals, upper 95% c.i.)	Increase in background mortality (%)	
Gannet (@ 70% macro- avoidance)	See Table 12-74 of Original ES		See Table 12-81		
Annual (BDMPS)	24.48	0.03	24.48	0.029	Updates for baseline
Annual (biogeographic)	24.48	0.01	24.48	0.011	reference populations
Gannet (@ 65% macro- avoidance)	n/a		See Table 12-82		
Annual (BDMPS)	n/a	n/a	28.56	0.034	Now included at
Annual (biogeographic)	n/a	n/a	28.56	0.013	request
Gannet (@ 85% macro- avoidance)	n/a		See Table 12	-83	

Unrestricted 004300153



Species / Population	ES		Update		Notes
	Mortality (no. individuals, upper 95% c.i.)	Increase in background mortality (%)	Mortality (no. individuals, upper 95% c.i.)	Increase in background mortality (%)	
Annual (BDMPS)	n/a	n/a	12.24	0.014	Now included at
Annual (biogeographic)	n/a	n/a	12.24	0.006	- stakeholder request
Kittiwake	See Table 12 Original ES	-75 of	See Table 12	-84	
Annual (BDMPS)	540.51	0.41	540.51	0.408	No updates required
Annual (biogeographic)	540.51	0.07	540.51	0.067	
Lesser black- backed gull	See Table 12 Original ES	-76 of	See Table 12-85		
Annual (BDMPS)	4.37	0.02	4.37	0.017	Updates for baseline
Annual (biogeographic)	4.37	<0.01	4.37	0.004	reference populations
Herring gull	See Table 12 Original ES	-77 of	See Table 12	-86	
Annual (BDMPS)	5.18	0.01	5.18	0.006	Updates for reference
Annual (biogeographic)	5.18	<0.01	5.18	0.003	populations
Great black- backed gull	See Table 12 Original ES	-78 of	See Table 12	-87	

Unrestricted 004300153



Species / Population	ES		Update		Notes
	Mortality (no. individuals, upper 95% c.i.)	Increase in background mortality (%)	Mortality (no. individuals, upper 95% c.i.)	Increase in background mortality (%)	
Annual (BDMPS)	11.5	0.07	11.5	0.13	Updates for baseline
Annual (biogeographic)	11.5	0.03	11.5	0.051	reference populations

- 862. Decommissioning effects assessed included those due to additional vessel movements, cable installation and the presence of 50% of the wind turbines and have been assessed as **negligible** to **minor adverse**.
- 863. Cumulative effects assessed included displacement and collision risks and were assessed as **negligible** to **moderate adverse.** An additional impact, cumulative disturbance and displacement impacts was considered for red-throated diver, with the impact assessed as minor adverse. Additional mitigation commitments have been proposed with regard to this impact.

RWE

Potential Impact	Receptor	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Impact	Pre-mitigation Effect	Mitiq Mea
Impact 1: Direct disturbance and displacement from increased vessel activity	Gannet, Guillemot, Razorbill, Puffin	Low-Medium	Negligible	Negligible-Minor Adverse	N/A
Impact 2: Indirect impacts through effects on habitats and prey species	Seabirds	Low-High	Negligible	Negligible-Minor Adverse	N/A
Impact 3: Disturbance and displacement from offshore infrastructure	Gannet, Guillemot, Razorbill, Puffin	Low-Medium	Negligible-Low	Negligible-Minor Adverse	N/A
Impact 4: Indirect impacts through effects on habitats and prey species	Seabirds	Low	Negligible	Negligible	N/A
Impact 5: Collision Risk	Fulmar, Gannet, Arctic skua, Great skua, Kittiwake, Little gull, Common gull, Lesser black-backed gull, Herring gull, Great black- backed gull	Low - High	Negligible	Negligible-Minor Adverse	N/A
Impact 6: Combined operational collision risk and displacement	Gannet	Medium	Negligible	Minor Adverse	N/A
Decommissioning					
Impact 7: Direct disturbance and displacement	Gannet, Guillemot, Razorbill, Puffin	Low-Medium	Negligible	Negligible-Minor Adverse	N/A
Impact 8: Indirect impacts through effects on habitats and prey species	Seabirds	Low-High	Negligible	Negligible-Minor Adverse	N/A
Cumulative	<u> </u>				I
Impact 9 Cumulative Assessment of Construction Displacement	Red-throated diver	High	Negligible	Minor Adverse	Best oper

Table 12-108 Summary of Potential Likely Significant Effects on Offshore Ornithology

Dogger Bank South Offshore Wind Farms

Mitigation Measures Proposed	Residual Effect		
N/A	Negligible- Minor Adverse		
N/A	Negligible- Minor Adverse		
N/A	Negligible- Minor Adverse		
N/A	Negligible		
N/A	Negligible- Minor Adverse		
N/A	Minor Adverse		
N/A	Negligible- Minor Adverse		
N/A	Negligible- Minor Adverse		
Best practice vessel operation, use of existing shipping lanes whilst transiting the Greater Wash SPA	Minor adverse		

///////



Potential Impact	Receptor	Sensitivity	Magnitude of Impact	Pre-mitigation Effect	Mitigation Measures Proposed	Residual Effect
Impact 10 Cumulative Assessment of Operational Displacement	Gannet, Guillemot, Razorbill, Puffin	Low - Medium	Negligible to Medium	Negligible-Moderate Adverse	N/A	Negligible - Moderate adverse
Impact 11 Cumulative Assessment of Operational Collision Risk	Gannet, Kittiwake, Lesser black-backed gull, Herring gull and Great black-backed gull	Low - Medium	Negligible to Medium	Negligible-Moderate Adverse	N/A	Negligible- Moderate Adverse
Impact 12 Cumulative Assessment of Operational Collision Risk and Displacement	Gannet	Medium	Negligible	Negligible - Minor adverse	N/A	Negligible - Minor adverse



References

Aitken, D., Babcock, M., Barratt, A., Clarkson, C., Prettyman, S. (2017). RSPB report to Natural England. publications.naturalengland.org.uk/file/5574008674451456, accessed June 2019.

APEM. (2014) Assessing northern gannet avoidance of offshore wind farms. Report for East Anglia Offshore Wind Ltd.

Austin, G.E. and Rehfisch, M.M. (2005) Shifting nonbreeding distributions of migratory fauna in relation to climate change. Global Change Biology, 11, 31-38.

Band, W. (2012) Using a collision risk model to assess bird collision risks for offshore wind farms. The Crown Estate Strategic Ornithological Support Services (SOSS) report SOSS-02. SOSS Website. Original published Sept 2011, extended to deal with flight height distribution data March 2012.

Bicknell, A.W.J., Oro, D., Camphuysen, C.J. and Votier, S.C. (2013) Potential consequences of discard reform for seabird communities. Journal of Applied Ecology, 50, 649-658.

Bradbury G., Trinder M., Furness B., Banks A.N., Caldow R.W.G., *et al.* (2014) Mapping Seabird Sensitivity to Offshore Wind farms. PloS ONE, 9(9), e106366. Doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0106366

Brooke, M.D., Bonnaud, E., Dilley, B.J., Flint, E.N., Holmes, N.D., Jones, H.P., Provost, P., Rocamora, G., Ryan, P.G., Surman, C. and Buxton, R.T. (2018) Seabird population changes following mammal eradications on islands. Animal Conservation, 21, 3-12.

Burthe, S.J., Wanless, S., Newell, M.A., Butler, A. and Daunt, F. (2014) Assessing the vulnerability of the marine bird community in the western North Sea to climate change and other anthropogenic impacts. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 507, 277-295.

Butcher, J., Aitken, D., O'Hara, D. (2023) Flamborough and Filey Coast SPA Seabird Monitoring Programme 2023 Report.

Caneco, B., Humphries, G., Cook, A., Masden, E. (2022). Estimating bird collisions at offshore windfarms with stochLAB URL https://hidef-aerial-surveying.github.io/stochLAB/

Capuzzo, E., Lynam, C.P., Barry, J., Stephens, D., Forster, R.M., Greenwood, N., McQuatters-Gollop, A., Silva, T., Van Leeuwen, S.M. and Engelhard, G.H. (2018) A decline in primary production in the North Sea over 25 years, associated with reductions in zooplankton abundance and fish stock recruitment. Global Change Biology, 24, E352-E364.

Carroll, M.J., Butler, A., Owen, E., Ewing, S.R., Cole, T., Green, J.A., Soanes, L.M., Arnould, J.P.Y., Newton, S.F., Baer, J., Daunt, F., Wanless, S., Newell, M.A., Robertson,

Unrestricted

Page 321



G.S., Mavor, R.A. and Bolton, M. (2015) Effects of sea temperature and stratification changes on seabird breeding success. Climate Research, 66, 75-89.

Carroll, M.J., Bolton, M., Owen, E., Anderson, G.Q.A., Mackley, E.K., Dunn, E.K. and Furness, R.W. (2017) Kittiwake breeding success in the southern North Sea correlates with prior sandeel fishing mortality. Aquatic Conservation – Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems, 27, 1164-1175.

Clarkson, K., Aitken, D., Cope, R., & O'Hara, D. (2022) Flamborough and Filey Coast SPA seabird colony count 2022. RSPB, The Lodge, Sandy, Bedfordshire SG19 2DL

Daunt, F., Benvenuti, S., Harris, M.P., Dall'Antonia, L., Elston, D.A. & Wanless, S. (2002). Foraging strategies of the black-legged kittiwake Rissa tridactyla at a North Sea colony: evidence for a maximum foraging range. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 245, 239-247.

Defra. (2024). Consultation on spatial management measures for industrial sandeel fishing - Government response. [Online]. GOV.UK. Available at: https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/consultation-on-spatial-management-measures-for-industrial-sandeel-fishing/outcome/government-response [Accessed February 2024].

Department of Energy and Climate Change (2011a) Overarching National Policy Statement for Energy (EN-1). Presented to Parliament pursuant to Section 5(9) of the Planning Act 2008. The Stationary Office, London.

Department of Energy and Climate Change (2011b) National Policy Statement for Renewable Energy Infrastructure (EN-3). Presented to Parliament pursuant to Section 5(9) of the Planning Act 2008. The Stationary Office, London.

Department of Energy and Climate Change (2011c) National Policy Statement for Electricity Networks Infrastructure (EN-5). Presented to Parliament pursuant to Section 5(9) of the Planning Act 2008. The Stationary Office, London.

DESNZ (2023a). Overarching National Policy Statement for Energy (EN-1). Available at:

<<u>https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/655dc190d03a8d001207fe33/</u> overarching-nps-for-energy-en1.pdf>.

DESNZ (2023b). National Policy Statement for Renewable Energy Infrastructure (EN-3). Available at:

<<u>https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/655dc352d03a8d001207fe37/</u> nps-renewable-energy-infrastructure-en3.pdf>.

DESNZ (2023c). National Policy Statement for Electricity Networks (EN-5). Available at: <

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/65a78a5496a5ec000d731abb/n ps-electricity-networks-infrastructure-en5.pdf>.

Unrestricted

Page 322



DHI, (2020a). SEANSE: Cumulative displacement impacts on seabirds.

DHI, (2020b). SEANSE: Seabird cumulative collision risk.

Dierschke, V., Furness, R.W. and Garthe, S. (2016) Seabirds and offshore wind farms in European waters: avoidance and attraction. Biological Conservation, 202, 59-68.

Dierschke, V., Furness, R.W., Gray, C.E., Petersen, I.K., Schmutz, J., Zydelis, R. & Daunt, F. (2017). Possible behavioural, energetic and demographic effects of displacement of red-throated divers. JNCC Report No. 605. JNCC, Peterborough.

EATL (2016a). Great black-backed gull PVA, Appendix 1 to East Anglia THREE Applicant's comments on Written Representations, submitted for Deadline 3.

Exo, K-M., Hüppop, O. and Garthe, S. (2003) Offshore wind farms and bird protection. Seevögel, 23, 83-95.

Foster, S. and Marrs, S. (2012) Seabirds In Scotland. Scottish Natural Heritage Trend Note No. 21.

Foster, S., Swann, R.L. and Furness, R.W. (2017) Can changes in fishery landings explain long-term population trends in gulls? Bird Study, 64, 90-97.

Fox, A.D. and 19 Others. (2016) Seeking explanations for recent changes in abundance of wintering Eurasian wigeon (Anas penelope) in Northwest Europe. Ornis Fennica, 93, 12-25.

Frederiksen, M., Wanless, S., Harris, M.P., Rothery, P. and Wilson, L.J. (2004) The role of industrial fisheries and oceanographic change in the decline of North Sea black-legged kittiwakes. Journal of Applied Ecology, 41, 1129-1139.

Frederiksen, M., Furness, R.W. and Wanless, S. (2007) Regional variation in the role of bottom-up and top-down processes in controlling sandeel abundance in the North Sea. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 337, 279-286.

Frederiksen, M., Daunt, F., Harris, M.P. and Wanless, S. (2008) The demographic impact of extreme events: Stochastic weather drives survival and population dynamics in a long-lived seabird. Journal of Animal Ecology, 77, 1020-1029.

Frederiksen, M., Anker-Nilssen, T., Beaugrand, G. and Wanless, S. (2013) Climate, copepods and seabirds in the Boreal Northeast Atlantic – Current state and future outlook. Global Change Biology, 19, 364-372.

Furness, R.W. & Wade, H.M. (2012). Vulnerability of Scottish seabirds to offshore wind turbines. Report to Marine Scotland.

Furness, R.W., Wade, H.M. and Masden, E.A. (2013) Assessing vulnerability of marine bird populations to offshore wind farms. Journal of Environmental Management, 119, 56-66

Unrestricted 004300153



Furness, R.W. (2015) Nonbreeding season populations of seabirds in UK waters: Population sizes for Biologically Defined Minimum Population Scales (BDMPS). Natural England Commissioned Report Number 164.

Furness, R.W. (2016) Impacts and effects of ocean warming on seabirds. In: Laffoley, D. and Baxter, J.M. (Editors). Explaining Ocean Warming: Causes, Scale, Effects And Consequences. Full Report. Gland, Switzerland: IUCN. Pp. 271-288.

Furness, R.W. (2018). Consequences for birds of obstruction lighting on offshore wind turbines. Dogger Bank South PEIR Technical Appendix 12-8

Furness, R.W. and Tasker, M.L. (2000) Seabird-fishery interactions: quantifying the sensitivity of seabirds to reductions in sandeel abundance and identification of key areas for sensitive seabirds in the North Sea. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 202, 253-264.

Furness, R.W., Wade, H.M. and Masden, E.A. (2013) Assessing vulnerability of marine bird populations to offshore wind farms. Journal of Environmental Management, 119, 56-66.

Garthe, S and Hüppop, O. (2004) Scaling possible adverse effects of marine wind farms on seabirds: developing and applying a vulnerability index. Journal of Applied Ecology, 41, 724-734.

Garthe, S., Ludynia, K., Hüppop, O., Kubetzki, U., Meraz, J.F. and Furness, R.W. (2012) Energy budgets reveal equal benefits of varied migration strategies in northern gannets. Marine Biology, 159, 1907-1915.

Gill, A. B., Gloyne-Phillips, I., Neal, K. J. & Kimber, J.A. (2005). The potential effects of electromagnetic fields generated by sub-sea power cables associated with offshore wind farm developments on electrically and magnetically sensitive marine organisms – a review. Report to Collaborative Offshore Wind Research into the Environment (COWRIE) COWRIE.

Goodship, N.M. and Furness, R.W. (2022) NatureScot Research Report 1283-Disturbance Distances Review: An updated literature review of disturbance distances of selected bird species.

Horswill, C. and Robinson R. A. (2015) Review of seabird demographic rates and density dependence. JNCC Report No. 552. Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough

Hüppop, O. and Wurm, S. (2000) Effect of winter fishery activities on resting numbers, food and body condition of large gulls Larus argentatus and L. marinus in the south-eastern North Sea. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 194, 241-247.

ICES (2013). Report of the Benchmark Workshop on Sandeel, 6-10 September 2010, Copenhagen, Denmark. ICES CM2010/ACOM:57. 185pp.

Unrestricted 004300153


Irwin, C., Scott, M., Webb, A., Scott, M. and Caldow, R. (2019) Digital video aerial surveys of Red-throated Diver in the Outer Thames Estuary SPA.

JNCC. (2016) Seabird Population Trends And Causes Of Change: 1986-2015 Report Http://Jncc.Defra.Gov.Uk/Page-3201 Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough.

Johnston, A., Cook, A.S.C.P., Wright, L.J., Humphreys, E.M. and Burton, E.H.K. (2014a) Modelling flight heights of marine birds to more accurately assess collision risk with offshore wind turbines. Journal of Applied Ecology, 51, 31-41.

Johnston, A., Cook, A.S.C.P., Wright, L.J., Humphreys, E.M. and Burton, N.H.K. (2014b) corrigendum. Journal of Applied Ecology, 51, doi: 10.1111/1365-2664.12260.

Kotzerka, J., Garthe, S. and Hatch, S.A. (2010) GPS tracking devices reveal foraging strategies of black-legged kittiwakes. Journal of Ornithology, 151, 495-467.

Krijgsveld, K. L., Fijn, R. C., Japink, M., van Horssen, P. W., Heunks, C., Collier, M. P., Poot, M. J. M., Beuker, D. and Dirksen, S. (2011) Effect Studies Offshore Wind farm Egmond aan Zee. Final report on fluxes, flight altitudes and behaviour of flying birds. Bureau Waardenburg report 10-219, NZW-Report Bureau Waardenburg, Culmeborg, Netherlands.

Kubetzki, U., Garthe, S., Fifield, D., Mendel, B. and Furness, R.W. (2009) Individual migratory schedules and wintering areas of northern gannets. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 391, 257-265.

Leopold, M. F., van Bemmelen, R. S. A. & Zuur, A. (2013). Responses of local birds to the offshore wind farms PAWP and OWEZ off the Dutch mainland coast. Report C151/12, Imares, Texel.

Leopold, M.F. and Camphuysen, C.J. (2007) Did the pile driving during the construction of the Offshore Wind farm Egmond aan Zee, the Netherlands, impact local seabirds? Report CO62/07. Wageningen IMARES Institute for Marine Resources and Ecosystem Studies.

Leopold, M.F., Dijkman, E.M. and Teal, L. (2011) Local birds in and around the Offshore Wind farm Egmond aan Zee (OWEZ) (T-0 and T-1, 2002-2010). NoordzeeWind report OWEZ_R_221_T1_20110915_localbirds_final. Imares / NoordzeeWind, Wageningen /ljmuiden.

Lindgren, M., van Duers, M., Mackenzie, B.R., Clausen, L.W., Christensen, A. and Rindorf, A. (2018) Productivity and recovery of forage fish under climate change and fishing: North Sea sandeel as a case study. Fisheries Oceanography, 27, 212-221.



MacArthur Green, (2017). Estimates of Ornithological Headroom in Offshore Wind Farm Collision Mortality (Report on behalf of the Crown Estate). MacArthur Green.

MacArthur Green (2019a). Norfolk Vanguard Offshore Wind Farm. The Applicant Responses to First Written Questions. Appendix 3.1 - Red-throated diver displacement

MacArthur Green (2023) Beatrice Offshore Wind Farm Year 2 Post-construction Ornithological Monitoring Report

[https://marine.gov.scot/sites/default/files/bowl_2021_post_construction_ornitho logy_monitoring_report_25_07_2023.pdf]

Macdonald, A., Heath, M.R., Edwards, M., Furness, R.W., Pinnegar, J.K., Wanless, S., Speirs, D.C. and Greenstreet, S.P.R. (2015) Climate driven trophic cascades affecting seabirds around the British Isles. Oceanography and Marine Biology, 53, 55-80.

Mendel, B., Sonntag, N., Wahl, J., Schwemmer, P., Dries, H., Guse, N., Müller, S. and Garthe, S. (2008) Profiles of seabirds and waterbirds of the German North and Baltic Seas: Distribution, ecology and sensitivities to human activities within the marine environment. Bundesamt Für Naturschutz, Bonn – Bad Godesberg.

Mitchell, P.I., Newton, S.F., Ratcliffe, N. And Dunn, T.E. (2004) Seabird Populations of Britain and Ireland. T. And A.D. Poyser, London.

Mitschke, A., Garthe, S. And Hüppop, O. (2001) Erfassung der Verbreitung, Häufigkeiten und Wanderungen von See- und Wasservögeln in der deutschen Nordsee und Entwicklung eines Konzeptes zur Umsetzung internationaler Naturschutzziele. BfN-Skripten 34, Bonn-Bad Godesberg.

Murray, S., Harris, M.P. and Wanless, S. (2015) The status of the gannet in Scotland in 2013-14. Scottish Birds, 35, 3-18.

Natural England & JNCC. (2016). Departmental Brief: Greater Wash potential Special Protection Area. Version 8, Final, March 2016.

Natural England & JNCC (2017). Interim Advice Note: Presenting information to inform assessment of the potential magnitude and consequences of displacement of seabirds in relation of offshore wind farm developments.

Natural England (2022a) Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza (HPAI) outbreak in seabirds and Natural England advice on impact assessment (specifically relating to offshore wind) September 2022

Natural England (2022b). Interim advice on updated Collision Risk Modelling parameters (July 2022)

Unrestricted 004300153



Natural England (2024). NE and NRW interim advice regarding demographic rates, EIA scale mortality rates and reference populations for use in offshore wind impact assessments

Norfolk Vanguard Ltd (2019). The Applicant Responses to First Written Questions Appendix 3.3 Operational Auk and Gannet Displacement: update and clarification. https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-

content/ipc/uploads/projects/EN010079/EN010079-002249-Womble%20Bond%20Dickinson%20on%20Behalf%20of%20Norfolk%20Vanguar d%20-%20Appendices%20to%20written%20Questions-%20Email%204.pdf

Ost, M., Lehikoinen, A., Poysa, H. and Linden, A. (2016) European ducks in a changing world: Human impacts, population processes and species interactions. Ornis Fennica, 93, 1-2.

Parker, J., Banks, A., Fawcett, A., Axelsson, M., Rowell, H., Allen, S., Ludgate, C., Humphrey, O., Baker, A. & Copley, V. (2022a). Offshore Wind Marine Environmental Assessments: Best Practice Advice for Evidence and Data Standards. Phase I: Expectations for pre-application baseline data for designated nature conservation and landscape receptors to support offshore wind applications. Natural England. Version 1.1. 79 pp.

Parker, J., Banks, A., Brown, E. & Copley, V. (2022b). Offshore Wind Marine Environmental Assessments: Best Practice Advice for Evidence and Data Standards. Phase II: Expectations for pre-application engagement and best practice advice for the Evidence Plan Process. Natural England. Version 1.1. 40 pp.

Parker, J., Fawcett, A., Banks, A., Rowson, T., Allen, S., Rowell, H., Harwood, A., Ludgate, C., Humphrey, O., Axelsson, M., Baker, A. & Copley, V. (2022c). Offshore Wind Marine Environmental Assessments: Best Practice Advice for Evidence and Data Standards. Phase III: Expectations for data analysis and presentation at examination for offshore wind applications. Natural England. Version 1.2. 140 pp.

Pearce-Higgins, J.W. and Holt, C.A. (2013) Impacts of climate change on waterbirds. Marine Climate Change Impacts Partnership Science Review, 2013, 149-154.

Petersen, I.K., Christensen, T.K., Kahlert, J., Desholm, M. and Fox, A.D. (2006) Final results of bird studies at the offshore wind farms at Nysted and Horns Rev, Denmark. NERI report commissioned by DONG energy and Vattenfall A/S 2006.

Ratcliffe, N. (2004) Causes of seabird population change. Pp 407-437 In Mitchell, P.I., Newton, S.F., Ratcliffe, N. And Dunn, T.E. (Eds.) Seabird Populations of Britain and Ireland. T.and A.D. Poyser, London.

Unrestricted 004300153



Ratcliffe, N., Mitchell, I., Varnham, K., Verboven, N. and Higson, P. (2009) How to prioritize rat management for the benefit of petrels: a case study of the UK, Channel Islands and Isle of Man. Ibis, 151, 699-708.

Rock, P. and Vaughan, I.P. (2013) Long-term estimates of adult survival rates of urban herring gulls Larus argentatus and lesser black-backed gulls Larus fuscus. Ringing and Migration, 28, 21-29.

Sandvik, H., Erikstad, K.E. and Saether, B.-E. (2012) Climate affects seabird population dynamics both via reproduction and adult survival. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 454, 273-284.

Schwemmer, P. Mendal, B., Sonntag, N., Dierschke, V. & Garthe, S. (2011). Effects of ship traffic on seabirds in offshore waters: implications for marine conservation and spatial planning. Ecological Applications, 21, 1851-1860.

Searle, K.R., Mobbs, D.C., Butler, A., Furness, R.W., Trinder, M.N. and Daunt, F. (2017) Fate of displaced birds. Report to Marine Scotland Science. Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Penicuik.

Skov, H., Heinänen, S., Norman, T., Ward, R.M., Méndez-Roldán, S. and Ellis, I. (2018) ORJIP Bird Collision and Avoidance Study. Final report – April 2018. The Carbon Trust, United Kingdom.

SNCB (2017) Joint SNCB Interim Displacement Advice Note.

Stienen, E., van Waeyenberge, J., Kuijken, E., & Seys, J. (2007). Trapped within the corridor of the Southern North Sea: The potential impact of offshore wind farms on seabirds. In M. de Lucas (Ed.), Birds and wind farms: risk assessment and mitigation. (pp. 71-80)

Sydeman, W.J., Thompson, S.A., Anker-Nilssen, T., Arimitsu, M., Bennison, A., Bertrand, S., Boersch-Supan, P., Boyd, C., Bransome, N., Crawford, R.J.M., Daunt, F., Furness, R.W., Gianuca, D., Gladics, A., Koehn, L., Lang, J., Logerwell, E., Morris, T.L., Phillips, E.M., Provencher, J., Punt, A.E., Saraux, C., Shannon, L., Sherley, R.B., Simeone, A., Wanless, R.M., Wanless, S. and Zador, S. (2017) Best practices for assessing forage fish fisheries – seabird resource competition. Fisheries Research, 194, 209-221.

Tasker, M.L., Camphuysen, C.J., Cooper, J., Garthe, S., Montevecchi, W.A. and Blaber, S.J.M. (2000) The impacts of fishing on marine birds. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 57, 531-547.

Tremlett, C.J., Morley, N., and Wilson, L.J. (2024). UK seabird colony counts in 2023 following the 2021-22 outbreak of Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza. RSPB Research Report 76. RSPB Centre for Conservation Science, RSPB, The Lodge, Sandy, Bedfordshire, SG19 2DL

Unrestricted 004300153



Trinder M, O'Brien SH and Deimel J (2024) A new method for quantifying redistribution of seabirds within operational offshore wind farms finds no evidence of within-wind farm displacement. Front. Mar. Sci. 11:1235061. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2024.1235061

Votier, S.C., Birkhead, T.R., Oro, D., Trinder, M., Grantham, M.J., Clark, J.A., Mccleery, R.H. and Hatchwell, B.J. (2008) Recruitment and survival of immature seabirds in relation to oil spills and climate variability. Journal of Animal Ecology, 77, 974-983.

The Crown Estate, Womble Bond Dickinson, (2021) Headroom in Cumulative Offshore Windfarm Impacts for Seabirds: Legal Issues and Possible Solutions (Offshore Wind Evidence and Change Programme).

Vanermen N., Courtens W., Verstraete H., Stienen E.W.(2016) Seabird monitoring at offshore wind farms in the Belgian part of the North Sea: Updated results for the Bligh Bank & first results for the Thorntonbank. Rapporten van het Instituut voor Natuur-en Bosonderzoek.

Votier, S.C., Furness, R.W., Bearhop, S., Crane, J.E., Caldow, R.W.G., Catry, P., Ensor, K., Hamer, K.C., Hudson, A.V., Kalmbach, E., Klomp, N.I., Pfeiffer, S., Phillips, R.A., Prieto, I., and Thompson, D.R. (2004) Changes in fisheries discard rates and seabird communities. Nature, 427, 727-730.

Votier, S.C., Hatchwell, B.J., Beckerman, A., Mccleery, R.H., Hunter, F.M., Pellatt, J., Trinder, M. and Birkhead, T.R. (2005) Oil pollution and climate have wide-scale impacts on seabird demographics. Ecology Letters, 8, 1157-1164.

Votier, S.C., Birkhead, T.R., Oro, D., Trinder, M., Grantham, M.J., Clark, J.A., Mccleery, R.H. and Hatchwell, B.J. (2008) Recruitment and survival of immature seabirds in relation to oil spills and climate variability. Journal of Animal Ecology, 77, 974-983.

Votier, S.C., Bicknell, A., Cox, S.L., Scales, K.L. and Patrick, S.C. (2013) A bird's eye view of discard reforms: Bird-borne cameras reveal seabird/fishery interactions. Plos One, 8(3), E57376.

Wade, H.M., Masden E.M., Jackson, A.C. and Furness, R.W. (2016) Incorporating data uncertainty when estimating potential vulnerability of Scottish seabirds to marine renewable energy developments. Marine Policy, 70, 108-113.

Waggitt, J.J., Evans, P.G., Andrade, J., Banks, A.N., Boisseau, O., Bolton, M., Bradbury, G., Brereton, T., Camphuysen, C.J., Durinck, J. and Felce, T., (2020), 'Distribution maps of cetacean and seabird populations in the North-East Atlantic', Journal of Applied Ecology, 57(2), pp.253-269.

Wakefield, E.D., Bodey, T.W., Bearhop, S., Blackburn, J., Colhoun, K., Davies, R., Dwyer, R.F., Green, J.A. Gremillet, D., Jackson, A.L., Jessopp, M.J., Kane, A., Langston, R.H.W., Lescroel, A., Murray, S., Le Nuz, M., Patrick, S.C., Peron, C., Soanes,

Unrestricted 004300153



L.M., Wanless, S., Votier, S.C. and Hamer, K.C. (2013) Space partitioning without territoriality in gannets. Science, 341, 68-70.

Woodward, I., Thaxter, C.B., Owen, E. and Cook, A.S.C.P. (2019) Desk-based revision of seabird foraging ranges used for HRA screening. BTO research report, (724).

Unrestricted 004300153

RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Limited

RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Limited

Windmill Hill Business Park Whitehill Way Swindon Wiltshire, SN5 6PB